

## LJMU Research Online

Oxborough, D, Spence, A, George, KP, Van Oorschot, F, Thijssen, DHJ and Green, DJ

**The impact of 24 weeks of supervised endurance versus resistance exercise training on left ventricular mechanics in healthy untrained humans.**

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/9980/>

### Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

**Oxborough, D, Spence, A, George, KP, Van Oorschot, F, Thijssen, DHJ and Green, DJ (2019) The impact of 24 weeks of supervised endurance versus resistance exercise training on left ventricular mechanics in healthy untrained humans. Journal of Applied Physiology. ISSN 8750-7587**

LJMU has developed [LJMU Research Online](http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk) for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact [researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk](mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk)

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

**The impact of 24 weeks of supervised endurance versus resistance exercise training on left ventricular mechanics in healthy untrained humans**

David L. Oxborough PhD<sup>1</sup>, Angela Spence PhD<sup>2,3</sup> Keith P. George PhD<sup>1</sup>, Frederieke Van Oorschot BSc<sup>1,4</sup>, Dick H. T. Thijssen PhD<sup>1,4</sup> and Daniel J. Green PhD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Tom Reilly Building, Byrom Street, Liverpool, UK  
<sup>2</sup> School of Human Sciences (Exercise and Sports Science), The University of Western Australia, Nedlands, Australia  
<sup>3</sup> School of Physiotherapy and Exercise Science, Curtin University, Bentley, Australia.  
<sup>4</sup> Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Center, The Netherlands

**Address for Correspondence:**

Dr David Oxborough,  
Reader in Cardiovascular Physiology  
Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences  
Tom Reilly Building  
Liverpool John Moores University  
Liverpool  
L3 3AF  
**Email:** [d.l.oxborough@ljmu.ac.uk](mailto:d.l.oxborough@ljmu.ac.uk)  
**Tel:** 0151 904 6231

Short Title – **Cardiac Mechanics Following Exercise Training**

Full Word Count - 4315 (excluding title page and abstract)

Subject Terms – Echocardiography; Exercise; Physiology; Strain Imaging

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** In addition to the well-known cardiac structural adaptation to exercise training, little work examined changes in LV mechanics. With new regional and global indices available we sought to determine the effect of 24 weeks endurance *versus* resistance training on LV mechanics.

**Methods and Results:** 23 male subjects were randomly allocated to either a 24-week endurance- or resistance-training program. Pre- and post-training 2D echocardiographic images were acquired. Global LV mechanics (strain [ $\epsilon$ ]) were recorded in longitudinal, circumferential and radial planes. Rotation was assessed at apical and basal levels. In addition, longitudinal  $\epsilon$ -volume loops, across the cardiac cycle, were constructed from simultaneous LV  $\epsilon$  (longitudinal and transverse strain) and volume measurements across the cardiac cycle as a novel measure of LV mechanics. Marginal differences in  $\epsilon$  and rotation data were found between groups. Post-training, we found no change in global peak  $\epsilon$  data. Peak basal rotation significantly increased after training with changes in the endurance group ( $-2.2 \pm 1.9^\circ$  to  $-4.5 \pm 3.3^\circ$ ) and the resistance group ( $-2.9 \pm 3.0^\circ$  to  $-3.4 \pm 2.9^\circ$ ). LV  $\epsilon$ -volume loops revealed a modest rightward shift in both groups.

**Conclusions:** Whilst most global and regional indices of LV mechanics were not significantly altered, 24 weeks of intense supervised exercise training increased basal rotation. Further studies that assess LV mechanics in larger cohorts of subjects and those with cardiovascular disease and risk factors may reveal important training impacts.

**Key words:** cardiac, imaging, echocardiography, speckle-tracking,

## NEW AND NOTEWORTHY

This study builds on previous work by our group and presents a comprehensive assessment of cardiac mechanics following dichotomous exercise training programmes. We highlight novel findings in addition to the inclusion of strain-volume loops, which shed light on subtle differences in longitudinal and transverse contribution to volume change throughout the cardiac cycle. Our findings suggest that training has an impact on basal rotation and possibly strain-volume loops.

## INTRODUCTION

Chronic exercise results in structural remodelling of the left ventricle (LV) of the heart, which is mediated by many facets of the exercise stimulus as well as individual characteristics [3]. Historically, a differential pattern of LV structural remodelling has been linked to endurance versus resistance training [11]. An eccentric LV hypertrophy (increased cavity size and concomitant increase in wall thicknesses) has been reported in athletes that undergo endurance training, with a concentric hypertrophy (increased wall thickness with no change in cavity size) observed in resistance trained athletes [8,11]. This dichotomous phenotype based on training exposure has been challenged in a meta-analysis [21] and empirical research [5,18,20], with a greater degree of structural remodelling occurring with endurance training [18]. Whether different exercise training paradigms have differential effects on LV function has received less attention, with most information focused on standard, global parameters such as stroke volume and ejection fraction [11].

Data from meta-analyses [21,15] provide compelling evidence that resting global LV systolic and diastolic function are not different between athletes and healthy controls. Despite this, some individual studies have highlighted lower ejection fraction [10] and/or supernormal diastolic functional indices [7] in various athletic groups. This lack of consistency is likely driven by the inherent limitations of conventional global indices of function and the heterogeneity of athlete demographics using a cross-sectional approach [3].

