24 hour-ambulatory blood pressure and heart rate profiles in diagnosing orthostatic hypotension in Parkinson's disease and Multiple System Atrophy

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Ekawat Vichayanrat, MD, MRCP, PhD

Autonomic Unit, National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery (NHNN), Queen Square, London, UK

Tel: +44 (0) 20 3448 3739, Fax: +44 (0) 20 7916 1722

Email: ekawat.vichayanrat@nhs.net

Authors:

Ekawat Vichayanrat[1,2],MD, MRCP(UK), PhD; David A Low [2,3], PhD; Valeria Iodice[1,2],MD, PhD; Eva Stuebner[4], MD; Ellen Merete Hagen[2],MD, PhD; Christopher J Mathias[1,2], DPhil, DSc, FRCP, FMedSci

[1]Autonomic Unit, National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery (NHNN), Queen Square, London, UK

[2]Autonomic & Neurovascular Medicine Unit, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London at St. Mary's Hospital, London,UK

[3]Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Tom Reilly Building, Byrom Street Campus, Liverpool, UK

[4] Clinic for neurology and clinical neurophysiology, center of research in clinical medicine (ZFKM), Chair of Neurology, Helios clinic Wuppertal, University of Witten/Herdecke, Heusner Str. 40, 42283, Wuppertal, Germany

Key words: Cardiovascular autonomic function, Multiple system atrophy, Parkinson's disease, Autonomic tests, Autonomic failure

Word Count: Main text 3500 words, Abstract 250 words, 25 references and 6 Tables

Abstract

Background:24-hour ambulatory blood pressure and heart rate monitoring (24hr-ABPM) can provide vital information on circadian blood pressure (BP) profiles, which are commonly abnormal in Parkinson's disease with and without autonomic failure (PD+AF and PD) and multiple system atrophy (MSA). 24hr-ABPM has not been directly compared between these disorders regarding cardiovascular autonomic function. We aim to determine the usefulness of 24hr-ABPM with diary compared to Head-up Tilting (HUT) in diagnosing orthostatic hypotension (OH) in these patients.

Methods: 74 patients (23 MSA,18 PD+AF,33 PD) underwent cardiovascular autonomic screening followed by 24hr-ABPM with diary. Standing tests were included during 24hr-ABPM. The sensitivity and specificity in detecting OH from the 24hr-ABPM standing test were compared with HUT.

Results: There was no difference in OH during HUT between MSA and PD+AF ($p>0.05$). MSA and PD+AF had a higher proportion of abnormal BP circadian rhythms compared to PD ($p<0.05$) but not between MSA and PD+AF ($p>0.05$). Patients were divided into groups with (OH+) and without OH (OH-) on HUT. Using the standing test during 24hr-ABPM, a SBP fall of >20 mmHg showed a sensitivity and specificity of 82% and 100 % (AUC 0.91, 95% CI 0.84-0.98) in differentiating OH+ from OH-, respectively.

Conclusions:PD+AF and MSA patients had similar circadian BP patterns suggesting that autonomic dysfunction influences abnormal BP circadian patterns similarly in these disorders. The higher sensitivity and specificity in detecting OH using a SBP fall of >20 mmHg compared to a DBP fall of >10 mmHg during standing test supports its usefulness to assess autonomic function in MSA and PD.

Introduction

24 hour-ambulatory blood pressure and heart rate monitoring (24hr-ABPM) is widely used in patients with hypertension and recent studies have demonstrated the usefulness of this equipment to detect and follow-up patients with hypertension (1). Furthermore, 24hr-ABPM offers information not only on daytime blood pressure (BP) but also circadian BP revealing key information on day- and night-time profiles. In normal subjects, BP is normally lower at night-time which has been described as nocturnal blood pressure 'dipping'. This pattern can be absent or reversed (BP night-time>BP daytime) in patients with autonomic failure, such as multiple system atrophy. These patients tend to have lower BP during the day and a loss of BP dipping or even a higher BP at night, influenced by supine hypertension, a common occurrence in autonomic failure (2).

