

LJMU Research Online

Concilio, MG, Fielding, AJ, Bayliss, R and Burgess, SG

Density functional theory studies of MTSL nitroxide side chain conformations attached to an activation loop

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/8243/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Concilio, MG, Fielding, AJ, Bayliss, R and Burgess, SG (2016) Density functional theory studies of MTSL nitroxide side chain conformations attached to an activation loop. Theoretical Chemistry Accounts, 135 (4). ISSN 1432-881X

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

REGULAR ARTICLE



Density functional theory studies of MTSL nitroxide side chain conformations attached to an activation loop

Maria Grazia Concilio¹ · Alistair J. Fielding¹ · Richard Bayliss² · Selena G. Burgess²

Received: 15 January 2016 / Accepted: 8 March 2016 / Published online: 23 March 2016 © The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract A quantum mechanical (OM) method rooted on density functional theory (DFT) has been employed to determine conformations of the methane-thiosulfonate spin label (MTSL) attached to a fragment extracted from the activation loop of Aurora-A kinase. The features of the calculated energy surface revealed low energy barriers between isoenergetic minima, and the system could be described in a population of 76 rotamers that can be also considered for other systems since it was found that the χ_3 , χ_4 and χ_5 do not depend on the previous two dihedral angles. Conformational states obtained were seen to be comparable to those obtained in the α -helix systems studied previously, indicating that the protein backbone does not affect the torsional profiles significantly and suggesting the possibility to use determined conformations for other protein systems for further modelling studies.

Keywords DFT · EPR · Computational chemistry

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00214-016-1859-z) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Maria Grazia Concilio mariagrazia.concilio@postgrad.manchester.ac.uk

Alistair J. Fielding alistair.fielding@manchester.ac.uk

- ¹ The Photon Science Institute and School of Chemistry, EPSRC National EPR Facility and Service, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PY, UK
- ² Astbury Centre for Structural and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

1 Introduction

The most widely employed spin label for studies of the structure and dynamics of biomolecules [1, 2], through electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy, is the methane-thiosulfonate spin label (MTSL). Figure 1 shows a model of MTSL attached to a fragment extracted from the activation loop of Aurora-A kinase, a serine/threonine protein kinase that regulates many cellular pathways and is overexpressed in a number of cancers [3, 4].

The MTSL is endowed of high flexibility and the knowledge of the potential surface is required in order to model its internal dynamics [5–7]. In this work, a quantum mechanical (QM) method based on density functional theory (DFT) was employed to determine features of the energy surface of the five dihedral angles of MTSL. The DFT theory was employed since it induces the electron correlations effect, representing an appropriate method to reproduce short electrostatic interactions between sulphur atoms and backbone atoms [7].

In the previous literature, similar approaches were adopted by Tombolato et al. [5] using the Hartree–Fock (HF) theory to study conformations of the MTSL in α -helix systems of the T4 Lysozyme protein [5–7] and results obtained were used to complement subsequent MD studies [8, 9]. Considering our system, the question arose whether the conformational states determined in the α -helix are the same in the activation loop of a different protein.

We performed a conformational analysis of the potential energy surfaces of the MTSL side chain attached to a fragment extracted from activation loop of Aurora-A kinase protein with the purpose to characterize its geometrical parameters and describe the system in a limited number of rotamers. We carried out this work to establish a basis for



Fig. 1 Structure of the Aurora-A kinase domain with the MTSL side chain (*black circle*) attached at position 288 within the activation loop which comprises residues 274–299 (*grey*). The unit peptide extracted for the DFT analysis is indicated by a *blue arrow*. All five dihedral angles of the MTSL side chain are enlarged and shown, with the pyrroline ring containing the nitroxide group highlighted in *a red circle*

more advanced modelling approaches involving molecular dynamics (MD) simulations that require different initial starting conformations of the MTSL for appropriate statistical analyses.

