



## LJMU Research Online

**Bates, G, Tod, D, Leavey, C and McVeigh, J**

**An evidence-based socioecological framework to understand men's use of anabolic androgenic steroids and inform interventions in this area**

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/8831/>

### Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

**Bates, G, Tod, D, Leavey, C and McVeigh, J (2018) An evidence-based socioecological framework to understand men's use of anabolic androgenic steroids and inform interventions in this area. *Drugs: Education, Prevention. and Policv.* ISSN 0968-7637**

LJMU has developed [LJMU Research Online](#) for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact [researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk](mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk)

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

Title: An evidence-based socioecological framework to understand men's use of anabolic androgenic steroids and inform interventions in this area.

Geoff Bates<sup>a\*</sup>, David Tod<sup>b</sup>, Conan Leavey<sup>a</sup>, Jim McVeigh<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Public Health Institute, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK

<sup>b</sup>School of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK

\*Corresponding author: Geoff Bates. Address: Public Health Institute, Faculty of Education, Health and Community, Liverpool John Moores University, Henry Cotton Campus, 15-21 Webster Street, Liverpool L3 2ET, UK; email: g.bates@ljmu.ac.uk; tel: 44(0)151 231 4416.

Orcid ID <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6932-2372>

Abbreviated title: A sociological framework to understand men's use of anabolic steroids

An evidence-based socioecological framework to understand men's use of anabolic androgenic steroids and inform interventions in this area.

## Abstract

Research into men's use of anabolic androgenic steroids (AAS) over the past three decades has identified many factors that contribute to decision making in this area. However there are limited theoretical frameworks to synthesize this research and guide practice, such as interventions to prevent use or reduce health risks. To address this gap a socioecological framework is presented based upon the international literature examining AAS use. Socioecological models recognize that individuals and behaviors exist within complex physical and social systems and are useful tools for guiding interventions to ensure consideration is given to multiple influential factors. This framework proposes that use of AAS is the result of the interaction of a range of factors at the individual, social network, institutional, community and societal levels that are likely to change over time and with experience. Viewed through this framework it becomes clear that AAS use can be a complex behavior with many influential environments and relationships impacting on a diverse population in different ways and at different times. The implications of findings for engaging with people who use AAS and delivering interventions are discussed, such as the identification of important transition times and influencing norms within social groups and communities.

Keywords: anabolic androgenic steroids; performance enhancing drugs; socioecological framework; drug education and prevention; decision making

Word count: 4,691

An evidence-based socioecological framework to understand men's use of anabolic androgenic steroids and inform interventions in this area.

## Introduction

### Anabolic androgenic steroids

Anabolic androgenic steroids (AAS) are the most prominent of a range of substances used to modify appearance and performance. Global prevalence has been estimated at 6.4% amongst males (Sagoe, Molde, Andreassen, Torsheim, & Pallesen, 2014) with use increasingly common outside of sporting environments (McVeigh & Begley, 2016; Pope, Kanayama, et al., 2014; Sagoe, Molde, et al., 2014). Although AAS can be used without harmful consequences in clinical settings, far greater doses are observed outside these environments (Pope, Kanayama, et al., 2014) where the quality of substances purchased cannot be controlled. Evidence increasingly suggests that this use is associated with a wide range of acute and chronic adverse health consequences (Pope, Wood, et al., 2014) and consequently interventions are required to reduce harmful use of AAS and improve health outcomes for those using them.

### The socioecological model

In order to understand a behavior it is important to recognise that it does not exist in isolation, but is part of a wider system of intra- and inter-personal beliefs, behaviors, contexts and cultures (Michie, Atkins, & West, 2014). Like many other theories of behavior the socioecological model recognizes the influence of personal characteristics and immediate social influences, but also emphasizes the role of wider physical, social and cultural factors. The socioecological model places individuals within complex physical and social systems and suggests that health and behavior are a consequence of interactions between these individuals and their immediate and distal environments and experiences (Bronfenbrenner, 1986; Stokols,

1992). This is important because to develop effective interventions researchers should consider both individuals and the complex systems and environments in which they operate, and the interactions that occur between them (Sniehotta et al., 2017). The socioecological framework suggests interventions need to address multiple levels in order to achieve sustained behavior change (Sallis & Owen, 2015). However, it appears that behavioral interventions have tended to focus solely on individual and intrapersonal factors and rarely sought to influence community, institutional and societal level factors (Golden & Earp, 2012) which has also been identified with AAS interventions (Bates et al., 2017).

### Aim and purpose

A body of evidence has been developed over the past three decades pointing towards a variety of factors that influence choices relating to AAS use amongst different groups and in different environments. Together these studies have highlighted the variability amongst people who use AAS, but there are a lack of frameworks bringing this evidence together to stimulate and guide interventions. This work attempts to address this by bringing together quantitative and qualitative research examining experiences and beliefs about AAS to present AAS use through a socioecological framework. Frameworks of this nature have been successfully applied to understand and guide policy relating to a variety of behaviors such as violence (Smith Slep, Foran, & Heyman, 2014), physical activity (Elder et al., 2007) and smoking (Corbett, 2001) where, as with AAS, decisions may be effected by factors at different levels. Discussing the different influences on AAS use and how these influences interact to effect decision making in one framework will support the development and implementation of interventions, such as those that aim to prevent or reduce use of AAS or to improve health outcomes and healthy behaviors amongst users.

## Methods and approach

Researchers have sought to increase understanding on AAS use over the past three decades. Recently, three systematic reviews of the literature (Brennan, Wells, & Van Hout, 2016; Nicholls et al., 2017; Sagoe, Andreassen, & Pallesen, 2014) have identified the motivating and risk factors for AAS use within this literature. This article builds upon this work by bringing together the evidence identified on AAS decisionmaking within these three reviews, alongside additional studies within this evidence base, into one framework.