Any adaptation of LV structure and geometry will change myocardial fibre alignment that could then influence LV mechanics [1]. LV mechanics reflect the “real” 3D activation and movement of the myocardium and recent advances in echocardiographic techniques such as LV strain ( $\epsilon$ ) imaging facilitates the assessment of global and regional LV mechanics from longitudinal, circumferential and radial planes. This approach also determines the nature and magnitude of LV rotation and overall twist. Some “athlete-control” cross-sectional studies have employed these techniques [4] to determine potential training-related changes in LV mechanics. However, the design limitations and self-selection bias associated with cross-sectional studies cannot attribute causality to training status and differences in LV mechanics. To determine cause-and-effect, longitudinal intervention studies are required but this evidence base is currently limited in terms of the impacts on mechanics.

We have previously described, in this cohort, no change in global longitudinal  $\epsilon$  after 24 weeks of endurance or resistance training [18]. This provided a very limited insight into training related changes in LV mechanics. The impact of different training interventions upon a more comprehensive assessment of LV mechanics is currently lacking. It is possible that the addition of transverse  $\epsilon$  assessment in the longitudinal plane as well as the evaluation of  $\epsilon$  across the cardiac cycle (temporal assessment rather just peak data) may reveal changes that were not apparent from assessment of global indices. We have recently developed a novel method of simultaneously assessing longitudinal  $\epsilon$ , transverse  $\epsilon$  and volume ( $\epsilon$ -volume loops) across the cardiac cycle [14]. It is possible, using this approach, to independently assess the  $\epsilon$ -volume relationships in systole and diastole and hence subjectively evaluate the relative contribution of systolic and diastolic  $\epsilon$  to volume change. The utility and insight provided by this technique has already been demonstrated in acute exercise [9] and clinical [6] settings, where it is apparent that  $\epsilon$ -volume loops are sensitive to changes in acute and/or chronic loading on the LV. With likely training-related changes in LV loading,  $\epsilon$ -volume loops could provide novel insights into the adaptability of LV mechanics to physiological adaptation of the LV. In view of this, we sought to comprehensively assess the impact of 24 weeks of closely supervised and centre-based endurance and resistance training on LV mechanics in healthy untrained male participants. Specifically, we introduce the novel assessment method of  $\epsilon$ -volume loops to evaluate the

impact of exercise training on the link between LV structure and function in a longitudinal exercise training setting. Our null hypothesis was that endurance and resistance exercise would induce similar effects on LV mechanics.

## **METHODS**

Twenty-three young healthy male subjects (mean  $\pm$  SD age: 27.4  $\pm$  5.5 years) volunteered to take part in the study. Prior to recruitment, participants underwent pre-participation screening which involved a detailed medical history, physical examination, standard blood panels and a physical activity questionnaire. All participants were free of known cardiovascular, liver, renal, respiratory and metabolic disease, were not taking any prescribed medication, were non-smokers and were considered untrained (defined as undertaking no structured exercise). The study was approved by the Human Research and Ethics committee of the University of Western Australia and conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki. Data related to cardiac structural remodelling in this study have been published previously [17,18].

### **Study design**

Subjects were randomly allocated to either the resistance-training group (RES, n=13) or the endurance-training group (END, n=10). Participants completed a 24-week RES or END training programme. During this training period, subjects attended three 1-hour exercise training sessions per week. To improve compliance with the programme, an experienced exercise physiologist supervised all exercise sessions. To minimise the risk of injury, regular stretching and core strengthening were included in the training. Participants were assessed for anthropometrics, aerobic fitness and strength conditioning at baseline and post 24-week training. In addition, a detailed echocardiogram was undertaken at both data collection points.

## **Exercise training interventions**

### *Endurance training*

The endurance training programme consisted of three training-phases and was divided into eight 3-week mesocycles. In mesocycle 1-4 each hard-load week was followed by an easy week and in the remaining four mesocycles, two weeks were hard loaded, with the third week acting as a recovery week. To ensure participants training at correct intensity,  $\text{VO}_2\text{peak}$  values were determined upon which the training paces were based. Heart rate monitors (Polar F1, Finland) were worn to monitor intensity. Specific training details are recorded in Spence et al. (2011) [18].

### *Resistance training*

The resistance training programme focused on Olympic-style weightlifting and associated exercises. The programme consisted of three training-phases divided into six 4-week mesocycles. Each mesocycle commenced with three weeks progressive loading, peaking in the third week, followed by one week of recovery. Specific training details are recorded in Spence et al. (2011) [18].

## **Basic measurements**

All participants underwent whole body DXA assessment (Lunar Prodigy, GE Medical Systems, Madison, WI, USA) before and after training to determine total fat mass, total lean mass and body fat percentage. Body mass and stature were assessed via standard anthropometric techniques. Aerobic fitness was assessed by a treadmill based graded exercise test [18] and muscular strength was assessed by 1RM for bench press and squat exercises [18]. Brachial artery blood pressure was assessed by automated sphygmomanometry (Dinamap, Critikon, USA).

## **Echocardiographic measurements**

All echocardiographic images were acquired using a 1.5- to 4-MHz phased array transducer on a commercially available ultrasound system (Vivid I, GE Medical, Horton, Norway). A single, highly experienced sonographer collected all images and was blinded to exercise group allocation. Subjects lay in the left lateral decubitus position and images of the LV were obtained from an apical 4-chamber view and parasternal short-axis view. Two-dimensional

(2-D) image optimisation was performed, including gain, dynamic range and depth to ensure optimal endocardial delineation. In order to define end-systole and end-diastole manually, a trans-aortic continuous-wave Doppler signal was collected.