2 Methods

2.1 QM calculations of the conformations of the MTSL

A short unit peptide was extracted from the X-ray crystal structure of the Aurora-A kinase domain (residues 122-403 C290A C393A; PDB 4CEG [10] with a resolution of 2.10 Å and R-value of 0.202) obtained after minimization and equilibration processes performed using the AMBER 15 package [11] in conjunction with ff14SB protein force field [12] in order to clean the structure and to remove bad contacts. Subsequently, the protein was solvated using the Extended Simple Point Charge (SPC/E) water model (9721 water molecules) in a truncated octahedral box with a buffer of 12 Å between the protein atoms and the edge of the box. Afterwards, a short energy minimization was

performed in two steps using the Simulated Annealing with NMR-derived Energy Restraints (SANDER) module of AMBER. In the first stage, the water molecules and counter ions were relaxed with 200 cvcles of minimization. In the second step, the entire system as a whole was relaxed with 1000 cycles of minimization. Subsequently, the system was heated at constant volume for 20 ps from 10 to 300 K with 10 kcal/mol weak restraints on the protein. This process was followed by two equilibration steps: the first was performed at constant pressure (1 atm) and temperature (300 K) for 200 ps with no restraints and the second was performed in a microcanonical (NVE) ensemble for 1 ns. Relaxed scans were performed subsequently using subsystems shown in Fig. 2 where the MTSL side chain was gradually built by adding atoms to the unit peptide extracted from the crystal structure of the Aurora-A kinase.

In order to provide reliable reproductions of the equilibrium geometries of the rotamers, the energy torsional profiles $V_i(\chi_i)$ were calculated by performing relaxed scans in thirty-seven steps of 10° with the B3LYP hybrid functional [13, 14] and the 6-31G(d) basis set [15] in gas phase around each dihedral angle ($\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4$ and χ_5) of the MTSL side chain. The same model system was used in previous work [5–9]. The ab initio relaxed scans were performed in 37 steps of 10° using the *opt* = *mod redundant* keyword in the Gaussian 09 software [16] that fixes coordinates but optimizes or relaxes the other atoms.

These scans helped to identify the minima of the torsional energy profiles of all five dihedral angles. The Gibbs free energies were determined with the B3LYP hybrid functional and the 6-31G(d) basis set using the Freq = hindrot keyword.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Conformational analysis of the MTSL side chain from QM calculations

The MTSL side chain was gradually built in by adding atoms to the C_{α} atom of the CH₃-CO-NH-C_{α}-CO-NH-CH₃ fragment extracted from the minimized and

Fig. 2 Subsystems considered for the calculations of the torsional energy profiles about the χ_1 (*S1*), χ_2 (*S2*), χ_3 (*S3*), χ_4 (*S4*) and χ_5 (*S5*) dihedral angles





Fig. 3 Strategy used to find minima of the torsional energy profile around χ_1 , χ_2 , χ_3 , χ_4 and χ_5 dihedral angles from the relaxed scans. The first relaxed scan was performed around χ_1 , and three minima at -160° , -60° and $+60^\circ$ were found. Subsequently, the energy torsional profile was calculated around χ_2 at the minima of χ_1 , and two minima, one broad between -160° and -120° and another at $+80^\circ$, were found for $\chi_1 = -160^\circ$ and $+60^\circ$. Three minima $(-160^\circ, -70^\circ$ and $+80^\circ)$ were found for $\chi_1 = -60^\circ$. The relaxed scan around χ_3 was performed at the minima of χ_2 , and two minima $\pm 90^\circ$ for all the possible combination of χ_1 and χ_2 were found. Three minima $\pm 70^\circ$ and 180° were found in the torsional profiles of χ_4 . The torsional profile of χ_5 showed minima depending on the values of χ_4

equilibrated structure of the Aurora-A kinase domain in which the Cartesian coordinates of the peptide atoms were kept fixed in the configuration obtained after the previous scan. The relaxed scans were performed following the strategy shown in Fig. 3. The energy minima of the χ_{i+1} dihedral angle were determined at the minima of the χ_i dihedral angle.