Articles included within these three reviews were examined to identify factors that influenced AAS decision-making. Evidence from studies of all methodological designs were eligible to be included within the framework. This included studies predominantly based upon interviews and surveys that focused on any population or setting (such as, but not restricted to bodybuilders, gym members, elite or non-elite athletes, prisoners, young people) and considered AAS use in any non-medical context. The reference lists of studies included within the three reviews were reviewed to identify additional relevant studies and citation searching was carried out to identify newer articles not included within these reviews.

Identified articles were reviewed and where study findings related to causes or influences on AAS use, including those relating to initiation, continuation and cessation, these were extracted. These factors were grouped together thematically and then organized using a socioecological framework. The framework, presented in figure 1, presents use of AAS as the result of the interaction between an individual's biological and demographic characteristics, beliefs and experiences; the norms, attitudes and pressures in their social networks and relationships; the institutions and environments where these social relationships occur; the characteristics of the communities they experience; and the norms and policies of the wider society these influences exist within. Relevant theoretical concepts and evidence from outside

the AAS evidence base was brought into the discussion of the framework alongside the evidence identified in this study to provide context to findings within each layer of the framework.

[Figure 1 here]

#### Findings: Levels within the framework

The factors identified as influencing AAS use are presented here through the levels in the framework. The originator of the theory of ecological systems, Urie Bronfenbrenner, updated his model to include what he termed the chronosystem to allow for the influences of time and environments that individuals experience at different points in their life (Bronfenbrenner, 1986). To understand AAS use it must be accepted that individuals will move in and out of different leisure environments, workplaces, social networks and communities over their lifetime. At many important transition points in their life (e.g., starting a career, entering higher education), individuals will be exposed to new influences in new settings and these can all effect their decision-making. Therefore, within each level in the framework the impact of time and experiences is recognized.

#### Individual level

Prevention interventions for AAS have typically focused on changing individual level factors (Bates et al., 2017) and evidence suggests that attitudes, beliefs and personal traits do contribute to decisions about AAS use. Recent work has sought to develop a typology of male AAS users based around their individual characteristics (Christiansen, Vinther, & Liokaftos, 2016). The typology includes four ideal types, but recognizes that there is much further variation in characteristics and approaches to AAS use. Beliefs about appearance and AAS (Bloodworth, Petroczi, Bailey, Pearce, & McNamee, 2012; Judge et al., 2012; Lucidi et al., 2008) and their

use by others (Woolf, Rimal, & Sripad, 2014) have been identified as important and attitudes are likely to be reinforced or changed through personal experience over time. It is commonly identified that individuals may initiate use of AAS to overcome perceived genetic physical limitations (Grogan, Shepherd, Evans, Wright, & Hunter, 2006; Hanley Santos & Coomber, 2017; Kimergård, 2014; Van Hout & Kean, 2015). This suggests that biological factors such as muscularity and body shape that can be achieved through natural and chemical means, as well as the body's response to AAS, will influence decision-making. It has also been suggested that some individuals may be more susceptible to AAS dependence due to biological characteristics. For example, variations relating to the neuroendocrine system and neurotransmitter mechanisms may increase or reduce vulnerability to severe withdrawal symptoms following cessation of AAS (Kanayama, Brower, Wood, Hudson, & Pope, 2009). Certain demographic factors have also been associated with AAS. Use is more prominent amongst males, and while initiation occurs within a wide age range it is most likely before age 30 (Sagoe, Andreassen, et al., 2014).

Factors such as high drive for muscularity or muscle dysmorphia (Jampel, Murray, Griffiths, & Blashill, 2016; Zelli, Lucidi, & Mallia, 2010), holding masculine values (Keane, 2005), valuing traditional male roles (Kanayama, Barry, Hudson, & Pope, 2006) and low levels of self-esteem (Blank, Schobersberger, Leichtfried, & Duschek, 2016; Nicholls et al., 2014) have been associated with increased likelihood of AAS use. Other personal factors identified include a history of abuse or bullying (Dennington, Dillon, Finney Lamb, & Larance, 2008; Petrocelli, Oberweis, & Petrocelli, 2008), the tendency for risk taking behaviors (DuRant, Escobedo, & Heath, 1995; Pedersen & Wichstrøm, 2001) and moral disengagement (Judge et al., 2012; Mallia et al., 2016). The SE framework recognizes the significance of these individual level factors, but suggests that they become more important in, and can be influenced by, certain environmental and social contexts.



## Social network level

The evidence points towards the importance on AAS use of relationships and social networks in many different environments. Mixing with others who use AAS can be influential through normalizing and providing access to AAS (Boardley & Grix, 2014; Dennington et al., 2008; Maycock & Howat, 2005), and facilitating the diffusion of information (Grogan et al., 2006; Kimergård, 2014; Maycock & Howat, 2005). Similarly, the increased acceptability of, and positive attitudes towards, AAS by significant others (Lucidi et al., 2008; Zelli, Mallia, & Lucidi, 2010), and, for athletes in their support team such as coaches, trainers and medical staff (Hoffman et al., 2008; Madigan, Stoeber, & Passfield, 2016; Nicholls et al., 2014; Stilger & Yesalis, 1999) are likely to impact on use. Pressure from peers (Midgley, Heather, & Davies, 1999; Wroble, Gray, & Rodrigo, 2002) as well as perceived pressure to use AAS in order to compete with peers who are already doing so may also encourage uptake and continued use (Canadian Centre for Ethics in Sport, 1992; Grogan et al., 2006; Olrich & Ewing, 1999; Petrocelli et al., 2008).