24hr-ABPM is commonly reported as abnormal in patients with Parkinson's Disease (PD) (5). The prevalence of non-dippers in PD ranges from 48 to 95% (5-7). Abnormal nocturnal circadian falls in blood pressure occur more often in PD+AF compared to PD suggesting that there is a link between abnormal BP circadian rhythms and orthostatic hypotension (7). More recently, 24hr-ABPM was used among different forms of parkinsonian disorders, including multiple system atrophy (MSA) and progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) (5). The results showed that a number of these patients had a significantly higher proportion of absent nocturnal BP dipping compared to age-matched controls. These findings support the use of 24hr ABPM for screening autonomic function when the autonomic laboratory is not available (5). Nevertheless, 24hr-ABPM has never been specifically compared in PD patients with and without autonomic failure and MSA patients with autonomic failure. Furthermore, the efficacy of 24hr-ABPM with an autonomic protocol diary in detecting orthostatic hypotension (OH), compared with laboratory head-up tilt-table testing in these patient groups, has also never been evaluated. Such information would help with the often difficult

task of diagnosing OH in MSA and PD+AF and with the screening of autonomic function in the community setting. The aim of this study was, therefore, to 1) examine 24hr-ABPM in patients with MSA, PD+AF and PD and 2) determine the usefulness of 24hr-ABPM compared to standard orthostatic challenge testing (10 minute Head-up Tilt) in diagnosing orthostatic hypotension (OH) in these patients.

Methods

Participants

All patients were recruited from the Autonomic Unit, National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, Queen Square, UK between 2004 and 2013. Idiopathic PD (without autonomic failure) patients were diagnosed using the UK Parkinson's Disease Society Brain Bank diagnostic criteria. PD with autonomic failure (PD+AF) was defined as idiopathic PD with orthostatic hypotension (Systolic/Diastolic blood pressure fall $\geq$ 20/10 mmHg) (8, 9) and abnormal BP responses to the Valsalva manoeuvre during phase II and phase IV in combination with impaired heart rate responses during deep breathing and orthostasis. MSA patients were diagnosed using Gilman's criteria (10) for probable MSA. Only probable MSA patients with orthostatic hypotension were included in the study.

All patients with PD had a good and sustainable response to levodopa treatment without features suggesting an atypical parkinsonian disorders. The sustainable response to levodopa was defined as a reported benefit after 3 years from starting levodopa by the treating clinician. Participants had no previous history of cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus or other illnesses that could affect autonomic function. PD patients were asked to stop dopaminergic medications and other drugs that could interfere with autonomic function at least 12 hours before autonomic testing.

Patients were referred to the Unit due to orthostatic symptoms and investigated for atypical parkinsonism. Only patients who underwent cardiovascular autonomic screening tests (AFT) and 24hr-ABPM during the same admission and not currently on anti-hypotensive medications were recruited in the study. In order to verify the efficacy of 24hr-ABPM in detecting OH using an autonomic diary protocol, a subgroup of patients who completed a diary as part of the ABPM were also included in the sensitivity analyses.

Clinical history and evaluation of autonomic nervous system function

Demographic data, such as age at testing, dopaminergic medications and disease duration were also noted.

Cardiovascular autonomic screening tests (AFT)

All patients underwent 10-minute 60 degrees head-up tilting (HUT) as part of the autonomic function tests (AFT) using the Autonomic Unit Queen Square protocols (11). Continuous beat-to-beat BP and heart rate were recorded online using digital photoplethysmography (Finapres, TNO-TPD, Biomedical Instrumentation), and electrocardiography (ECG), respectively. These variables were also recorded intermittently using upper arm sphygmomanometry at 1, 4, 7 and 10 minutes of HUT.

24 hour-Ambulatory Blood Pressure and Heart Rate Monitoring (24hr-ABPM)

All patients were fitted with the 24hr-ABPM (model 90207, Spacelabs™ Medical, Redmond, Washington) after their AFT as part of their autonomic investigations. The appropriate cuff size was selected depending on the mid-arm circumference according to the guidelines (12) on the non-dominant upper arm for monitoring. The centre of the cuff was placed over the brachial artery with the lower edge above the antecubital fossa. The 24hr-ABPM was initialized and the patient's details and current medications were input in the system. The

instructions including how to record blood pressure manually, remove and adjust the cuff, inactivate the monitor and how to record the details in the diary were explained. BP and HR were recorded every 20 minutes during the day (0800-2300) and every 60 minutes during the night (2300-0800). The average BP and HR were calculated for daytime, night-time and the entire 24-hour period. Patients were asked to record their symptoms in the diary during the day as well as the position and activity (lying down, sitting, and standing) at the same time. Patients were also asked to record additional BP readings in addition to the automated readings if they developed symptoms. Bed- and wake-up time were also recorded in order to determine the period of sleep. Patients were asked to perform postural challenges using a 5-minute standing test 4 times throughout the day (at 1000, 1400, 1900 and 2100) (see Suplementary material).