The first torsional energy profile of the dihedral angle, χ_1 , was obtained by performing relaxed scans in the range from -180° to $+180^\circ$, considering the rotation of the C_{α}-C_{β}H₂ group attached to the CH₃-CO-NH-C_{α}H-CO-NH-CH₃ fragment. The torsional energy profile showed three minima at the values of -160° and $\pm 60^\circ$ (Fig. 4a).

The high energy barriers corresponded to eclipsed configurations, while the lower energy barriers correlated to configurations in which the S_{γ} and S_{δ} atoms were interacting with the protein backbone. The first torsional profile (Fig. 4a) $V^{(1)}(\chi_1)$ showed three broad minima and two transitions between $-160^{\circ} \leftrightarrow -60^{\circ}$ and $-60^{\circ} \leftrightarrow +60^{\circ}$ that were separated by an energy barrier of \sim 3 and \sim 5 kcal/ mol, respectively. These energy barriers are somewhat small, and transitions between them would be expected to occur frequently. Subsequently, the $V^{(2)}(\chi_2)$ torsional profiles were calculated considering the rotation around the $C_{\beta}H_2$ -S_v group attached to the C_{α} atom of the CH₃-NH–CO– C_{α} H–NH–CO–CH₃ fragment. The χ_1 dihedral angle was set at -160° and $\pm 60^\circ$ (minima in the previous scan). In the calculated $V^{(2)}(\chi_2)$ torsional profiles (Fig. 4b), energy barriers of $\sim 1-2$ kcal/mol between $-120^{\circ} \leftrightarrow +80^{\circ}$ for χ_1 equal to -160° and $+60^\circ$, and $-160^\circ \leftrightarrow -70^\circ$ and $-160^{\circ} \leftrightarrow +80^{\circ}$ for χ_1 equal to -60° were observed. These transitions would be expected to occur very frequently since they are separated by very small energy barriers, but are unlikely to do so considering the electrostatic interactions between the S_{ν} sulphur atom and the protein backbone. The torsional profiles about χ_3 were calculated at all these minima keeping the χ_1 and χ_2 dihedral angles fixed at the selected values shown in Fig. 4c. For the calculation of the $V^{(3)}(\chi_3)$ torsional profiles, the rotation around the $C_{\beta}H_2S_{\nu}-S_{\delta}CH_2$ group attached to the C_{α} atom of the CH₃-NH-CO-C_aH-NH-CO-CH₃ fragment was considered. Similar energy torsional profiles and two minima at $\pm 90^{\circ}$ separated by a higher energy barrier of ~ 14 kcal/mol were found for $V^{(3)}(\chi_3)$ for all seven possible combinations of the minima found for χ_1 and χ_2 (Fig. 4c). The energy minima corresponded to the structures stabilized by short electrostatic interactions between atoms of the MTSL side chain and the unit peptide model as shown in Fig. 5.

The S_{γ} atom interacts with the NH group for $\chi_1 = -160^\circ$ at $\chi_2 = -120^\circ$ (Fig. 5Q1), $+80^\circ$ (Fig. 5Q2) and for $\chi_1 = -60^\circ$ at $\chi_2 = -160^\circ$ (Fig. 5Q3). The conformation at $\chi_1 = -60^\circ$, $\chi_2 = -70^\circ$ and $\chi_3 = +90^\circ$ was stabilized by two short electrostatic interactions between the S_{δ} atom of the MTSL chain and the C_{α}H group in the fragment, and the CH₂ group and the CO group (Fig. 5Q4). The S_{δ} atom interacts with the NH group for $\chi_1 = -60^\circ$ and $\chi_2 = +80^\circ$ (Fig. 5Q5). The conformation at $\chi_1 = +60^\circ$, $\chi_2 = -120^\circ$ and $+80^\circ$ and $\chi_3 = \pm90^\circ$ (Fig. 5Q6 and Q7) was stabilized by interactions between the S_{γ} atom of the MTSL and the NH group of the protein backbone. The remaining $V^{(4)}(\chi_4)$