A substantial body of evidence points towards the importance of the social benefits from AAS use as contributing towards behavior. The desire to fit in and gain approval is likely therefore to be important in initiation, while positive reinforcement and a sense of belonging may drive continued use. Work exploring the experiences of individuals who use AAS has consistently identified social recognition and peer approval as important benefits from the physique gains achieved with the help of AAS (Dennington et al., 2008; Hanley Santos & Coomber, 2017; Kimergård, 2014; Olrich, 1999; Olrich & Ewing, 1999; Petrocelli et al., 2008; Ravn & Coffey, 2016). Researchers have documented the importance of socialization associated with AAS use amongst groups such as body builders (Maycock & Howat, 2005, 2007) and doormen (Monaghan, 2003). The influence of others from networks of AAS users extends beyond their

substance use into lifestyle and behavior (Fussell, 1991; Maycock & Howat, 2007). Additionally, in an AAS culture a shared perception of safety regarding risky practices may support increased risk taking in these environments (Kimergård & McVeigh, 2014a). Comparisons can be made with the 'risk environments' theorized for other groups who use drugs where belonging to a group with similar values and beliefs helps rationalize risk and make it acceptable (Rhodes, 1997, 2009).

The association between AAS use and enhanced social status and belonging has also been identified in online communities (Smith & Stewart, 2012). The growth of the internet has increased the amount of information available on AS, which may enhance feelings of capability and safety amongst users (Kimergård, 2014) and make available information that previously would have been predominantly transferred within sub cultures. Online forums are popular amongst many AAS users and facilitate the sharing of experiences and information (Smith & Stewart, 2012). Further, AAS are easily purchased online from a number of websites (McBride, Carson, & Coward, 2016).

#### Institutional level

Social environments and organisations are settings where important information is disseminated, interactions occur and relationships develop that are particularly influential to AAS use. Participation in competitive or non-competitive weight training and sports where strength and physique are important are risk factors (Nicholls et al., 2017) and gym and sporting environments are perhaps most frequently discussed in relation to AAS. Where use is common, the acceptability (Boardley & Grix, 2014; Dennington et al., 2008) and normalization (Boardley & Grix, 2014; Dennington et al., 2008; Grogan et al., 2006; Hanley Santos & Coomber, 2017) of AAS in that environment appears to facilitate use in others and provides access to suppliers and information providers. Environments and institutions where AAS use

is seen as normal, acceptable (by both users and non-users) and beneficial may be conducive to initiating and continuing use. Individuals who otherwise would not have considered using AAS, for example a young person entering a gym or competitive sporting environment may find peers having a significant impact upon their AAS decision making.

‘Normalization’ effects have also been identified in the workplace (Hanley Santos & Coomber, 2017). The occupational use of AAS has been discussed relating to professions such as police officers (Hoberman, 2015, 2017; Turvey & Crowder, 2015), doormen (Maycock, 1999; Maycock & Howat, 2007; Midgley, Heather, & Davies, 2001; Monaghan, 2003) and army personnel (Hoberman, 2017). This may help to achieve the strength and size perceived to be important for dealing with risky scenarios and to achieve the physique expected by employers and colleagues. Similarly, in prison environments prisoners may feel that projecting strength is important for reasons of safety, with this population identified as one of the most ‘at risk’ groups for using AAS (Sagoe, Molde, et al., 2014). Within certain environments the perceived benefits from AAS may outweigh any perceived risks in a way that might not occur outside of that context.

#### Community level

Individuals and institutions should be considered within the context of the communities they exist within. Cohen and colleagues (D. A. Cohen, Scribner, & Farley, 2000) identified four categories of structural factors within communities that influence health behaviors including i) the availability of consumer products, ii) physical structures, iii) social structures and iv) cultural messages. According to Cohen’s model, the enforcement of policies and laws, access to environments and institutions conducive to or accepting of AAS, community norms about and prevalence of AAS and access to healthcare services impact upon AAS behaviors of the local population.

Access to and prevalence of environments such as those discussed in the institutional level will vary between communities. Examples may include the increased prevalence of hard-core bodybuilding gyms or manual occupations in more deprived or working class areas. Further, manual occupations have been linked with masculine and physical cultures and traditional male roles (Dolan, 2011; Nixon, 2009). These masculine values are evident at the community level and can be reinforced amongst boys from an early age (Evans, Frank, Oliffe, & Gregory, 2011) and in more working class communities may particularly be associated with toughness and success (Dolan, 2011). The relationship between AAS and socioeconomic status is not straightforward, however: Individuals who use AAS are more likely to be employed than those who use other illicit drugs and rates of educational achievement, employment and income amongst AAS using populations have been demonstrated to be comparable or better than average (J. Cohen, Collins, Darkes, & Gwartney, 2007; Westerman et al., 2016). Purchasing AAS along with nutritional supplements and other substances often used concurrently to further enhance physique or manage AAS side effects (Sagoe et al., 2015) for long periods of time, along with additional costs such as gym membership and equipment, indicates that a commitment to the bodybuilding lifestyle can be expensive.

The availability of appropriate healthcare services and the level of engagement between health professionals and the local population of AAS users will influence health seeking behaviors, information provision and treatment opportunities. In countries such as the UK and Australia needle and syringe programmes are a common source of injecting equipment (Dunn, Henshaw, & McKay, 2016; Kimergård & McVeigh, 2014b) and with AAS associated with a range of acute and chronic adverse effects (including those associated with needle sharing) healthcare is important. However many users may be reluctant to engage with healthcare services (Zahnow, McVeigh, Ferris, & Winstock, 2017) and report concerns about stigma, knowledge and attitudes of health professionals that may reduce contact (Dunn et al., 2016). Men with

strong masculine values may be reluctant to engage with the health care system (Courtenay, 2000; Springer & Mouzon, 2011). Further, where healthcare coverage is inadequate this can have adverse health effects for AAS users (Griffiths, Henshaw, McKay, & Dunn, 2017).