### ***Conventional 2D and Doppler***

LV chamber quantification was undertaken using 2D echocardiography. Structure was determined by assessment of LV wall thickness of the septum (IVS) and the posterior wall (PWT) as well as the internal cavity at end diastole (LVIDd) and end systole (LVIDs). Subsequent calculation of LV mass was undertaken using the linear dimensions and derived from the ASE corrected formula. Trans-mitral Doppler was undertaken using a 4mm pulsed wave sample volume positioned at the tips of the mitral valve in diastole which allowed for the measurement of peak early diastolic velocity (E), peak late diastolic velocity (A) and the calculated E/A ratio. LV volumes at end diastole (LVEDV) and end systole (LVESV) were taken from a Simpsons monoplane method whilst deriving the  $\epsilon$ -volume loops as described below.

### ***LV mechanics***

Cine loops of LV motion were recorded to DVD in a raw DICOM format and a single experienced, blinded observer analysed the data offline. After calculation of aortic valve closure the observer selected heart cycles of the highest quality and calculated  $\epsilon$  across the cardiac cycle using speckle tracking software (Echopac, GE Healthcare, Norway). This process determined  $\epsilon$  segmentally tracking natural acoustic markers. The myocardium was manually traced and adjusted so that the region of interest incorporating all of the wall thickness, whilst avoiding the pericardium.

For global longitudinal  $\epsilon$  an apical 4-chamber view was used to determine peak  $\epsilon$  as well as peak strain rates (SR) during systole and early diastole. Parasternal short-axis views were utilised to calculate peak circumferential  $\epsilon$  and SRs, peak radial  $\epsilon$  and SRs, as well as peak apical and basal rotation. All  $\epsilon$  values were also exported to a spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel 2010, USA).



### ***LV $\epsilon$ -volume loops***

Transverse and longitudinal strain values obtained by speckle-tracking were exported to a spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel 2010, USA). These raw data underwent cubic spline interpolation to provide 600 data points across the cardiac cycle. The splined data was then divided into 5% increments of the cardiac cycle and the absolute time from aortic valve closure was recorded at each increment. Simpson's methodology was utilised to calculate LV volume belonging to each  $\epsilon$  time point across the cardiac cycle. A graph of the relation between LV $\epsilon$  (i.e. transverse and longitudinal  $\epsilon$ ) and LV volume was then made for each subject and polynomial regression was undertaken to determine the relationship between  $\epsilon$  and volume such that  $\epsilon$  could be interpolated for 10% increments of LVEDV as previously described [14]. In brief,  $\epsilon$  was calculated at each % increment of LVEDV to allow direct comparison between conditions and groups. The difference between  $\epsilon$  at any given % of EDV in systole and diastole was calculated to provide a 'gradient'. This assessment provides an indication of the systolic-diastolic (un)coupling i.e. the absolute difference in the magnitude of  $\epsilon$  for the same volume in systole and diastole. Mean LV  $\epsilon$ - volume loops and the derived indices were calculated and presented as an average of all subjects in each group (RES and END) at each time point (baseline and post 24-week training). In this way, the temporal relationship of  $\epsilon$  across the cardiac cycle and its association to volume were presented such that the difference of  $\epsilon$  at any given volume in systole and diastole could be inspected. Figure 1 provides a diagram of the longitudinal and transverse loops highlighting (un)coupling as defined above. In addition to an exploratory statistical analysis the loops were visually assessed with regards to the slope of the curve in systole and diastole alongside peak  $\epsilon$  and end systolic/diastolic volumes.

INSERT FIGURE 1

### **Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) (version 23.0, Chicago IL, USA), and the critical  $\alpha$  was set at 0.05. All data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD. A p-value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. In order to compare the effect of 24 weeks of progressive training intervention (endurance vs.

resistance) on LV mechanics. two-way ANOVAs (training intervention by time) were used with pairwise post-hoc Bonferroni tests where significant interaction terms were observed. The exploratory analysis of the  $\epsilon$ -volume loops including  $\epsilon$  at %EDV and the calculation of (un)coupling were assessed using the same process i.e. two-way ANOVAs (training intervention by time). By way of a check for any small alterations in loading and heart rate that occurred with training, we undertook additional two-way ANCOVA's with delta HR and SBP as separate co-variables. Our group have previously presented good inter and intra-observer variability of the  $\epsilon$ -volume loop in healthy trained participants [14].

## RESULTS

As previously presented [18] exercise training was effective in modifying various functional, anthropometric and cardiac structural indices. For example, there was a significant main effect for time for systolic blood pressure, mean arterial pressure, resting HR, maximal HR, bench press, squat, total strength, total body fat and total lean mass (Table 1). In all cases, apart from strength data and lean body mass, these data decreased post-training. A significant time by intervention interaction effect was noted for resting heart rate (larger drop in endurance group) as well as bench press and total strength (larger increase in resistance group).

INSERT TABLE 1

The impact of training on LV structure, is presented in Table 2. There was a significant main effect for time with respect to LV mass and PWT with greater values post-training in endurance and resistance groups.

INSERT TABLE 2

## Left Ventricular Mechanics

Basal rotation demonstrated a significant main effect of time with the increase in basal rotation after endurance training higher than after resistance training (Table 3). There was a significant main effect of time for the time to peak longitudinal  $\epsilon$  with both groups having a longer time to peak longitudinal  $\epsilon$  post-training. These significant main effects remained after covariate analysis for small changes in HR and SBP. All other measures of global LV mechanics were not different between groups or across the training intervention.