Patients were classified into 3 groups according to the guideline(3): dipper (BP fall during night-time>10% compared to daytime), absent nocturnal BP fall or non-dipper (BP fall during night-time<10% compared to daytime) and reverse nocturnal BP (BP higher during night-time than daytime)(3). Patients with an average daytime SBP >140 mmHg or DBP >90 were defined as daytime hypertensives and those with nighttime SBP >125 or DBP >75 as nighttime hypertensives according to international guidelines (13).

Statistical Analyses

Data are presented as mean (± 1 SD) or median (inter-quartile range), where appropriate. Analysis of covariance was used for comparisons between the 3 groups for normally distributed data while the Kruskal-Wallis test was used if data were non-normally distributed. Mann-Whitney U tests were used to compare between 2 groups for non-normally distributed data and unpaired t-tests for normally distributed data with Bonferroni corrections. Chi-square analyses were used for analysis of categorical variables.

SBP and DBP responses from the 24hr-ABPM standing test with the greatest degree of BP reduction were compared with the BP and HR responses during HUT using a standard criteria of OH (SBP fall $\geq$20 mmHg or DBP fall $\geq$10 mmHg). Considering the BP responses during HUT as a gold standard test, sensitivity (Sn) of the 24hr-ABPM to detect OH was defined as the proportion of patients who have OH from a standing test during 24hr monitoring and the proportion of patients who have OH during laboratory HUT. Specificity (Sp) was defined as the proportion of patients who did not have OH during the standing test in 24hr monitoring and all patients who were correctly classified as not having OH from HUT. ROC (Receiver operating characteristic) analysis was used to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity in detecting OH from 24hr-ABPM and to calculate Sn and Sp using different BP cut-off points. ROC curves were plotted as Sn against 1-Sp and were used to determine the Sn and Sp of the 24hr-ABPM in detecting a fall of 20-mmHg SBP and 10-mmHg DBP.

An overall summary of the diagnostic performance of the 24hr BP profile was calculated using the area under the curve (AUC). The perfect discrimination for AUC is 1, which means the diagnostic test can perfectly differentiate between two conditions with both Sn and Sp equalling 100%. An AUC of 0.8 or higher shows excellent discrimination. An AUC of 0.5 or less indicates that the diagnostic accuracy is not different from random chance. Statistical analyses were carried out using STATA 11.0 (STATA Corporation, College station, Texas, USA). All tests were 2-sided and a p value of $\leq$0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Demographic data

74 patients (23 MSA, 18 PD+AF, 33 PD) were included in the analyses. Patients with PD+AF were significantly older than PD and MSA (both $p<0.01$) but there was no difference in age between MSA and PD ($p=0.55$). There was no difference in disease duration among

groups (p=0.23). Dopaminergic treatment was more commonly used in patients with PD and PD+AF compared to those with MSA (MSA vs. PD+AF; p=0.01 and MSA vs. PD; p<0.01). There was no difference in the number of patients on dopaminergic medication among PD and PD+AF (p=0.53, **Table 1**).

Cardiovascular responses to HUT

Supine SBP and DBP were not significantly different between MSA, PD+AF, PD patients but there was a higher baseline HR in MSA patients. During head-up tilting (HUT), SBP was significantly lower in patients with MSA and PD+AF compared to PD (p<0.01). Correspondingly, BP falls during HUT were significantly lower in MSA and PD+AF when compared to PD. There were no difference in SBP and DBP during HUT between MSA and PD+AF (p=0.35 and p=0.90, respectively) but HR was significantly higher in MSA during HUT (**Table 2**). During HUT, all patients with MSA and PD+AF fulfilled the criteria of OH, whereas none of the PD patients met the criteria of OH during HUT.

24 hour-Ambulatory BP Monitoring data

MSA patients had significantly lower daytime SBP and DBP compared to PD patients (both p<0.01), whereas there was no difference between PD+AF and PD patients (p=0.34), as well as between MSA and PD+AF (p=0.17). Daytime average HR was not different among patient groups (p=0.28). During nighttime, there was a significantly higher SBP in PD+AF patients compared to PD (p=0.01), but no difference between MSA and PD (p=0.17) or MSA and PD+AF (p=0.11). Nighttime HR in MSA was greater than PD (p=0.03) but there was no difference between PD and PD+AF (p=0.06). The average SBP, DBP and HR in the 24-hour period were not different among groups (p>0.05).