#### Societal level

At this final level factors are included that together generate the societies that AAS users exist within, and promotes norms and expectations regarding body image, gender stereotypes and AAS use. These concepts have been explored extensively by Harrison Pope and colleagues. They suggest that increases in body insecurity and desire to increase muscularity amongst males in many parts of the world over the past decades reflect Western societal expectations regarding the male physique and unrealistic body ideals that AAS may help to reach towards (Pope, Phillips, & Olivardia, 2000). Pope suggests this is reflected in portrayals of strong men, such as the increasingly muscular physiques of male action heroes and children's toys. Research with men who use AAS has suggested that some were influenced by images and portrayals of AAS and muscular physiques in media such as men's and fitness magazines (Dennington et al., 2008) and social media (Van Hout & Kean, 2015) and AAS prevalence is higher worldwide in regions where muscularity is associated with masculine values (Sagoe 2014). It is clear however that most men who are exposed to such images and ideals will not go on to use AAS and it is important to consider why these portrayals resonate with some individuals but not with others. This may reflect the interacting influences of other factors explored in this framework such as values and beliefs regarding muscularity, masculinity and AAS inherent in communities and individuals.

The role of media and cultural influences may be in promoting muscular and lean body ideals, rather than explicitly AAS, and different forms of media exposure have been associated with attitudes and expectations relating to muscularity and body dissatisfaction. For example,

exposure to increasingly muscular and lean images of male characters in video games has been linked to body and muscle dissatisfaction and more muscular body ideals (Agliata & Tantleff-Dunn, 2004; Barlett, Vowels, & Saucier, 2008; Harrison & Bond, 2007). Similarly, depictions of men on television and film are typically more muscular and lean than the average man (Dallesasse & Kluck, 2013; Lin, 1998; Morrison & Halton, 2009) and time spent watching television has been associated with increased drive for muscularity (Cramblitt & Pritchard, 2013). When individuals feel unable to match these body standards reinforced through cultural representations of the male physique they may be more likely to use AAS. Indeed, the prevalence of AAS amongst western in comparison to Eastern cultures is indication of the importance of these cultural factors (Kanayama & Pope, 2011). A recent study identified a positive correlation between the level of news coverage regarding AAS use amongst athletes and celebrities and public interest in AAS in Peru, as measured through internet searches (Avilez, Zevallos-Morales, & Taype-Rondan, 2017). This raises the question of how the public responds to media coverage of high profile cases of AAS use and prevalence in the general population. It is possible that increased coverage in AAS and establishes perceptions of normality and acceptability, and behavioral theories have long pointed to the influence that belief about the prevalence of a behavior holds.

Finally, there are many examples of policies that can influence AAS use. Most sporting organisations ban AAS alongside other performance enhancing drugs (PEDs) (WADA, 2017), with drug testing policies commonly implemented for elite sportspeople as part of efforts to reduce PED use. These are seen as a deterrent by some athletes but not others (Overbye, 2017) and some athletes may seek alternative PEDs not identified through testing procedures or exploit exemption policies allowed for those with legitimate medical conditions (Harper & Donnor, 2017). The effectiveness of these policies may be influenced by factors within other levels in this framework such as attitudes of individuals and their significant others towards

drug testing and consequences of being caught. Governments can influence the availability and acceptability of AAS through laws, which vary by country. For example, in the UK personal possession is legal while supplying AAS is an offence and there are restrictions on importing AAS purchased outside of the UK or online. In the USA possession is illegal, unless prescribed. The commissioning and funding of services or materials designed to educate or to reduce harm associated with AAS, such as clinics, needle and syringe programmes, or information websites and hotlines are examples of public policies that may influence AAS use and related behavior.

## Discussion

This framework helps us understand the association between AAS use and a range of factors that change over time as users experience new environments and develop new relationships and social networks. Further, this provides a framework through which to view routes for interventions to influence behavior and outcomes related to AAS use. To date, interventions have focused predominantly on individual level factors within school environments (Bates et al., 2017). As illustrated here however there are many other potential environments worthy of attention, and other influencing factors to consider when planning such interventions. For example there may be merit in changing knowledge and beliefs about body norms and AAS, but prevention efforts that fail to also recognise the importance of wider behavioral systems, for example social networks and the environments these networks are formed and interact in, are unlikely to achieve sustained benefits. Conversely, focusing on the wider systemic levels without considering individual behaviors is also likely to lead to ineffective interventions (Sniehotta et al., 2017) and people who use AAS represent a diverse population with different motivations, experiences and backgrounds.

A number of environments influence decisions relating to AAS such as gyms and sports clubs, workplaces, prisons, and healthcare services. Recognizing that these environments represent

dynamic ecological systems with individuals at the center and identifying the processes that drive AAS behaviors within them may help to support the development of effective interventions (Hawe, Shiell, & Riley, 2009). Throughout this article examples are provided that demonstrate how levels in the framework interact and help to explain why some factors may influence some individuals more than others at different times. A key concept underpinning the framework is the influence of time and experiences. It is expected that as individuals age and experience critical life events and new environments the factors that influence their decision making explored within this ecological framework will change. The timing for interventions will be important and approaches delivered at important transition times, such as upon entering environments where AAS are normalized or following injury or an adverse health outcome associated with AAS use, may be more likely to have a preventative or habit breaking impact.

While a broad range of factors influencing AAS use has been identified, the relative strength of these different factors at different times and for different populations is unclear. Studies to date have tended to explore potential predictors and causes of AAS use without seeking to compare these and future research could seek to quantify this to support interventions to focus on the most appropriate influencing factors for specific populations. The recent typology of AAS users (Christiansen et al., 2016) increases understanding of the types of people who use these substances and suggests that there is great variation in their characteristics, motives and approach. Therefore it is likely that the factors that influence decision making within this diverse population will vary greatly also. Using the typology and this framework together to identify which factors are more influential for different groups will help to continue recent progress in increasing understanding of AAS use and informing the responses of health professionals and policy makers.



