



LJMU Research Online

Williams, A and Rae, R

Cepaea nemoralis (Linnaeus, 1758) uses its shell as a defence mechanism to trap and kill parasitic nematodes

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/2905/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Williams, A and Rae, R (2016) Cepaea nemoralis (Linnaeus, 1758) uses its shell as a defence mechanism to trap and kill parasitic nematodes. Journal of Molluscan Studies, 1-2. ISSN 0260-1230

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

RESEARCH NOTE

***CEPAEA HORTENSIS* USES ITS SHELL AS A
DEFENSE MECHANISM TO TRAP AND KILL
PARASITIC NEMATODES**

Alex Williams and Robbie Rae

*Liverpool John Moores University, School of Natural Sciences and Psychology, Byrom Street,
Liverpool, L33AF, UK.*

Correspondence: R. Rae; r.g.rae@ljmu.ac.uk,

17 Slugs and snails are parasitised by a range of organisms including nematodes, bacteria,
18 microsporidia, mites and flies (Barker, 2004). Of these, the nematodes are the most numerous
19 and diverse as 108 species have evolved to parasitise molluscs (Grewal et al., 2003). One of
20 these nematodes (*Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*) is a lethal parasite of a range of
21 pestiferous slugs and snails including *Deroceras reticulatum*, *Arion ater* and *Helix aspersa*
22 (Wilson et al., 1993). *P. hermaphrodita* has been formulated into a biocontrol agent
23 (Nemaslug®) by Becker Underwood-BASF available for farmers and gardeners (Rae et al.,
24 2007). Nematodes are mixed with water and applied using spraying equipment to soil where
25 then go and search for potential gastropod hosts. They are attracted to slug mucus and faeces
26 (Rae et al., 2006, 2009) and upon discovery they penetrate through the slugs' mantle and kill
27 it between 4 and 21 days (Wilson et al., 1993; Tan & Grewal, 2001).

28 Unlike slugs, many snail species are resistant to *P. hermaphrodita* including
29 *Oxychilus helveticus*, *Discus rotundatus*, *Achatina fulica* and *Clausilia bidentata* (Wilson et
30 al., 2000; Coupland, 1995; Williams & Rae, 2015). It is unknown how these snail species can
31 tolerate nematode infection, but recently it was shown that *A. fulica* could trap, encase and
32 kill invading *P. hermaphrodita* in its shell. It is unknown if this process is evolutionary
33 conserved and present in other snail species and to what extent it is affected by different
34 colours of shell or even banding patterns. To answer this question we concentrated on using
35 snails from the genus *Cepaea* which exhibit a range of different colour morphs including
36 yellow, brown, pink, orange and red with up to five black longitudinal bands (Cain &
37 Sheppard, 1954). The cause of differences in shell colour and banding has been discussed for
38 decades and numerous factors have been suggested to be the reason including climate,
39 predators, temperature and habitat change (Silvertown et al., 2011), but perhaps nematode
40 parasites may play a role? There are conflicting reports on the susceptibility of snails of *C.*
41 *hortensis* to *P. hermaphrodita*. Wilson et al. (2000) found that *C. hortensis* was susceptible

42 but Rae *et al.* (2009) found *C. hortensis* was resistant. As no attention was paid to the
43 differences in colour morph, maybe this difference in susceptibility was due to differences in
44 colour morphs? Hence, we decided to repeat these experiments to understand if susceptibility
45 towards *P. hermaphrodita* would differ due to specific colour and banding morphs of *C.*
46 *hortensis* and to examine whether the this species of snail could trap *P. hermaphrodita* in
47 their shells and whether this ability would alter with different colour and banding patterns.

48 *C. hortensis* were collected from Festival gardens, Liverpool and were stored in non-
49 airtight boxes and fed *ad libitum* with cabbage and cucumber. *C. hortensis* were split into
50 groups of either pink or yellow and then further split into 0 bands, 1 band and 3-5 bands. *P.*
51 *hermaphrodita* were supplied by Becker Underwood-BASF, UK and stored at 10°C until use.