There were no differences in the number of patients with daytime and nighttime hypertension among groups ($p>0.05$). Nocturnal blood pressure dipping was significantly lower in MSA compared to PD (both $p<0.01$) but there was no difference between MSA and PD+AF (SBP; $p=0.71$ and DBP; $p=0.55$). The nocturnal HR fall was not different among patient groups ($p=0.06$). Correspondingly, MSA and PD+AF had a higher proportion of patients with abnormal BP circadian rhythms (both absent and reversed BP circadian rhythms; non-dippers) than patients with PD ($p<0.01$ and $p=0.04$, respectively) but not between MSA and PD+AF ($p=0.08$). Patients with reversed BP circadian rhythms were significantly more common in MSA and PD+AF compared to those with PD (both $p<0.01$) but not between MSA and PD+AF ($p=0.14$). There was also no difference in the number of patients with an absent BP circadian rhythm among groups ($p>0.05$; **Table 3**).

24hr SBP variability was significantly higher in MSA and PD+AF patients compared to PD (both $p<0.01$) but there was no difference in 24hr DBP variability between MSA and PD+AF ($p=0.32$). There was a significantly lower daytime DBP variability in PD+AF compared to PD. MSA patients had significantly lower 24hr HR variability compared to PD ($p<0.01$). SBP, DBP and HR variability during nighttime were not different among groups ($p>0.05$; **Table 4**).

24hr-ABPM and patient-report diary

Out of 74, 44 (59%) patients completed the diary during the 24hr-ABPM monitoring. There was no difference in the number of patients who completed the diary among groups; 17(74%) MSA, 11(61%) PD+AF and 16 (48%) PD patients. For the purpose of the sensitivity and specificity analyses of 24hr-ABPM in detecting OH, patients were divided into those with and those without OH according to the BP responses during HUT. MSA and PD+AF patients were combined as a single group (OH+ group) and PD patients were a control group (OH-).

The average supine SBP was significantly higher in patients with OH (OH+), but the mean DBP and HR were not different compared to patients without OH (OH-). During head-up tilting (HUT), SBP was significantly lower in OH+ compared to OH- ($p<0.01$). By definition, the degree of SBP and DBP falls during HUT were significantly greater in OH+ compared with OH- ($p<0.01$).

Cardiovascular responses to HUT and standing test using ABPM

With 24hr-ABPM, supine SBP, DBP and HR were not different between OH+ and OH- but the average SBP and DBP were significantly lower in OH+ during the standing test compared to OH-. The degree of orthostatic blood pressure (both SBP and DBP) fall was significantly greater in OH+ compared to OH- ($p<0.05$) but there was no difference for HR (**Table 5**). There was also a significant correlation between the orthostatic blood pressure changes during the standing tests (on 24-hr ABPM) and head-up tilt (Spearman's Rho=0.48; p=0.01).

ROC analysis for detecting OH during HUT and standing tests using ABPM with autonomic protocol diary.

Using 24hr-ABPM, the AUC that distinguishes OH+ from OH- was 0.87 (95% CI, 0.75-0.99). A fall of 20 mmHg or more in SBP showed a sensitivity and specificity of 82% and 100% in differentiating OH+ from OH-, respectively. A DBP fall of 10 mmHg or more had a 57% sensitivity and a 94% specificity to discriminate OH+ from OH- with an AUC of 0.75 (**Table 6**). A 20 mmHg systolic BP fall criteria had a significantly higher efficacy in detecting OH compared to a 10-mmHg DBP fall using the 24hr-ABPM with the autonomic protocol diary ($p<0.01$).

Discussion

The aim of this study was to 1) examine 24hr-ABPM in patients with chronic autonomic failure (MSA+AF, PD+AF and PD) and 2) determine the effectiveness of 24hr-ABPM compared to standard orthostatic challenge testing (10 minute Head-up Tilt) in diagnosing orthostatic hypotension (OH) in these patients. The main findings in this study were that an abnormal circadian BP rhythm (either a blunted nocturnal fall of BP or a reversed nocturnal fall) occurred in about half of the patients with PD. This proportion was higher in PD+AF and in patients with MSA. In comparison to recent studies (5), the prevalence of an abnormal circadian BP rhythm in PD in the present study was similar whereas the prevalence of an abnormal circadian BP rhythm in MSA patients in the present study was higher (68% vs. 96%, respectively). Another study was also found significantly higher nocturnal HR and lower nocturnal HR decline in MSA compared to PD patients (14). These inconsistent results are likely to be explained by the fact that this study categorized patients with regards to a patient's diagnosis *and* their autonomic function, whereas the previous study used only a diagnostic category (e.g., MSA patients without autonomic failure were included). Furthermore, a reversed nocturnal circadian BP pattern was more common in autonomic failure patients, presenting in more than 50% of MSA and PD+AF patients compared to only 15% in PD patients. These findings suggest that even though a blunted nocturnal fall in 24hr BP profiles can often be seen among PD patients without autonomic failure, a reversed nocturnal circadian BP pattern is much more common in patients with autonomic failure (PD+AF and MSA). Daytime SBP variability was also higher in patients with autonomic failure, which could be due to greater fluctuations in BP in MSA and PD+AF during daily activities compared to patients without autonomic failure, and supports the idea that this value could also be an additional useful measurement in 24hr-ABPM in patients with suspected autonomic failure and/or orthostatic hypotension. The 24hr BP profiles in patients