Fig. 4 Energy torsional profiles about the five dihedral angles χ_1 , χ_2 , χ_3 , χ_4 and χ_5 of the MTSL side chain. **a** Energy torsional profile about the dihedral angle, χ_1 . **b** Energy torsional profiles about the dihedral angle, χ_2 , at the minima of χ_1 . **c** Energy torsional profiles about the dihedral angle, χ_3 , at the minima of χ_2 . **d**–**f** Energy torsional

profile about the dihedral angle, χ_4 was calculated at the minima indicated in the insert. **g** Energy torsional profile about the dihedral angle, χ_5 when χ_4 is equal to 180°. **h** Energy torsional profile about the dihedral angle χ_5 when χ_4 is equal to -70° . **i** Energy torsional profile about the dihedral angle χ_5 when χ_4 is equal to +70

and $V^{(5)}(\chi_5)$ torsional profiles (Fig. 4d–i) were calculated considering the rotations around the S_δ–CH₂ group (for χ_4) and S_δ–CH₂ pyrroline nitroxide ring (for χ_5) with the previous dihedral angles kept fixed at the selected values. Like for $V^{(3)}(\chi_3)$, the $V^{(4)}(\chi_4)$ torsional profiles (Fig. 4d–f) were found to be independent from the values of the previous dihedral angles, probably due to the distance from the protein backbone. Similar torsional profiles for $V^{(4)}(\chi_4)$ and three minima ($\pm 70^\circ$ and 180°) were observed for all possible combinations of χ_1 , χ_2 and χ_3 . Two low energy barriers of 1–2 kcal/mol were found between the minima at $\pm 70^\circ$ and 180°, and one high energy barrier between -70° and $+70^\circ$ was found. The $V^{(5)}(\chi_5)$ torsional profile was measured at $\pm 70^\circ$ and 180°, and three different profiles were



Fig. 5 Interactions between atoms of the MTSL side chain and the unit peptide model for different values of χ_1 , χ_2 and χ_3 (*red dashed lines*). Note that two conformations of χ_3 are shown in each panel. (*Q1*) Conformation at $\chi_1 = -160^\circ$, $\chi_2 = -120^\circ$ and $\chi_3 = \pm 90^\circ$. (*Q2*) Conformation at $\chi_1 = -160^\circ$, $\chi_2 = +80^\circ$ and $\chi_3 = \pm 90^\circ$. (*Q3*)

observed (Fig. 4g–i). The $V^{(5)}(\chi_5)$ profile at $\chi_4 = +70^\circ$ was calculated between -90° and $+90^\circ$ due to a clash between one of the methyl groups of the pyrroline nitroxide ring and the C_β carbon of the MTSL chain for angles over this range. The shape of the torsional profiles obtained in this work is similar to those seen in α -helices and values of the minima were found to be only slightly different [5–9], indicating the protein backbone does not significantly influence the torsional profiles. No relevant changes in the torsional profile of χ_1 and χ_2 were observed on extension of the atoms in the unit peptide (data not shown) but longer computational times. This was also observed for χ_3 , χ_4 and χ_5 .