52 All six *C. hortensis* morphs were exposed to *P. hermaphrodita* at a rate of 30
53 nematodes per cm², which is the recommended rate of nematodes applied in the field (Wilson
54 *et al.*, 1993). Plastic non-airtight boxes (10 x 10 cm) were fitted with copper tape (to prevent
55 snails from staying on the lid of box) and half filled with moist soil (approx. 25 g). Eighteen
56 boxes had nematodes applied and eighteen had only water and no nematodes applied and
57 acted as the control. Snails were fed with cucumber every 3-4 days. Survival was monitored
58 every 3-4 days for 72 days. Survival of *C. hortensis* was compared using log rank test in
59 OASIS (Yang *et al.*, 2011). Numbers of nematodes encapsulated in the shells of different
60 morphs of snails were compared using a one-way ANOVA.

61 *P. hermaphrodita* had no effect on the survival of yellow or pink *C. hortensis* with 0
62 bands, 1 band or 3-5 bands after 72 days exposure ($P > 0.05$) (Table 1). At the end of the
63 experiment, snails were dissected and the numbers of nematodes encased and killed in the
64 shell were quantified. We found that *P. hermaphrodita* were trapped and killed in the shell of
65 each morph but there were no significant difference between the numbers of nematodes

66 encapsulated between the different morphs ($P>0.05$) (Table 1; Fig 1 a,b). Therefore, *C.*
67 *hortensis* has the ability to trap and encase invading *P. hermaphrodita* but does not differ
68 with banding pattern or colour.

69 *C. hortensis* is able to defend itself from *P. hermaphrodita* by producing shell tissue
70 that seems to trap and encase invading nematodes. The nematodes appear as if perfectly
71 preserved in amber and are completely covered by unknown cells. This ability is not affected
72 by colour of the shell nor is it affected by the number bands the shell has. It remains to be
73 seen how long it takes for the nematode to degrade or if it is preserved indefinitely in the
74 shell. Interestingly, encapsulation of nematodes has also been shown in slugs. Rae *et al.*
75 (2008) showed that *P. hermaphrodita* were trapped in large amounts in the shell of *Limax*
76 *pseudoflavus* underneath the mantle. A characteristic sign of *P. hermaphrodita* infection of
77 slugs is a swollen mantle (Wilson *et al.*, 1993) due to the shell adding more calcareous tissue
78 upon nematode contact. As this response is present in slugs and snails perhaps the gastropod
79 shell is an ancient evolutionary conserved immune defense mechanism that is used to capture
80 and kill invading parasites such as nematodes. It remains to be seen if the shell is used to
81 protect snails from other invading parasites such as bacteria, microsporidia, mites and flies
82 (Barker, 2004). Also as these nematodes are effectively preserved in the shell research could
83 concentrate on understanding how prevalent infection of nematodes is in museum collections
84 from around the world as all is needed is access to a shell collection and light microscope. It
85 also remains to be seen what cells are involved in this immune mechanism to recognise and
86 trap these nematodes.

87

88 **REFERENCES**

89

- 90 BARKER, G.M. 2004. Natural enemies of terrestrial molluscs. CABI Publishing, U.K.
- 91 CAIN, A.J. & SHEPPARD, P.M. 1954. Natural selection in *Cepaea*. *Genetics*, **39**: 89-116.
- 92 COUPLAND, J.B. 1995. Susceptibility of helioid snails to isolates of the nematode
93 *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* from southern France. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*,
94 **66**: 207-208.
- 95 GREWAL, P.S., GREWAL, S.K., TAN, L. & ADAMS, B.J. 2003. Parasitism of molluscs by
96 nematodes: types of associations and evolutionary trends. *Journal of Nematology*, **35**: 146-
97 156.
- 98 RAE, R.G., ROBERTSON, J.F. & WILSON, M.J. 2006. The chemotactic response of
99 *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* (Nematoda: Rhabditida) to cues of *Deroceras reticulatum*
100 (Mollusca: Gastropoda). *Nematology*, **8**: 197-200.
- 101 RAE, R., VERDUN, C., GREWAL, P.S., ROBERTSON, J.F. & WILSON, M.J. 2007.
102 Biological control of terrestrial molluscs using *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*-progress and
103 prospects. *Pest Management Science*, **63**: 1153-1164.
- 104 RAE, R.G., ROBERTSON, J.F. & WILSON, M.J. 2008. Susceptibility and immune response
105 of *Deroceras reticulatum*, *Milax gagates* and *Limax pseudoflavus* exposed to the slug
106 parasitic nematode *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, **97**:
107 61-69.
- 108 RAE, R.G., ROBERTSON, J. & WILSON, M.J. 2009. Chemoattraction and host preference
109 of the gastropod parasitic nematode *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*. *Journal of*
110 *Parasitology*, **95**: 517-526.