Although the literature that informs this framework represents a substantial amount of evidence, it is likely that as the evidence base develops further this framework will require modification. For example, the majority of research in this field focuses on factors relating to the initiation of AAS and there is less investigation of later decisions such as changes in use, transitioning from oral to injectable AAS and cessation. Much of the research has focused on athletes, bodybuilders and strength training environments and may not represent many of this diverse population. Groups such as gay men, older men and those in prison environments may not be represented in this framework. Females also take AAS, but it cannot be assumed that they do so for the same reasons as men. Additionally it is clear that researchers to date have frequently focused on understanding individual and social influences on AAS use, and evidence at the community and organizational levels within this framework is more limited. Researchers seeking to understand health behaviours are becoming increasingly aware however of the importance of exploring the wider systems in which individuals exist (Hawe et al., 2009; Peters, 2014) and further exploration of environmental factors will provide valuable context to understand AAS decision making.

Certain factors appear particularly influential across multiple levels in the framework. Perceived normalization and acceptability of AAS amongst social groups in different environments and communities appears to facilitate initiation and continued use and exposure to norms regarding related concepts such as masculinity and muscularity also appear important. Establishing healthy and desirable injunctive norms amongst groups and communities or correcting false descriptive norms about AAS prevalence may therefore be beneficial. Related to this, the role of significant others (for example peers, competitors or colleagues) as information providers, motivators and in reinforcing behavior and the desire to achieve recognition and social capital appears influential in a range of environments. The influence of other users is clear, and suggests that peers and influential others could have a positive role in

delivering harm reducing and health promoting information to others in their networks and environments. More research on the dynamics of the social networks of users and their environments and investigating the diffusion of information within them may help understanding of how these relationships might be utilized. However, it remains important to consider these social networks in the context of the complex systems that people who use AAS operate within. Decisions are not the result of any one level of the system alone (De Savigny & Adam, 2009) and using this framework to explore factors across the different socioecological levels, and their interaction, will help ensure that those looking to understand AAS use or develop interventions account for this complexity.

## Conclusion

Using an ecological approach, this framework suggests that use of AAS is the result of the interaction of many factors at the individual, social network, institutional, community and societal levels that are likely to change over time. Increasing understanding of AAS use will support the development of effective interventions designed to prevent or reduce associated harms and interventions that recognise the influence of factors at the individual level and across the wider behavioral system may be more effective. The influences of peers, social networks and norms about AAS, muscularity and masculinity, particularly in the context of environments where AAS are associated with success or social rewards, appear particularly worth of attention.

## Declaration of interest statement

The authors report no conflict of interest

## References

- Agliata, D., & Tantleff-Dunn, S. (2004). The impact of media exposure on males' body image. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 23*(1), 7-22.
- Avilez, J. L., Zevallos-Morales, A., & Taype-Rondan, A. (2017). Use of enhancement drugs amongst athletes and television celebrities and public interest in androgenic anabolic steroids. Exploring two Peruvian cases with Google Trends. *Public Health, 146*, 29-31. doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2017.01.011
- Barlett, C. P., Vowels, C. L., & Saucier, D. A. (2008). Meta-analyses of the effects of media images on men's body-image concerns. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 27*(3), 279-310.
- Bates, G., Begley, E., Tod, D., Jones, L., Leavey, C., & McVeigh, J. (2017). A systematic review investigating the behaviour change strategies in interventions to prevent misuse of anabolic steroids. *Journal of Health Psychology, 0*(0), 1359105317737607. doi:10.1177/1359105317737607
- Blank, C., Schobersberger, W., Leichtfried, V., & Duschek, S. (2016). Health Psychological Constructs as Predictors of Doping Susceptibility in Adolescent Athletes. *Asian Journal of Sports Medicine, 7*(4), e35024. doi:10.5812/asjms.35024
- Bloodworth, A. J., Petroczi, A., Bailey, R., Pearce, G., & McNamee, M. J. (2012). Doping and supplementation: the attitudes of talented young athletes. *Scand J Med Sci Sports, 22*(2), 293-301. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0838.2010.01239.x
- Boardley, I. D., & Grix, J. (2014). Doping in bodybuilders: a qualitative investigation of facilitative psychosocial processes. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health, 6*(3), 422-439. doi:10.1080/2159676X.2013.766809
- Brennan, R., Wells, J. S. G., & Van Hout, M. C. (2016). The injecting use of image and performance-enhancing drugs (IPED) in the general population: a systematic review. *Health & Social Care in the Community*. doi:10.1111/hsc.12326
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1986). Ecology of the family as a context for human development: Research perspectives. *Developmental Psychology, 22*(6), 723-742. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.22.6.723>
- Canadian Centre for Ethics in Sport. (1992). *The body image study: A qualitative study of the use of performance-enhancing drugs by non-athletes*. Retrieved from
- Christiansen, A. V., Vinther, A. S., & Liokaftos, D. (2016). Outline of a typology of men's use of anabolic androgenic steroids in fitness and strength training environments. *Drugs: education, prevention and policy*.
- Cohen, D. A., Scribner, R. A., & Farley, T. A. (2000). A Structural Model of Health Behavior: A Pragmatic Approach to Explain and Influence Health Behaviors at the Population Level. *Preventive Medicine, 30*(2), 146-154. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1006/pmed.1999.0609>
- Cohen, J., Collins, R., Darkes, J., & Gwartney, D. (2007). A league of their own: demographics, motivations and patterns of use of 1,955 male adult non-medical anabolic steroid users in the United States. *Journal of the International Society of Sports Nutrition, 4*, 12-12. doi:10.1186/1550-2783-4-12
- Corbett, K. K. (2001). Susceptibility of youth to tobacco: a social ecological framework for prevention. *Respir Physiol, 128*(1), 103-118.
- Courtenay, W. H. (2000). Constructions of masculinity and their influence on men's well-being: a theory of gender and health. *Social Science & Medicine, 50*(10), 1385-1401. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536\(99\)00390-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(99)00390-1)
- Cramblitt, B., & Pritchard, M. (2013). Media's influence on the drive for muscularity in undergraduates. *Eat Behav, 14*(4), 441-446. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2013.08.003
- Dallesasse, S. L., & Kluck, A. S. (2013). Reality television and the muscular male ideal. *Body Image, 10*(3), 309-315. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2013.02.004>
- De Savigny, D., & Adam, T. (2009). *Systems thinking for health systems strengthening*: World Health Organization.