with MSA and PD+AF were relatively similar suggesting that 24hr-ABPM patterns cannot be used to discriminate between MSA and PD+AF.

Human circadian rhythms are controlled by the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) in the hypothalamus (15). The SCN projects afferent input to the paraventricular nucleus (PVN), which plays an important role in controlling various autonomic functions, such as stress responses and metabolism (16). Both sleep and physical activity have a large influence on BP and HR circadian changes, including the normal physiological blood pressure fall during sleep (17). Abnormal circadian BP rhythms are common in PD, PD+AF and MSA and it is important to note that the number of patients with a reversed circadian BP profile at night was significantly higher in PD+AF and MSA compared to PD (without AF). This finding suggests that autonomic dysfunction plays an important contributing role in the control of circadian BP rhythms. Abnormal circadian rhythm of body core temperature and blunted nocturnal falls of body core temperature were reported in patients with MSA but not in PD (18). As the circadian rhythm of body core temperature is a solid marker of SCN activity, the evidence of abnormal circadian rhythm and blunted nocturnal falls of body core temperature in the previous study together with the higher of proportion of patients with abnormal BP circadian rhythm in MSA in our study might reflect more widespread pathology of central autonomic network in MSA compared to PD.

Although the cause of abnormal circadian BP rhythms in PD and MSA remains unclear, the involvement of SCN and PVN are likely to play an important contributing role (19). An abnormal circadian BP rhythm in MSA is supported by prior pathological studies showing pathological changes within both the paraventricular nucleus (20) and the suprachiasmatic nucleus (21) in MSA. In contrast to MSA, the neuronal loss and pathological involvement in these structures have never been reported in PD and PD+AF. Nevertheless, sleep dysfunction is common in both patients with PD and MSA and this can reduce the nocturnal blood

pressure decline in addition to contributing to an abnormal BP circadian rhythm. The major cause of this problem includes REM (Rapid Eye Movement) sleep behavior disorder (RBD), obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) and excessive daytime somnolence (EDS). These features may partly explain the reason why abnormal circadian BP profiles frequently occur in both disorders. Unfortunately we did not specifically record sleep wake cycles (nor episodes of nocturia) during the 24hr-monitoring so we are not able to entirely exclude the influence of this on the prevalence of the nocturnal blood pressure dipping observed in the present study.

Supine hypertension is a common feature in patients with autonomic failure (22) and is also associated with OH (2). It is thus very likely that supine hypertension contributed to the reversed circadian BP pattern in MSA and PD+AF patients in the present study. The cause of supine hypertension in MSA has been suggested to result from an inappropriate residual sympathetic tone (23). These findings also emphasize that the reversed circadian BP pattern from 24hr-ABPM is a shared phenomenon in both patients with autonomic failure from both pre- (MSA) and post-ganglionic (PD) lesions, rather than a disease-specific feature.

The present study also showed that using an autonomic protocol diary alongside 24hr-ABPM is useful for helping diagnose patients with OH. Using the standard criteria of OH, this technique provides a reasonably high sensitivity (82%) and specificity (100%) to distinguish OH+ from OH- patients with parkinsonism. Nevertheless, a 20-mmHg fall of SBP has a significantly better efficacy in detecting OH from 24hr-ABPM when compared with a 10-mmHg fall of DBP. A recent study reported that blood pressure recording on head-up tilt correctly reflected hypotension during ambulatory monitoring in only 33% of patients with autonomic failure (24). The dissimilarity may be explained by the more heterogeneous group of patients in that study and the differences in methodology (e.g., the potential benefit of using four sets of blood pressure measurements on standing tests during 24-hr blood pressure monitoring at different times of the day in the present study).

Given that 24hr-ABPM is non-invasive, relatively simple tests to perform without a requirement of an autonomic laboratory, this technique should be included as part of autonomic investigations in suspected OH workups. An adjunct diary to 24hr BP monitoring can also provide additional information with regards to the effect of patient activities on BP and HR and their relation to symptoms. Such an approach would allow the clinician to make a connection between activities and BP/HR during events if symptoms develop (25).