111 SILVERTOWN, J., COOK, L., CAMERON, R., DODD, M., MCCONWAY, K.,
112 WORTHINGTON, J., SKELTON, P., ANTON, C., BOSSDORF, O., BAUR, B.,
113 SCHILTHUIZEN, M., FONTAINE, B., SATTMANN, H., BERTORELLE, G., CORREIA,
114 M., OLIVEIRA, C., POKRYSZKO, B., OZGO, M., STALAZAS, A., GILL, E., RAMMUL,
115 U., SOLYMOS, P., FEHER, Z. & JUAN, X. 2011. Citizen science reveals unexpected
116 continental-scale evolutionary change in a model organism. *PLoS One*,
117 10.1371/journal.pone.0018927.

118 TAN, L. & GREWAL, P.S. 2001. Infection behaviour of the rhabditid nematode
119 *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* to the grey garden slug *Deroceras reticulatum*. *Journal of*
120 *Parasitology*, **87**: 1349-1354.

121 WILLIAMS, A. & RAE, R. 2015. Susceptibility of the Giant African Snail (*Achatina fulica*)
122 exposed to the gastropod parasitic nematode *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*. *Journal of*
123 *Invertebrate Pathology*, **127**: 122-126.

124 WILSON, M.J., GLEN, D.M. & GEORGE, S.K. 1993. The rhabditid nematode
125 *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* as a potential biological control agent for slugs. *Biocontrol*
126 *Science and Technology*, **3**: 503-511.

127 WILSON, M.J., HUGHES, L.A., HAMACHER, G.M. & GLEN, D.M. 2000. Effects of
128 *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* on non-target molluscs. *Pest Management Science*, **56**: 711-
129 716.

YANG, J-S, NAM, H-J, SEO, M., HAN, S.K. & CHOI, Y. 2011. OASIS: online application
for the survival analysis of lifespan assays performed in aging research. *PLoS ONE*, **6**:
e23525. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023525

130 **TABLE TITLE**

131 Table 1: Survival of different colour and banding morphs of *C. hortensis* exposed to *P.*
 132 *hermaphrodita* for 72 days and mean number of nematodes found encased in their shells.

Treatment	Colour	Number of bands	Mean percentage alive \pm S.E.	Mean number of nematodes found in shell (range)
Control (no nematodes)	Yellow	0	86.67 \pm 6.7	0
		1	100 \pm 0	0
		5	93.33 \pm 6.7	0
Control (no nematodes)	Pink	0	100 \pm 0	0
		1	100 \pm 0	0
		5	100 \pm 0	0
Nematodes	Yellow	0	100 \pm 0	7.38 (0 - 19)
		1	100 \pm 0	8.63 (2 - 31)
		5	93.33 \pm 6.7	12.88 (4 - 21)
Nematodes	Pink	0	100 \pm 0	6.5 \pm 1.97 (0 - 16)
		1	86.67 \pm 13.3	14.5 \pm 2.51 (2 - 23)
		5	93.33 \pm 6.7	15.13 (3 - 28)

133
 134

135 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

136 Fig 1: Numerous *P. hermaphrodita* encased and kill in the shell of a yellow *C. hortensis* (A)
137 and close up of individual *P. hermaphrodita* trapped in pink *C. hortensis* (B). Scale bars
138 represent 1mm (A) and 100 μm (B).