- Dennington, V., Dillon, P., Finney Lamb, C., & Larance, B. (2008). *Qualitative field study for users of performance and image enhancing drugs*. Retrieved from Parkside, South Australia:
- Dolan, A. (2011). 'You can't ask for a Dubonnet and lemonade!': working class masculinity and men's health practices. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 33(4), 586-601. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9566.2010.01300.x
- Dunn, M., Henshaw, R., & McKay, F. H. (2016). Do performance and image enhancing drug users in regional Queensland experience difficulty accessing health services? *Drug and Alcohol Review*, 35(4), 377-382. doi:10.1111/dar.12363
- DuRant, R. H., Escobedo, L. G., & Heath, G. W. (1995). Anabolic-steroid use, strength training, and multiple drug use among adolescents in the United States. *Pediatrics*, 96(1 Pt 1), 23-28.
- Elder, J. P., Lytle, L., Sallis, J. F., Young, D. R., Steckler, A., Simons-Morton, D., . . . Ribisl, K. (2007). A description of the social-ecological framework used in the trial of activity for adolescent girls (TAAG). *Health Educ Res*, 22(2), 155-165. doi:10.1093/her/cyl059
- Evans, J., Frank, B., Oliffe, J. L., & Gregory, D. (2011). Health, illness, men and masculinities (HIMM): a theoretical framework for understanding men and their health. *Journal of Men's Health*, 8(1), 7-15.
- Fussell, S. (1991). *Muscle: The confessions of an unlikely bodybuilder*. London: Scribners.
- Golden, S. D., & Earp, J. A. L. (2012). Social Ecological Approaches to Individuals and Their Contexts. *Health Education & Behavior*, 39(3), 364-372. doi:10.1177/1090198111418634
- Griffiths, S., Henshaw, R., McKay, F. H., & Dunn, M. (2017). Post-cycle therapy for performance and image enhancing drug users: A qualitative investigation. *Performance Enhancement & Health*, 5(3), 103-107. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peh.2016.11.002>
- Grogan, S., Shepherd, S., Evans, R., Wright, S., & Hunter, G. (2006). Experiences of anabolic steroid use: in-depth interviews with men and women body builders. *J Health Psychol*, 11. doi:10.1177/1359105306069080
- Hanley Santos, G., & Coomber, R. (2017). The risk environment of anabolic androgenic steroid users in the UK: Examining motivations, practices and accounts of use. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 40, 35-43. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2016.11.005
- Harper, S., & Donnor, J. (2017). *Scandals in College Sports*. London: Routledge.
- Harrison, K., & Bond, B. J. (2007). Gaming magazines and the drive for muscularity in preadolescent boys: A longitudinal examination. *Body Image*, 4, 269-277.
- Hawe, P., Shiell, A., & Riley, T. (2009). Theorising interventions as events in systems. *Am J Community Psychol*, 43(3-4), 267-276. doi:10.1007/s10464-009-9229-9
- Hoberman, J. M. (2015). Dopers in uniforms: police officers' use of anabolic steroids in the United States. In Moller V, Waddington I, & H. JM (Eds.), *Routledge Handbook of Drugs and Sport*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Hoberman, J. M. (2017). *The hidden world of police on steroids*. Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Hoffman, J., Faigenbaum, A., Ratamess, N., Ross, R., Kang, J., & Tenebaum, G. (2008). Nutritional supplementation and anabolic steroid use in adolescents. *Medicine and Science in Sport and Exercise*, 40(1), 15-24.
- Jampel, J. D., Murray, S. B., Griffiths, S., & Blashill, A. J. (2016). Self-Perceived Weight and Anabolic Steroid Misuse Among US Adolescent Boys. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 58(4), 397-402. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2015.10.003>
- Judge, L. W., Bellar, D., Petersen, J., Lutz, R., Gilreath, E., Simon, L., & Judge, M. (2012). The attitudes and perceptions of adolescent track and field athletes toward PED use. *Performance Enhancement & Health*, 1(2), 75-82. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peh.2012.04.002>
- Kanayama, G., Barry, S., Hudson, J., & Pope, H. (2006). Body image and attitudes toward male roles in anabolic-androgenic steroid users. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 163(4), 697-703. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.163.4.697