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that patients with PD+AF and MSA, who had a similar degree of orthostatic hypotension during HUT, generally have similar circadian BP and HR patterns as revealed by 24hr-ABPM. As abnormal circadian rhythms are similarly present in PD+AF and MSA, this suggests that the proportion of abnormal BP circadian patterns in 24hr-ABPM depends on the autonomic function rather than the diagnosis. Moreover, this study also demonstrated that 24hr-ABPM (especially SBP) can offer more information regarding OH in patients with autonomic failure if a patient-completed autonomic protocol diary containing postural challenges (standing) is used. This approach has reasonably high sensitivity and specificity in detecting OH.

Table 1. Patient demographic data

Variable	MSA	PD+AF	PD
Number	23	18	33
% Male	48	44	67
Age at testing; Mean (SD), yrs	62±9	72±7*$	64±10
Disease duration; Median (IQR), yrs	4 (3-6)	7 (4-10)	6 (2-10)
% Dopaminergic treatment	39*#	78	85

Values are mean±SD unless stated, * $p<0.05$ vs. PD, # $p<0.05$ vs. PD+AF, $ $p<0.05$ vs. MSA

Table 2. Blood pressure and HR during supine and head-up tilting and orthostatic changes in MSA, PD+AF and PD patients

Variable	MSA (n=17)	PD+AF (n=11)	PD (n=16)
HUT			
Supine SBP	137±18	140±21	130±14
Supine DBP	81±11	75±15	75±10
Supine HR	77±9	69±4$^{\$}$	69±10$^{\$}$
Tilt SBP	95±19*	101±24*	126±16
Tilt DBP	60±13*	63±17*	76±10
Tilt HR	85±13	77±6$^{\$}$	76±11$^{\$}$
Orthostatic ΔSBP	-42±17*	-39±17*	-5±8
Orthostatic ΔDBP	-20±12*	-12±10*	0±5
Orthostatic ΔHR	8±6	8±5	7±5

Values are mean±SD unless stated, *p<0.05 vs. PD, $^{\$}$p<0.05 vs. MSA

SBP=Systolic Blood Pressure, DBP=Diastolic Blood Pressure; values in mmHg, Heart Rate=HR; value in bpm.

Table 3. 24 hr-ABPM profiles in MSA, PD and PD+AF

Variable	MSA (n=23)	PD+AF (n=18)	PD (n=33)
Daytime			
- Mean SBP	116±12*	122±13	127±12
- Mean DBP	71±7*	73±12	77±9
- Mean HR	81±9	75±7	79±10
Patients with daytime hypertension, % (n)	4% (1/23)	6% (1/18)	18% (6/33)
Nighttime			
- Mean SBP	119±12	127±16*	115±12
- Mean DBP	70±9	72±13	67±9
- Mean HR	72±11*	65±8	66±8
Patients with nighttime hypertension, % (n)	30% (7/23)	50% (9/18)	24% (8/33)
24-hour values			
- Mean SBP	117±11	123±13	125±11
- Mean DBP	71±6	72±11	76±9
- Mean HR	80±9	73±7	76±9
Patients with abnormal BP circadian rhythm, % (n)	96% (22/23)*	78%(14/18)*	48% (16/33)
Patients with absent BP circadian rhythm, % (n)	39% (9/23)	22%(4/18)	33% (11/33)
Patients with reversed BP circadian rhythm, % (n)	57% (13/23)*	56%(10/18)*	15% (5/33)
Mean Nocturnal BP/HR change			
- SBP (%)	+2.8 ±9.0*	+4.3 ±14.7*	-9.1 ±8.9
- DBP (%)	-1.6 ±10.8*	-0.1 ±20.7*	-12.9 ±11.0
- HR (%)	-11.1±9.9	-12.7±5.7	-16.1±7.3

Values are mean±SD unless stated, * $p<0.05$ vs. PD, # $p<0.05$ vs. PD+AF, $ $p<0.05$ vs. MSA

SBP=Systolic Blood Pressure, DBP=Diastolic Blood Pressure; values in mmHg, Heart Rate=HR; value in bpm.