INSERT TABLE 3

Longitudinal and transverse  $\epsilon$ -volume loops are presented in Figure 2 and Table 4 for both training groups pre- and post-intervention. There was a close coupling of longitudinal and transverse systolic and diastolic temporal  $\epsilon$ -volume characteristics at baseline. Inspection of Figure 2A-D reveals a modest rightward shift of both transverse and longitudinal  $\epsilon$ -volume loops. There was no apparent change in longitudinal  $\epsilon$ -volume coupling regardless of intervention type. There was a modest increase in transverse systolic-diastolic uncoupling in both groups, which is more visually apparent as a result of endurance training. This is supported by an exploratory statistical assessment of (un)coupling / gradient values for the main effect of training on transverse  $\epsilon$ -volume loop, particularly at 60%EDV (Table 4).

INSERT FIGURE 2 AND TABLE 4

## DISCUSSION

This is the first randomized, centre-based and closely supervised exercise training study to assess the impact of different modalities of exercise training on LV mechanics in humans. The key findings were that, 1) exercise training augmented basal rotation, 2) neither form of

training had discernible effects on other global measures of peak LV mechanics, and 3) exploratory transverse  $\epsilon$ -volume loops were uncoupled as a result of training.

The impact of training on LV structure has been presented and evaluated previously [18] and generally supported the concept that greater adaptation occurred after the imposition of an endurance training stimulus. This supports recent cross-sectional data [20,21] and suggests that concentric cardiac structural adaptation to the haemodynamic loading associated with exercise occurs substantially less frequently than previously proposed [5]. Whether both training modes, and specifically the endurance training programme which had a measureable effect on LV mass and LVEDV [18], would alter global and regional peak LV mechanics and the novel  $\epsilon$ -volume loops has not been reported previously.

To our knowledge, our work is the first to demonstrate that basal rotation increased with training. Whilst there was a modest difference between modalities, from an absolute perspective, this may have some potential physiological relevance since rotation importantly affects both systolic and diastolic function. Weiner *et al.* reported increased apical rotation and derived LV twist, but preserved basal rotation, in elite rowers who were subsequently tracked over training cycles [22]. Our findings differ from these results, in that basal rather than apical rotation was the parameter altered by training. Our study extends the Weiner findings, in that we randomized previously untrained subjects into dichotomous interventions, whereas the rowing intervention in elite athletes described by Weiner *et al.* is considered a mixed modality training stimulus. The exact mechanism for training-induced changes in basal and/or apical rotation and consequent twist are currently unknown and require further study. Whilst a change in apical rotation is easier to conceptualise as a consequence of training, it is a strength of the present study that relative impacts on both apical and basal mechanics can be derived. A change in basal rotation will impact on the “wringing out” effect of cardiac contraction, even in the absence of obvious apical adaptation. It is possible to speculate that our novel observation relating to basal rotation may impact more, in terms of ventricular interdependence, that a change in apical

behaviour. The impact of training in subjects with *a priori* impairment in cardiac function will provide an interesting comparator in future studies.

This is the first study, to our knowledge, to systematically assess  $\epsilon$ -volume loops after a randomised trial of exercise training in humans. We have previously demonstrated changes in  $\epsilon$ -volume loops in an acute exercise setting [9] as well as in a long-term clinical scenario [6] both a likely consequence of changes in cardiac work and loading. In the current study, inspection of the  $\epsilon$ -volume loops highlighted some potential differences in the impact of training modalities. Specifically, inspection of Figure 2a and 2c is suggestive that endurance training was associated with a somewhat larger change in systolic-diastolic uncoupling in the transverse plane relative the resistance group (Figure 2b and 2d). It is important to emphasise, however, that this finding is largely based on visual inspection of the loops. It is possible that a longer or more intense set of training interventions, or a study of a larger sample size, may in future reveal distinct impact of training modality on LV mechanics.

In general, neither training intervention resulted in systematic changes in global peak indices of LV mechanics. Data for global peak radial and circumferential  $\epsilon$  adds to that already reported for peak longitudinal  $\epsilon$  [18]. Previous athlete-control group comparisons of peak  $\epsilon$  generally support the lack of training related differences [13], suggesting that training has a limited impact on global mechanics. It is interesting to note that when Nottin *et al.* investigated peak  $\epsilon$  in different layers of LV tissue there was some evidence that this data was lower in trained cyclist compared to sedentary controls, which the authors suggested supported a greater “exercise-reserve” of  $\epsilon$  to underpin higher levels of LV functional performance during exercise. This difference was not noted in the endurance trained group but different level (sub-endocardial vs. sub-epicardial) analysis was not undertaken. A previous training study [2] reported an increase in peak longitudinal  $\epsilon$  after 90 days of rowing exercise in a group of experienced athletes. The intensity, duration, volume and mode of exercise would likely be different between experienced rowers and previously sedentary individuals, limiting the relevance of a direct comparison between

studies. More randomized, controlled within-subjects, longitudinal studies are required in different population groups employing a range of exercise stimuli.