- Kanayama, G., Brower, K., Wood, R., Hudson, J., & Pope, H. (2009). Anabolic-androgenic steroid dependence: An emerging disorder. *Addiction*, *104*(12), 1966-1978. doi:10.1111%2Fj.1360-0443.2009.02734.x
- Kanayama, G., & Pope, H. (2011). Gods, men and muscle dysmorphia. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry*, *19*, 95-98.
- Keane, H. (2005). Diagnosing the male steroid user: drug use, body image and disordered masculinity. *Health*, *9*(2), 189-208.
- Kimergård, A. (2014). A qualitative study of anabolic steroid use amongst gym users in the United Kingdom: motives, beliefs and experiences. In *J Subst Use*.
- Kimergård, A., & McVeigh, J. (2014a). Environments, risk and health harms: a qualitative investigation into the illicit use of anabolic steroids among people using harm reduction services in the UK. *BMJ Open*, *4*(6).
- Kimergård, A., & McVeigh, J. (2014b). Variability and dilemmas in harm reduction for anabolic steroid users in the UK: a multi-area interview study. *Harm Reduction Journal*, *11*(1), 19. doi:10.1186/1477-7517-11-19
- Lin, C. A. (1998). Uses of Sex Appeals in Prime-Time Television Commercials. *Sex Roles*, *38*(5), 461-475. doi:10.1023/a:1018714006829
- Lucidi, F., Zelli, A., Mallia, L., Grano, C., Russo, P. M., & Violani, C. (2008). The social-cognitive mechanisms regulating adolescents' use of doping substances. *J Sports Sci*, *26*(5), 447-456. doi:10.1080/02640410701579370
- Madigan, D. J., Stoeber, J., & Passfield, L. (2016). Perfectionism and attitudes towards doping in junior athletes. *J Sports Sci*, *34*(8), 700-706. doi:10.1080/02640414.2015.1068441
- Mallia, L., Lazuras, L., Barkoukis, V., Brand, R., Baumgarten, F., Tsorbatzoudis, H., . . . Lucidi, F. (2016). Doping use in sport teams: The development and validation of measures of team-based efficacy beliefs and moral disengagement from a cross-national perspective. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *25*(Supplement C), 78-88. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2016.04.005>
- Maycock, B. (1999). Factors contributing to anabolic androgenic steroid related violence. *The Journal of Performance Enhancing Drugs*, *2*(3), 4-15.
- Maycock, B., & Howat, P. (2005). The barriers to illegal anabolic steroid use. *Drugs: education, prevention and policy*, *12*(4), 317-225.
- Maycock, B., & Howat, P. (2007). Social capital: implications from an investigation of illegal anabolic steroid networks. *Health education research*, *22*(6), 854-863. doi:10.1093/her/cym022
- McBride, J. A., Carson, C. C., 3rd, & Coward, M. (2016). The Availability and Acquisition of Illicit Anabolic Androgenic Steroids and Testosterone Preparations on the Internet. *Am J Mens Health*. doi:10.1177/1557988316648704
- McVeigh, J., & Begley, E. (2016). Anabolic steroids in the UK: an increasing issue for public health. *Drugs: education, prevention and policy*, 1-8. doi:10.1080/09687637.2016.1245713
- Michie, S., Atkins, L., & West, R. (2014). *The behaviour change wheel. A guide to designing interventions*. Great Britain: Silverback Publishing.
- Midgley, S., Heather, N., & Davies, J. (1999). Dependence-Producing Potential of Anabolic-Androgenic Steroids. *Addiction Research*, *7*(6), 539-550. doi:10.3109/16066359909004404
- Midgley, S., Heather, N., & Davies, J. (2001). Levels of Aggression among a Group of Anabolic-Androgenic Steroid Users. *Medicine, Science and the Law*, *41*(4), 309-314. doi:10.1177/002580240104100407
- Monaghan, L. E. E. (2003). Danger on the doors: Bodily risk in a demonised occupation. *Health, Risk & Society*, *5*(1), 11-31. doi:10.1080/1369857021000069814
- Morrison, T. G., & Halton, M. (2009). Buff, Tough, and Rough: Representations of Muscularity in Action Motion Pictures. *The Journal of Men's Studies*, *17*(1), 57-74. doi:10.3149/jms.1701.57
- Nicholls, A. R., Cope, E., Bailey, R., Koenen, K., Dumon, D., Theodorou, N. C., . . . Laurent, J.-F. (2017). Children's First Experience of Taking Anabolic-Androgenic Steroids can Occur before Their 10th