Table 4. 24 hr-ABPM BP and HR variability in MSA, PD and PD+AF

Variable	MSA (n=23)	PD+AF (n=18)	PD (n=33)
BP variability			
Daytime			
- SBP	15.6±5.2*	16.8±4.9*	11.6±4.4
- DBP	10.0±2.9	10.8±2.8*	8.9±2.6
- HR	6.7±2.7*	7.8±2.9	9.3±3.2
Nighttime			
- SBP	11.2±6.4	12.8±5.3	9.5±4.1
- DBP	7.9±3.6	7.8±3.1	7.3±3.0
- HR	4.4±2.2	5.5±2.7	4.8±2.4
24-hr			
- SBP	15.5±4.5*	17.2±4.5*	13.1±4.6
- DBP	10.2±2.3	11.2±2.7	9.9±3.0
- HR	7.5±2.8*	8.4±2.6	10.2±2.9

Values are mean±SD, * $p<0.05$ vs. PD, $^{\#}$ $p<0.05$ vs. PD+AF, $^{\$}p<0.05$ vs. MSA

SBP=Systolic Blood Pressure, DBP=Diastolic Blood Pressure; values in mmHg, Heart Rate=HR; value in bpm.

Table 5. Blood pressure and HR during supine, head-up tilting and orthostatic changes in patients without OH (PD) compared with patients with OH (MSA and PD+AF)

Variable	Patients with OH (MSA and PD+AF)	Patients without OH (PD)
Number of patients	28	16
HUT		
Supine SBP	138±16[£]	127±13
Supine DBP	79±11	74±9
Supine HR	73±8	70±7
Tilt SBP	100±19[ϕ£]	125±17
Tilt DBP	63±13[ϕ£]	75±8
Tilt HR	81±12[ϕ]	78±9
ΔSBP	-38±15[£]	-3±9
ΔDBP	-16±11[£]	1±5
ΔHR	8±6	8±4
Standing test		
Supine SBP	128±16	128±18
Supine DBP	75±13	74±11
Supine HR	74±11	74±10
Stand SBP	99±14[ϕ£]	122±16
Stand DBP	62±11[ϕ£]	78±12
Stand HR	84±12[ϕ]	81±11
ΔSBP	-29±19[£]	-6±6
ΔDBP	-13±13[£]	4±6
ΔHR	10±7	7±5

Values are mean±SD, [£] $p<0.01$ vs. patients without OH, [ϕ] $p<0.01$ vs. corresponding Supine

SBP=Systolic Blood Pressure, DBP=Diastolic Blood Pressure; values in mmHg, Heart Rate=HR; value in bpm.

Table 6. Sensitivity analysis for 24 hr-ABPM in detecting orthostatic hypotension (OH)

	Sensitivity (%)	**Specificity (%)**	**AUC (95% CI)**
BP			
- SBP fall ≥ 20 mmHg	82	100	0.91 (0.84-0.98)
- DBP fall ≥ 10 mmHg	57	94	0.75 (0.64-0.87)

Acknowledgments:

We are grateful to K. Bleasdale-Barr and other clinical autonomic scientists at the Autonomic Unit, the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery at Queen Square, who have played a pivotal role in clinical autonomic investigation; to Dr G. Ingle and Sister C. Best for their help in patient management.

EV was supported by the UK India Education and Research Initiative (UKIERI).

VI was supported by the National Institute for Health Research University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre.

Competing interests:

None.