We should note some important study-specific limitations. The interpretations of the outcomes of this study are limited to young healthy men undertaking a specific time and mode-limited exercise regimen. Whether the same outcomes would be apparent in different groups, including clinical or rehabilitation populations, requires further study. There is some evidence that training adaptations differ in women, and future studies should address these questions specifically [23]. We reported global peak LV mechanical data for  $\epsilon$  only although temporal data are captured in the  $\epsilon$ -volume loops. Further studies evaluating peak and temporal  $\epsilon$  data in the RV and both atria are required. The impact of training on  $\epsilon$ -rate in both systole and diastole might also prove insightful and has received scant attention to date. It is well established that cardiac structural and functional changes occur at different rates and by limiting our outcome measures to 24 weeks it is important to recognise that changes in structure and function may occur with different time courses, as seen in vascular adaptations to training [19]. As stated above, changes in volume may impact fibre alignment and, thus, mechanics. It is also possible that changes in HR or afterload impact on our findings, although training induced changes in these variables were modest in the present study and within a normal physiological range. A further important limitation is related to the variability that can be apparent in measures of echocardiographically-derived LV mechanics in humans (as indicated in Table 4 and Figure 2). Despite a well-controlled, randomized design, powered appropriately to detect changes in cardiac structure with training, we must nevertheless acknowledge that the present study recruited small numbers to both training arms and a larger trial, particularly involving clinical populations in whom changes may be more apparent, should be undertaken in future.

## CONCLUSIONS

Although global indices of LV mechanics were not significantly altered, 24 weeks of intense supervised exercise training was related to an increase in basal rotation in the present

378 study. This was accompanied by a modest rightward shift in LV  $\varepsilon$ -volume loops and some  
379 degree of systolic–diastolic uncoupling in transverse  $\varepsilon$ -volume loops after training.

380

#### 381 **FUNDING SOURCES**

382 Professor Green is supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council Principal  
383 Research Fellowship (APP1080914).

384 This study was supported by a National Heart Foundation of Australia Vanguard Grant  
385 100576

386

#### 387 **DISCLOSURES**

388 None

389

## REFERENCES

- 1) Anderson R., Smerup M., Sanchez-Quintana D., Loukas M., Lunkenheimer P. The three-dimensional arrangement of the myocytes in the ventricular walls. *Clin Anat* 2009;22,64-76
- 2) Baggish, A. L., Yared, K., Wang, F., Weiner, R. B., Hutter, A. M., Jr., Picard, M. H., & Wood, M. J. The impact of endurance exercise training on left ventricular systolic mechanics. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*, 2008;295(3), H1109-H1116.
- 3) Brown B., Green D., Wilson M., Drezner J., George K., Oxborough D. The Complex Phenotype of the Athletes Heart: Implications for Pre-Participation Screening. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev*. 2017;45(2):96-104
- 4) D'Ascenzi F., Caselli S., Solari M., Pelliccia A., Cameli M., Focardi M., Padeletti M., Corrado D., Bonifazi M., Mondillo S. Novel echocardiographic techniques for the evaluation of athletes' heart: A focus on speckle-tracking echocardiography. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2016;23,437-446
- 5) Finocchiaro G., Dhutia H., D'Silva A., Malhotra A., Steriotis A., Millar L., Prakash K., Narain R., Papadakis M., Sharma R., Sharma S. Effect of Sex and Sporting Discipline on LV Adaptation to Exercise. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2016;10(9),965-972
- 6) Hulshof H, Van Dijk A, George K, Hopman M, Thijssen D, Oxborough D. Exploratory Assessment of Left Ventricular Strain-Volume Loops in Severe Aortic Valve Disease. *J Physiol* 2017;595,3961-3971
- 7) Kovacs A., Apor A., Nagy A., Vago H., Toth A., Nagy Al., Kovats T., Sax B., Szeplaki G., Becker D., Merkely B. Left Ventricular Untwisting in Athlete's Heart: Key Role in Early Diastolic Filling? *Int J Sports Sci* 2014;35,259-264
- 8) Longhurst J., Kelly A., Gonyea W., Mitchell J. Echocardiographic left ventricular masses in distance runners and weight lifters. *J Appl Physiol* 1980;48(1):154-62
- 9) Lord R, George K, Somauroo J, Stemberge M, Jain N, Hoffman M, Shave R, Haddad F, Ashley E, Jones H, Oxborough D. Alterations in Cardiac Mechanics following Ultra-Endurance Exercise: Insights from Left and Right Ventricular Area-Deformation Loops. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2016;29,879-887



- 10) Millar L., Fernandez G., Dhutia H., Myott J., Malhotra A., Finocchiaro G., Tome M., Narain R., Papadakis M., Ketepe-Arachi T., Behr E., Prakash K., Sharma S., Sharma R. Exercise Echocardiography Has a High Sensitivity and Specificity in Differentiating Athlete's Heart From Dilated Cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* 2016;134:A15662
- 11) Morganroth J., Maron BJ., Henry W., Epstein S. Comparative left ventricular dimensions in trained athletes. *Ann Intern Med*, 1975;82(4), 521-524
- 12) Naylor L., George K., O'Driscoll G., Green D. The athlete's heart: a contemporary appraisal of the 'Morganroth hypothesis' *Sports Med*. 2008;38(1),69-90
- 13) Nottin, S., Doucende, G., Schuster-Beck, I., Dauzat, M., Obert, P. Alteration in left ventricular normal and shear strains evaluated by 2D-strain echocardiography in the athlete's heart. *J Physiol*, 2008;586, 4721-4733.
- 14) Oxborough D, Heemels A, Somauroo J, McClean G, Mistry P, Lord R, Utomi V, Jones N, Thijssen D, Sharma S, Osborne R, Sculthorpe N, George K. Left and Right Ventricular Longitudinal Strain-Volume/Area Relationships in Elite Athletes. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2016;32,1199-1211
- 15) Pluim B., Zwinderman A., van der Laarse A., van der Wall E. The Athlete's Heart : A Meta-Analysis of Cardiac Structure and Function. *Circulation* 1999;100,336-344
- 16) Schneider C., Forsythe L., Somauroo J., George K., Oxborough D. The Impact of Preload Reduction with Head-Up Tilt Testing on Longitudinal and Transverse Left Ventricular Mechanics: A Study Utilising Deformation Volume Analysis. *Echo Res Pract* 2018; 5,11-18
- 17) Spence A., Carter H., Murray C., Oxborough D., Naylor L., George K., Green D. MRI-derived Right Ventricular Adaptations to Endurance versus Resistance Training. *Med Sci Sports Exer* 2013;45,534-541
- 18) Spence AL., Naylor LH., Carter HH., Buck CL., Dembo L., Murray CP., Watson P., Oxborough D., George K., Green D. A prospective randomised longitudinal MRI study of left ventricular adaptation to endurance and resistance exercise training in humans. *J Physiol* 2011;589(22),5443-52
- 19) Tinken T., Thijssen D., Black M., Cable N., Green D. Time course of change in vasodilator function and capacity in response to exercise training in humans. *J Physiol* 2008;586,5003-5012