After calculation of the torsional energy profiles for all five dihedral angles in the unit peptide model, a population of 76 conformations was found at the minima of the torsional energy profiles. The torsional profiles showed low energy barriers from 1 to ~20 kcal/mol and isoenergetic minima in the potential energy surface, indicating that the rotamer population would be fully sampled at room temperature and conformational states are expected to be obtained in the same amount. Also, the Gibbs free energy of the different conformations were seen to be comparable and equal to -6,556,624, -6,556,624 and -6,556,623 kcal mol⁻¹ for Q2, Q5 and Q7 (characterized by different χ_1), respectively. Similarly, it was observed for Q1 and Q2 (characterized by different χ_2) with Gibbs free energy equal to -6,556,624and -6,556,622 kcal mol⁻¹, and for conformations with χ_3 equal to $+90^{\circ}$ and $+90^{\circ}$ that have a free energy equal to

Conformation at $\chi_1 = -60^\circ$, $\chi_2 = -160^\circ$ and $\chi_3 = \pm 90^\circ$. (*Q4*) Conformation at $\chi_1 = -60^\circ$, $\chi_2 = -70^\circ$ and $\chi_3 = \pm 90$. (*Q5*) Conformation at $\chi_1 = -60^\circ$, $\chi_2 = +80^\circ$ and $\chi_3 = \pm 90^\circ$. (*Q6*) Conformation at $\chi_1 = +60^\circ$, $\chi_2 = -120^\circ$ and $\chi_3 = \pm 90^\circ$. (*Q7*) Conformation at $\chi_1 = +60^\circ$, $\chi_2 = +80^\circ$ and $\chi_3 = \pm 90^\circ$.

-655,624 and -655,623 kcal mol⁻¹. After having determined conformers of the MTSL side chain, we tested the effect of the geometric variation of the side chain on the magnetic parameters in order to exclude the contribution of the side chain to the EPR spectrum. Previous literature showed variations of A_{zz} and g_{xx} components upon geometrical variations (NO bond length and the CNOC out-ofplane dihedral angle) in the proxyl radical [17] and in aromatic radical rings [18]. Hence, we tested the effect of the geometry of the MTSL side chain on the magnetic properties in order to exclude any contribution of the chain on the spectrum. Six conformations were selected at the minima of the torsional profiles (Fig. 4) with different values of the dihedral angles (Table S1 ESI), and the magnetic parameters were computed at DFT level. Conformers showed comparable magnetic parameters, and minor changes were observed in the 94 GHz EPR spectra (Fig. S1 ESI). This indicated that the addition of the side chain and variations of its geometry do not alter significantly the spin density and shape of the molecular orbital that remained well localized on the NO moiety, like observed in the case of the proxyl radical ring described in previous work [17].

4 Conclusions and future work

The conformational analysis of the MTSL side chain on a short fragment of the Aurora-A kinase activation loop revealed torsional profiles comparable to those observed in

fragments of α -helix studied in previous work [5–9]. This indicated that the backbone structure does not influence the torsional profiles significantly. A population of 76 conformers was found at the minima of the torsional profiles, and in addition, it was observed that the χ_3 , χ_4 and χ_5 do not depend on the previous two dihedral angles, suggesting that the determined set of rotamers can be considered also for other systems. This analysis can be used to determine some starting conformations for MD simulations of the MTSL spin-labelled Aurora-A kinase or other systems. On the basis our own experience and previous work performed using MD of MTSL spin-labelled proteins [8, 9], it was observed that transitions of χ_4 and χ_5 are much faster than transitions of χ_1 , χ_2 and χ_3 , so the starting structures can be established fixing χ_1 , χ_2 and χ_3 . It was observed that conformers have comparable potential and Gibbs free energy allowing the fixing of values $\chi_1 = \pm 60^\circ, -160^\circ, \chi_2 = +80^\circ$ and $\chi_3 = \pm 90^\circ$ in order to perform more advanced modelling studies.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by a studentship from Bruker Ltd. and a Cancer Research UK grant (C24461/A12772 to R.B). The authors would like to acknowledge the use of the EPSRC UK National Service for Computational Chemistry Software (NSCCS) and its staff (Dr. Alexandra Simperler and Dr. Helen Tsui for some technical advice) at Imperial College London in carrying this work. M. G. Concilio acknowledges Dr. A. Baldansuren, Dr. N. Burton and Dr. A. Simperler for useful discussions and feedback.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- 1. Drescher M, Jeschke G (2012) EPR spectroscopy: application in chemistry and biology. Springer, Berlin, p 237
- Hoff AJ (1989) Advanced EPR: applications in biology and biochemistry. Elsevier, Amsterdam, p 918
- 3. Barr AR, Gergely F (2007) J Cell Sci 120:2987-2996