- Birthday: A Systematic Review Identifying 9 Factors That Predicted Doping among Young People. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 1015. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01015
- Nicholls, A. R., Perry, J. L., Levy, A. R., Meir, R., Jones, L., Baghurst, T., . . . Thompson, M. A. (2014). Coach perceptions of performance enhancement in adolescence: The sport drug control model for adolescent athletes. *Performance Enhancement & Health*, 3(2), 93-101. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peh.2015.07.001>
- Nixon, D. (2009). 'I Can't Put a Smiley Face On': Working-Class Masculinity, Emotional Labour and Service Work in the 'New Economy'. *Gender, Work & Organization*, 16(3), 300-322. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0432.2009.00446.x
- Orlich, T. W. (1999). Perceptions Of Benefits and Losses Associated With The Use and Discontinuance Of Anabolic-Androgenic Steroids Among Male Bodybuilders. *Journal of Personal and Interpersonal Loss*, 4(3), 231-242. doi:10.1080/10811449908409732
- Orlich, T. W., & Ewing, M. E. (1999). Life on steroids: Bodybuilders describe their perceptions of the anabolic-androgenic steroid use period. *The sport psychologist*, 13(3), 299-312.
- Overbye, M. (2017). Deterrence by risk of detection? An inquiry into how elite athletes perceive the deterrent effect of the doping testing regime in their sport. *Drugs: education, prevention and policy*, 24(2), 206-219. doi:10.1080/09687637.2016.1182119
- Pedersen, W., & Wichstrøm, L. (2001). Adolescents, Doping Agents, and Drug Use: A Community Study. *Journal of Drug Issues*, 31(2), 517-541. doi:10.1177/002204260103100208
- Peters, D. H. (2014). The application of systems thinking in health: why use systems thinking? *Health Research Policy and Systems*, 12(1), 51.
- Petrocelli, M., Oberweis, T., & Petrocelli, J. (2008). Getting Huge, Getting Ripped: A Qualitative Exploration of Recreational Steroid Use. *Journal of Drug Issues*, 38(4), 1187-1205. doi:10.1177/002204260803800412
- Pope, H., Kanayama, G., Athey, A., Ryan, E., Hudson, J., & Baggish, A. (2014). The lifetime prevalence of anabolic-androgenic steroid use and dependence in Americans: Current best estimates. *The American Journal on Addictions*, 23(4), 371-377. doi:10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.12118.x.
- Pope, H., Phillips, K. A., & Olivardia, R. (2000). *The adonis complex: The secret crisis of male body obsession*. New York: The Free Press.
- Pope, H., Wood, R., Rogol, A., Nyberg, F., Bowers, L., & Shalender, B. (2014). Adverse health consequences of performance-enhancing drugs: An endocrine society scientific statement. *Endocrine Review*, 35(3), 341-375. doi:10.1210/er.2013-1058
- Ravn, S., & Coffey, J. (2016). 'Steroids, it's so much an identity thing!' perceptions of steroid use, risk and masculine body image. *Journal of Youth Studies*, 19(1), 87-102. doi:10.1080/13676261.2015.1052051
- Rhodes, T. (1997). Risk theory in epidemic times: sex, drugs and the social organisation of 'risk behaviour'. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 19(2), 208-227. doi:10.1111/1467-9566.ep10934410
- Rhodes, T. (2009). Risk environments and drug harms: a social science for harm reduction approach. *Int J Drug Policy*, 20. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2008.10.003
- Sagoe, D., Andreassen, C. S., & Pallesen, S. (2014). The aetiology and trajectory of anabolic-androgenic steroid use initiation: a systematic review and synthesis of qualitative research. *Substance Abuse Treatment Prevention and Policy*, 9. doi:10.1186/1747-597X-9-27
- Sagoe, D., McVeigh, J., Bjornebekk, A., Essilfie, M. S., Andreassen, C. S., & Pallesen, S. (2015). Polypharmacy among anabolic-androgenic steroid users: a descriptive metasynthesis. *Substance Abuse Treatment Prevention and Policy*, 10. doi:10.1186/s13011-015-0006-5
- Sagoe, D., Molde, H., Andreassen, C. S., Torsheim, T., & Pallesen, S. (2014). The global epidemiology of anabolic-androgenic steroid use: a meta-analysis and meta-regression analysis. *Annals of Epidemiology*, 24(5), 383-398. doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2014.01.009
- Sallis, J., & Owen, N. (2015). Ecological models of health behavior. In K. Glanz, B. K. Rimer, & K. Viswanath (Eds.), *Health Behavior: Theory, Research and Practice*. California, USA: Jossey-Bass.



- Smith, A. C., & Stewart, B. (2012). Body perceptions and health behaviors in an online bodybuilding community. *Qual Health Res*, 22(7), 971-985. doi:10.1177/1049732312443425
- Smith Slep, A. M., Foran, H. M., & Heyman, R. E. (2014). An ecological model of intimate partner violence perpetration at different levels of severity. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 28(4), 470-482. doi:10.1037/a0037316
- Sniehotta, F. F., Araújo-Soares, V., Brown, J., Kelly, M. P., Michie, S., & West, R. (2017). Complex systems and individual-level approaches to population health: a false dichotomy? *The Lancet Public Health*, 2(9), e396-e397. doi:10.1016/S2468-2667(17)30167-6
- Springer, K. W., & Mouzon, D. M. (2011). "Macho Men" and Preventive Health Care: Implications for Older Men in Different Social Classes. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 52(2), 212-227. doi:10.1177/0022146510393972
- Stilger, V. G., & Yesalis, C. E. (1999). Anabolic-Androgenic Steroid Use among High School Football Players. *Journal of Community Health*, 24(2), 131-145. doi:10.1023/a:1018706424556
- Stokols, D. (1992). Establishing and maintaining healthy environments. Toward a social ecology of health promotion. *Am Psychol*, 47(1), 6-22.
- Turvey, B., & Crowder, S. (2015). *Anabolic steroid abuse in public safety personnel. A forensic manual.*: Academic Press.
- Van Hout, M. C., & Kean, J. (2015). An exploratory study of image and performance enhancement drug use in a male British South Asian community. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 26(9), 860-867. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2015.03.002>
- WADA. (2017). *The World Anti-Doping Code international standard prohibited list - January 2017*. Retrieved from [www.wada-ama.org](http://www.wada-ama.org)
- Westerman, M. E., Charchenko, C. M., Ziegelmann, M. J., Bailey, G. C., Nippoldt, T. B., & Trost, L. (2016). Heavy Testosterone Use Among Bodybuilders: An Uncommon Cohort of Illicit Substance Users. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, 91(2), 175-182. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2015.10.027>
- Woolf, J., Rimal, R. N., & Sripad, P. (2014). Understanding the influence of proximal networks on high school athletes' intentions to use androgenic anabolic steroids. *Journal of Sport Management*, 28(1), 8-20.
- Wroble, R. R., Gray, M., & Rodrigo, J. A. (2002). Anabolic steroids and preadolescent athletes: Prevalence, knowledge, and attitudes. *The Sport Journal*, 5, 1-8.
- Zahnow, R., McVeigh, J., Ferris, J., & Winstock, A. (2017). Adverse Effects, Health Service Engagement, and Service Satisfaction Among Anabolic Androgenic Steroid Users. *Contemporary Drug Problems*, 44(1), 69-83. doi:10.1177/0091450917694268
- Zelli, A., Lucidi, F., & Mallia, L. (2010). The relationships among adolescents' drive for muscularity, drive for thinness, doping attitudes, and doping intentions. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*, 4(1), 39-52.
- Zelli, A., Mallia, L., & Lucidi, F. (2010). The contribution of interpersonal appraisals to a social-cognitive analysis of adolescents' doping use. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 11(4), 304-311. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2010.02.008>

Figure 1. A socioecological framework for understanding anabolic steroid use