- 20) Utomi V., Oxborough D., Ashley E., Lord R., Fletcher S., Stembridge M., Shave R., Hoffman M., Whyte G., Somauroo J, Sharma., George K. Predominance of normal left ventricular geometry in the male 'athlete's heart'. *Heart* 2014;100(16),1264-71
- 21) Utomi V., Oxborough D., Whyte GP., Somauroo J., Sharma S., Shave R., Atkinson G., George K. Systematic review and meta-analysis of training mode, imaging modality and body size influences on the morphology and function of the male athlete's heart. *Heart* 2013;99(23),1727-31
- 22) Weiner R., DeLuca J., Wang F., Lin J., Wasfy M., Berkstresser B., Stohr E., Shave R., Lewis G., Hutter A., Picard M., Baggish A. Exercise-Induced Left Ventricular Remodeling Among Competitive Athletes A Phasic Phenomenon. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2015;8,e003651
- 23) Howden E., Perhonen M., Peshock R., Zhang R., Arbab-Zadeh A., Adams-Huet B., Levine B. Females have a blunted cardiovascular response one year of intensive supervised endurance training. *J Appl Physiol* 2015;119(1):37-46

## Figure and Table Legends

**Table 1** - Subject characteristics at baseline and after 24 weeks of training

**Table 2** - Echocardiographic conventional measurements at baseline and after 24 weeks Training

**Table 3** - Peak global LV  $\epsilon$  and rotation data at baseline and after 24 weeks training

**Table 4** – LV longitudinal and transverse strain-volume relationship at increments of % EDV

**Figure 1** – Diagrammatic representation of the LV  $\epsilon$ -volume loop highlighting the concept of Coupling

**Figure 2** – Longitudinal and transverse  $\epsilon$ -volume loops at baseline and following 24 weeks endurance training (2A – Endurance Longitudinal Strain, 2B – Resistance Longitudinal Strain, 2C Endurance Transverse Strain, 2D – Resistance Transverse Strain).

**Table 1** - Subject characteristics at baseline and after 24 weeks of training

	Endurance group (n=10)		Resistance group (n=13)	
Variable	Baseline	Post training	Baseline	Post training
Age	28.4 ± 1.9	-	26.6 ± 1.3	-
Body size and composition				
Height(m)	1.79 ± 0.02	-	1.81 ± 0.02	-
Weight(kg)	78.0 ± 5.4	78.3 ± 5.5	81.7 ± 4.2	83.3 ± 4.4
BSA(m <sup>2</sup> )	1.96 ± 0.07	1.97 ± 0.08	2.02 ± 0.06	2.04 ± 0.06
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.2 ± 1.3	24.3 ± 1.4	24.7 ± 1.0	25.2 ± 1.0
Total body fat (%)†	22.7 ± 2.4	21.1 ± 2.5	23.1 ± 2.0	21.4 ± 2.1
Total fat mass (kg)	17.7 ± 2.9	16.7 ± 3.1	18.6 ± 2.3	17.8 ± 2.5
Total lean mass (kg)†	56.9 ± 2.9	58.3 ± 3.0*	59.7 ± 2.3	62.0 ± 2.2
Cardiorespiratory measures				
SBP(mmHg)†	122 ± 2	119 ± 2	125 ± 1	119 ± 2
DBP(mmHg)	69 ± 3	68 ± 2	71 ± 2	70 ± 2
MAP(mmHg)†	87 ± 3	85 ± 2	89 ± 1	86 ± 2
Resting HR (bpm)‡	65 ± 3	58 ± 2	66 ± 3	65 ± 2
Maximum HR (bpm)†	197 ± 3	193 ± 2	200 ± 2	196 ± 2
Aerobic Fitness				
VO <sub>2peak</sub> (L min <sup>-1</sup> )	3.5 ± 0.2	3.8 ± 1.8	3.6 ± 0.3	3.6 ± 0.2
VO <sub>2peak</sub> (mL kg <sup>-1</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> )	45.8 ± 1.6	49.3 ± 2.2	44.0 ± 2.5	44.0 ± 2.2
Strength measures				
Bench press (kg)†‡	58 ± 5	61 ± 5	58 ± 5	69 ± 5*
Squat(kg)†	89 ± 7	122 ± 5	97 ± 6	139 ± 4
Total strength (kg)†‡	147 ± 10	183 ± 9*	155 ± 10	208 ± 9*
†P < 0.05 time effect by ANOVA (baseline, post training); ‡P < 0.05 time x intervention (endurance, resistance) interaction effect by ANOVA; *significantly different from pre-training at P < 0.05; BSA, body surface area; BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure; HR, heart rate.				