Reference

1. Franklin SS, Thijs L, Hansen TW, Li Y, Boggia J, Kikuya M, et al. Significance of white-coat hypertension in older persons with isolated systolic hypertension: a meta-analysis using the International Database on Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitoring in Relation to Cardiovascular Outcomes population. Hypertension. 2012 Mar;59(3):564-71.
2. Goldstein DS, Pechnik S, Holmes C, Eldadah B, Sharabi Y. Association between supine hypertension and orthostatic hypotension in autonomic failure. Hypertension. 2003 Aug;42(2):136-42.
3. O'Brien E, Sheridan J, O'Malley K. Dippers and non-dippers. Lancet. 1988 Aug 13;2(8607):397.
4. O'Brien E, Parati G, Stergiou G, Asmar R, Beilin L, Bilo G, et al. European society of hypertension position paper on ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. J Hypertens. 2013 Sep;31(9):1731-68.
5. Schmidt C, Berg D, Prieur S, Junghanns S, Schweitzer K, Globas C, et al. Loss of nocturnal blood pressure fall in various extrapyramidal syndromes. Mov Disord. 2009 Oct 30;24(14):2136-42.
6. Ejaz AA, Sekhon IS, Munjal S. Characteristic findings on 24-h ambulatory blood pressure monitoring in a series of patients with Parkinson's disease. European journal of internal medicine. 2006 Oct;17(6):417-20.
7. Senard JM, Chamontin B, Rascol A, Montastruc JL. Ambulatory blood pressure in patients with Parkinson's disease without and with orthostatic hypotension. Clin Auton Res. 1992 Apr;2(2):99-104.
8. Freeman R, Wieling W, Axelrod FB, Benditt DG, Benarroch E, Biaggioni I, et al. Consensus statement on the definition of orthostatic hypotension, neurally mediated syncope and the postural tachycardia syndrome. Clin Auton Res. 2011 Apr;21(2):69-72.
9. Kaufmann H. Consensus statement on the definition of orthostatic hypotension, pure autonomic failure and multiple system atrophy. Clin Auton Res. 1996 Apr;6(2):125-6.
10. Consensus statement on the definition of orthostatic hypotension, pure autonomic failure, and multiple system atrophy. The Consensus Committee of the American Autonomic Society and the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology. 1996 May;46(5):1470.
11. Mathias CJ, Low DA, Iodice V, Bannister R. Investigation of autonomic disorders. Autonomic Failure A Textbook of Clinical Disorders of the Autonomic Nervous System. 5th ed: Oxford University Press; 2013.
12. O'Brien E, Coats A, Owens P, Petrie J, Padfield PL, Littler WA, et al. Use and interpretation of ambulatory blood pressure monitoring: recommendations of the British hypertension society. BMJ. 2000 Apr 22;320(7242):1128-34.
13. Pickering TG, Hall JE, Appel LJ, Falkner BE, Graves J, Hill MN, et al. Recommendations for blood pressure measurement in humans and experimental animals: part 1: blood pressure measurement in humans: a statement for professionals from the Subcommittee of Professional and Public Education of the American Heart Association Council on High Blood Pressure Research. Circulation. 2005 Feb 8;111(5):697-716.
14. Pilleri M, Levedianos G, Weis L, Gasparoli E, Facchini S, Biundo R, et al. Heart rate circadian profile in the differential diagnosis between Parkinson disease and multiple system atrophy. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2014 Feb;20(2):217-21.
15. Hastings M, Maywood ES. Circadian clocks in the mammalian brain. Bioessays. 2000 Jan;22(1):23-31.

16. Ferguson AV, Latchford KJ, Samson WK. The paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus - a potential target for integrative treatment of autonomic dysfunction. Expert Opin Ther Targets. 2008 Jun;12(6):717-27.
17. Fabbian F, Smolensky MH, Tiseo R, Pala M, Manfredini R, Portaluppi F. Dipper and non-dipper blood pressure 24-hour patterns: circadian rhythm-dependent physiologic and pathophysiologic mechanisms. Chronobiol Int. 2013 Mar;30(1-2):17-30.
18. Pierangeli G, Provini F, Maltoni P, Barletta G, Contin M, Lugaresi E, et al. Nocturnal body core temperature falls in Parkinson's disease but not in Multiple-System Atrophy. Mov Disord. 2001 Mar;16(2):226-32.
19. Buijs RM, Hermes MH, Kalsbeek A. The suprachiasmatic nucleus-paraventricular nucleus interactions: a bridge to the neuroendocrine and autonomic nervous system. Prog Brain Res. 1998;119:365-82.
20. Benarroch EE, Schmeichel AM, Sandroni P, Low PA, Parisi JE. Differential involvement of hypothalamic vasopressin neurons in multiple system atrophy. Brain : a journal of neurology. 2006 Oct;129(Pt 10):2688-96.
21. Ozawa T, Oyanagi K, Tanaka H, Horikawa Y, Takahashi H, Morita T, et al. Suprachiasmatic nucleus in a patient with multiple system atrophy with abnormal circadian rhythm of arginine-vasopressin secretion into plasma. J Neurol Sci. 1998 Jan 21;154(1):116-21.
22. Shannon J, Jordan J, Costa F, Robertson RM, Biaggioni I. The hypertension of autonomic failure and its treatment. Hypertension. 1997 Nov;30(5):1062-7.
23. Shannon JR, Jordan J, Diedrich A, Pohar B, Black BK, Robertson D, et al. Sympathetically mediated hypertension in autonomic failure. Circulation. 2000 Jun 13;101(23):2710-5.
24. Norcliffe-Kaufmann L, Kaufmann H. Is ambulatory blood pressure monitoring useful in patients with chronic autonomic failure? Clin Auton Res. 2014 Aug;24(4):189-92.
25. Stuebner E, Vichayanrat E, Low DA, Mathias CJ, Isenmann S, Haensch CA. Twenty-four hour non-invasive ambulatory blood pressure and heart rate monitoring in Parkinson's disease. Frontiers in neurology. 2013;4:49.