- Bischoff JR, Anderson L, Zhu Y, Mossie K, Ng L, Souza B, Schryver B, Flanagan P, Clairvoyant F, Ginther C, Chan CS, Novotny M, Slamon DJ, Plowman GD (1998) EMBO J 17:3052–3065
- 5. Tombolato F, Ferrarini A, Freed JH (2006) J Phys Chem B 110:26248–26259
- Tombolato F, Ferrarini A, Freed JH (2006) J Phys Chem B 110:26260–26271
- Warshaviak DT, Serbulea L, Houk KN, Hubbell WL (2011) J Phys Chem B 115:397–405
- 8. Sezer D, Freed JH, Roux B (2008) J Phys Chem B 112:5755–5767
- 9. Sezer D, Freed JH, Roux B (2008) J Phys Chem B 112:11014–11027
- Burgess SG, Bayliss R (2015) Acta Crystallogr F Struct Biol Commun 71:315–319
- 11. Case DA, Berryman JT, Betz RM, Cerutti DS, Cheatham TE III, Darden TA, Duke RE, Giese TJ, Gohlke H, Goetz AW, Homeyer N, Izadi S, Janowski P, Kaus J, Kovalenko A, Lee TS, LeGrand S, Li P, Luchko T, Luo R, Madej B, Merz KM, Monard G, Needham P, Nguyen H, Nguyen HT, Omelyan I, Onufriev A, Roe DR, Roitberg A, Salomon-Ferrer R, Simmerling CL, Smith W, Swails J, Walker RC, Wang J, Wolf RM, Wu X, York DM, Kollman PA (2015) AMBER 2015. University of California, San Francisco
- Maier JA, Martinez C, Kasavajhala K, Wickstrom L, Hauser KE (2015) J Chem Theory Comput 11:3696–3713
- 13. Lee C, Yang W, Parr RG (1988) Phys Rev B 37:785-789
- 14. Becke AD (1993) J Chem Phys 98:5648–5652
- 15. Ditchfield R, Hehre WJ, Pople JA (1971) J Chem Phys 54:724–728
- 16. Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA, Cheeseman JR, Scalmani G, Barone V, Mennucci B, Petersson GA, Nakatsuji H, Caricato M, Li X, Hratchian HP, Izmaylov AF, Bloino J, Zheng G, Sonnenberg JL, Hada M, Ehara M, Toyota K, Fukuda R, Hasegawa J, Ishida M, Nakajima T, Honda Y, Kitao O. Nakai H. Vreven T. Montgomery JA. Peralta JE Jr. Ogliaro F, Bearpark M, Heyd JJ, Brothers E, Kudin KN, Staroverov VN, Kobayashi R, Normand J, Raghavachari K, Rendell A, Burant JC, Iyengar SS, Tomasi J, Cossi M, Rega N, Millam JM, Klene M, Knox JE, Cross JB, Bakken V, Adamo C, Jaramillo J, Gomperts R, Stratmann RE, Yazyev O, Austin AJ, Cammi R, Pomelli C, Ochterski JW, Martin RL, Morokuma K, Zakrzewski VG, Voth GA, Salvador P, Dannenberg JJ, Dapprich S, Daniels AD, Farkas Ö, Foresman JB, Ortiz JV, Cioslowski J, Fox DJ (2009) Gaussian 09, Revision D.01. Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT
- Pavone M, Cimino P, Crescenzi O, Sillanpää A, Barone V (2007) J Phys Chem B. 111:8928–8939
- Cimino P, Pedone A, Stendardo E, Barone V (2010) PCCP 12:3741–3746