495

496 **Table 2** – Echocardiographic conventional measurements at baseline and after 24 weeks  
 497 training

	<b>Endurance Group (n = 10)</b>		<b>Resistance Group (n = 13)</b>	
	<b>Baseline</b>	<b>Post 24 week Training</b>	<b>Baseline</b>	<b>Post 24 week Training</b>
LV mass, g †	157.78 ± 46.25	179.57 ± 42.57	178.14 ± 36.02	186.0 ± 33.90
LVEDV, ml	106 ± 25	112 ± 25	114 ± 24	118 ± 22
LVIDd, cm	4.73 ± 0.46	4.65 ± 0.32	4.83 ± 0.31	4.71 ± 0.44
LVIDs, cm	3.25 ± 0.43	3.01 ± 0.22	3.13 ± 0.32	2.98 ± 0.36
IVS, cm	0.95 ± 0.16	1.09 ± 0.14	1.11 ± 0.12	1.09 ± 0.12
PW, cm †	1.06 ± 0.16	1.18 ± 0.22	1.09 ± 0.20	1.17 ± 0.18
E, m.s <sup>-1</sup>	0.76 ± 0.18	0.76 ± 0.19	0.71 ± 0.18	0.71 ± 0.14
A, m.s <sup>-1</sup>	0.38 ± 0.10	0.35 ± 0.10	0.35 ± 0.05	0.35 ± 0.06
E/A Ratio	2.11 ± 0.70	2.27 ± 0.60	2.09 ± 0.65	2.10 ± 0.74
†P < 0.05 time effect by ANOVA; LV mass, left ventricular mass; LVEDV, left ventricular end diastolic volume; LVIDd, Left ventricular internal diameter in diastole; LVIDs, Left ventricular internal diameter in systole; IVSd, interventricular septal thickness; PWT, posterior wall thickness, E, early diastolic filling velocity; A, late diastolic filling velocity				

499

500

501 **Table 3** - Peak global LV  $\varepsilon$  and rotation data at baseline and after 24 weeks training

PARAMETER	ENDURANCE BASELINE (Mean $\pm$ SD)	ENDURANCE TRAINED (Mean $\pm$ SD)	RESISTANCE BASELINE (Mean $\pm$ SD)	RESISTANCE TRAINED (Mean $\pm$ SD)
Longitudinal $\varepsilon$ (%)	-18 $\pm$ 2	-18 $\pm$ 2	-16 $\pm$ 2	-17 $\pm$ 2
TTP longitudinal $\varepsilon$ (ms) <sup>†</sup>	347 $\pm$ 17	380 $\pm$ 54	366 $\pm$ 34	378 $\pm$ 34
Circumferential $\varepsilon$ (%)	-20 $\pm$ 4	-19 $\pm$ 3	-21 $\pm$ 4	-19 $\pm$ 5
TTP circumferential $\varepsilon$ (ms)	364 $\pm$ 31	382 $\pm$ 57	390 $\pm$ 33	402 $\pm$ 46
Radial $\varepsilon$ (%)	48 $\pm$ 17	47 $\pm$ 17	53 $\pm$ 19	41 $\pm$ 18
TTP radial $\varepsilon$ (ms) <sup>†</sup>	365 $\pm$ 40	423 $\pm$ 89	436 $\pm$ 28	443 $\pm$ 82
Apical Rot ( $^{\circ}$ )	10.4 $\pm$ 4.6	9.4 $\pm$ 5.2	9.0 $\pm$ 4.1	10.4 $\pm$ 3.5
Basal Rot ( $^{\circ}$ ) $\ddagger$	-2.2 $\pm$ 1.9	-4.5 $\pm$ 3.3	-2.6 $\pm$ 2.9	-3.7 $\pm$ 2.8
Twist ( $^{\circ}$ )	12.0 $\pm$ 5.1	13.4 $\pm$ 8.3	10.7 $\pm$ 5.4	13.4 $\pm$ 3.6
<sup>†</sup> P < 0.05 time effect by ANOVA; $\ddagger$ P = 0.05 time effect by ANOVA; TTP, time to peak. Rot, rotation.				

502

503

504

505 **Table 4** – LV longitudinal and transverse strain-volume relationship at increments of % EDV

Parameter	END(n=10)	END(n=10)	P	RES(n=13)	RES(n=13)	P	P-values ANOVA		
	Baseline	Post	T-test	Baseline	Post	T-test			
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD		Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD				
<b>LONGITUDINAL Systolic strain</b>							<b>T</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>T*I</b>
90% EDV(%)	-2.4±1.09	-2.5±0.3	0.975	-3.0±0.2	-3.0±0.3	0.978	0.993	0.134	0.965
80% EDV(%)	-5.7±0.5	-5.5±0.5	0.882	-6.1±0.5	-6.1±0.5	0.938	0.939	0.315	0.860
70% EDV(%)	-8.9±0.7	-8.6±0.7	0.839	-9.2±0.6	-9.3±0.6	0.897	0.925	0.440	0.798
60% EDV(%)	-12.1±0.7	-11.8±0.7	0.804	-12.2±0.6	-12.4±0.6	0.844	0.927	0.557	0.739
50% EDV(%)	-15.3±0.7	-15.0±0.7	0.764	-15.3±0.6	-15.5±0.7	0.782	0.945	0.716	0.673
40% EDV(%)	-18.6±0.7	-18.2±0.8	0.719	-18.3±0.6	-18.6±0.7	0.743	0.987	0.943	0.619
<b>LONGITUDINAL Diastolic strain</b>									
90% EDV(%)	-1.5±0.4	-1.9±0.4	0.428	-2.2±0.4	-2.6±0.3	0.348	0.214	0.104	0.883
80% EDV(%)	-3.6±0.6	-4.0±0.6	0.611	-4.7±0.5	-5.2±0.6	0.348	0.318	0.097	0.926
70% EDV(%)	-6.3±0.7	-6.6±0.8	0.758	-7.6±0.6	-8.1±0.7	0.336	0.432	0.121	0.860
60% EDV(%)	-9.8±0.7	-9.9±0.8	0.947	-10.9±0.6	-11.3±0.8	0.371	0.605	0.180	0.726
50% EDV(%)	-14.0±0.7	-13.7±0.9	0.813	-14.5±0.6	-14.9±0.8	0.533	0.873	0.349	0.587
40% EDV(%)	-18.9±0.7	-18.1±1.0	0.608	-18.4±0.7	-18.8±0.9	0.722	0.866	0.882	0.514
<b>LONGITUDINAL SYS-DIA Gradient</b>									

90% EDV(%)	-1.2±0.4	-0.9±0.4	0.501	-0.8±0.3	-0.4±0.4	0.243	0.185	0.298	0.806
80% EDV(%)	-2.4±0.5	-1.9±0.6	0.519	-1.4±0.4	-0.9±0.6	0.318	0.269	0.138	0.915
70% EDV(%)	-2.9±0.6	-2.4±0.7	0.552	-1.6±0.5	-1.2±0.7	0.415	0.344	0.101	0.847
60% EDV(%)	-2.8±0.5	-2.3±0.7	0.604	-1.4±0.5	-1.1±0.6	0.488	0.413	0.078	0.865
50% EDV(%)	-1.9±0.4	-1.7±0.5	0.764	-0.8±0.4	-0.6±0.5	0.558	0.560	0.062	0.987
40% EDV(%)	-0.4±0.3	-0.6±0.4	0.654	0.1±0.3	0.2±0.4	0.781	0.797	0.156	0.571
<b>TRANSVERSE Systolic strain</b>							<b>T</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>T*I</b>
90% EDV(%)	3.6±0.7	4.8±1.5	0.412	2.0±0.6	4.5±1.3	0.112	0.072	0.382	0.509
80% EDV(%)	7.4±1.1	10.3±2.4	0.226	6.2±1.0	9.3±2.2	0.252	0.097	0.570	0.956
70% EDV(%)	11.8±1.6	16.0±3.1	0.190	11.2±1.4	14.0±2.8	0.448	0.161	0.590	0.760
60% EDV(%)	16.8±1.9	21.8±3.4	0.186	17.1±1.8	18.4±3.1	0.749	0.298	0.529	0.533
50% EDV(%)	22.5±2.4	27.8±3.5	0.204	23.6±2.1	22.7±3.2	0.853	0.573	0.425	0.351
40% EDV(%)	28.9±2.9	33.9±3.7	0.251	30.9±2.7	26.7±3.4	0.477	0.987	0.346	0.223
<b>TRANSVERSE Diastolic strain</b>									
90% EDV(%)	2.7±0.6	2.1±0.7	0.660	1.8±0.6	3.0±0.6	0.233	0.647	0.984	0.275
80% EDV(%)	6.0±1.0	5.2±1.2	0.719	5.5±0.9	6.4±1.0	0.547	0.912	0.667	0.506
70% EDV(%)	10.3±1.3	10.0±1.5	0.896	10.3±1.2	10.5±1.4	0.913	0.993	0.797	0.863
60% EDV(%)	15.5±1.7	16.3±2.0	0.759	16.1±1.5	15.3±1.8	0.794	0.963	0.918	0.696
50% EDV(%)	21.7±2.3	24.2±3.0	0.433	23.1±2.0	20.9±2.7	0.617	0.971	0.665	0.402
40% EDV(%)	28.8±3.1	33.8±4.5	0.329	31.3±2.8	27.1±4.0	0.520	0.993	0.515	0.277
<b>TRANSVERSE SYS-DIA Gradient</b>									
90% EDV(%)	0.8±0.8	3.3±1.6	0.213	0.1±0.7	1.5±1.4	0.223	0.080	0.362	0.568
80% EDV(%)	1.5±1.3	5.6±2.3	0.172	0.7±1.2	2.9±2.1	0.194	0.057	0.415	0.531
70% EDV(%)	1.9±1.6	6.5±2.6	0.164	1.0±1.4	3.5±2.3	0.189	0.053	0.410	0.529



60% EDV(%)	1.9±1.5	5.9±2.2	0.153	0.9±1.3	3.1±2.0	0.184	0.048	0.360	0.532
50% EDV(%)	1.7±1.2	3.9±1.3	0.177	0.5±1.1	1.8±1.2	0.210	0.061	0.263	0.604
40% EDV(%)	1.1±1.2	0.5±1.4	0.772	-0.3±1.1	-0.4±1.2	0.913	0.755	0.423	0.814
Data are presented as mean±SD. END, Endurance trained; RES, Resistance trained; T, Time (baseline, post training); I, Intervention (Resistance or Endurance); EDV, end diastolic volume.									

506