LJMU Research Online

Inzani, EL, Marshall, HH, Sanderson, JL, Nichols, HJ, Thompson, FJ, Kalema-Zikusoka, G, Hodge, SJ, Cant, MA and Vitikainen, EIK

 Female reproductive competition explains variation in prenatal investment in wild banded mongooses

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/2779/

Article

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

Female reproductive competition explains variation in prenatal investment in wild banded mongooses

Emma L. Inzani, Harry H. Marshall, Jennifer L. Sanderson, Hazel J. Nichols, Faye J. Thompson, , Gladys Kalema-Zikusoka, Sarah J. Hodge, Michael A. Cant* & Emma I.K. Vitikainen

Centre for Ecology and Conservation, University of Exeter, Penryn Campus, Cornwall TR10 8FE

*Corresponding author: m.a.cant@exeter.ac.uk

Table S1: Factors affecting prenatal investment

Model terms	Fetus cross-sectional area (fetus size) Effect size ± SE	χ^2	P	Number of fetuses Effect size ± SE	χ^2	P	Total prenatal investment (fetus size x number of fetuses) Effect size ± SE	χ^2	P
Female age (months)	-0.12 ± 0.38	0.10	0.75	**0.12 ± 0.038**	**10.36**	**0.0013**	1.8 ± 1.5	1.34	0.25
Female age² (months)	-0.0018 ± 0.0042	0.087	0.77	**-0.053 ± 0.025**	**4.81**	**0.028**	0.021 ± 0.016	1.56	0.21
Female weight (g)	0.30 ± 0.10			0.036 ± 0.033	1.19	0.27	**0.58 ± 0.16**	**12.60**	**<0.001**
Rainfall during pregnancy (ml)	1.81 ± 0.70			-0.0079 ± 0.031	0.068	0.80	-0.68 ± 0.85	0.64	0.42
Number of females	-53 ± 57			-0.0094 ± 0.031	0.09	0.76	**38 ± 15**	**5.65**	**0.017**
Female weight x number of females	**-0.029 ± 0.014**	**4.23**	**0.040**						
Total rainfall x number of females	**-0.24 ± 0.11**	**4.91**	**0.027**						
Group size	1.8 ± 2.6	0.089	0.77	0.0012 ± 0.03	0.0015	0.97	-9.9 ± 10	0.85	0.36
Fetus age (days)	-45 ± 20								
Fetus age² (days)	0.64 ± 0.29								
Sample	360 ultrasounds from 59 females in 41 litters from 8 groups.			361 observations from 127 females in 130 litters from 11 groups			360 ultrasounds from 59 females in 41 litters from 8 groups.		

Random effects: female ID, litter ID and group ID. Model terms were scaled in GLMM analysis on number of fetuses.

Table S2: Within- and between- female variation in fetus size

Model terms	Effect size	±	SE	χ^2	P
Female age (months)	0.78	±	0.35	0.045	0.83
Female age^2 (months)	0.00061	±	0.0041	0.021	0.89
Female weight at conception (g)	0.064	±	0.043	2.00	0.16
Rainfall during pregnancy (ml)	-0.094	±	0.23	0.17	0.68
Within-female effects	**12.24**	**±**	**5.63**	**4.51**	**0.034**
Between-female effects	9.55	±	4.76	3.38	0.066
Fetus age (days)	2.88	±	1.20		
Fetus age^2 (days)	-0.048	±	0.093		
Sample	360 ultrasounds from 59 females in 41 litters from 8 groups.				

Random effects: female ID, litter ID and group ID.

Table S3: Consequences of prenatal investment – female reproductive success

	Number of emergent pups assigned to female			Proportion of pups in a group litter assigned to female		
Model terms	Effect size ± SE		χ^2	P	Effect size ± SE	χ^2	P
Mean fetus size (mm^2)	0.0022 ± 0.0017	1.66	0.20			
Relative fetus size				0.0023 ± 0.0022	1.14	0.29
Number of fetuses	0.28 ± 0.12	5.44	0.020			
Fetus age (days)	0.05 ± 0.03			0.0025 ± 0.0017		
Sample	153 observations from 78 females in 51 litters from 10 groups.			153 observations from 78 females in 51 litters from 10 groups.		

Random effects: female ID, litter ID and group ID.

Table S4: Consequences of prenatal investment – Pup survival and growth to independence

Model terms	Pup survival to 3 months					Pup growth (age<=90 days)				
	Effect size ± SE			χ^2	P	Effect size ± SE			χ^2	P
Mean fetus size (mm²)	0.0014	±	0.0041	0.12	0.72	0.04	±	0.06	0.34	0.56
Relative fetus size (mm²)	0.0063	±	0.0023	1.09	0.30					
Number of fetuses	0.02	±	0.27	0.0058	0.94	-7.0	±	5.5	1.59	0.21
Total number of pups in a group litter	-0.05	±	0.10	0.23	0.63	-0.94	±	1.55	0.37	0.54
Sex of pup						9.68	±	10.30	0.87	0.35
Pup age (days)						4.0	±	1.1		
Fetus age (days)	0.02	±	0.06			4.1	±	1.0		
Sample	131 pups from 29 litters from 8 groups.					116 pups from 26 litters from 8 groups.				

Random effects: litter ID and group ID.

Table S5: Consequences of prenatal investment– female survival
Female post-reproductive survival (months). Cox regression with backward selection of terms (Wald).

Model terms	Effect size	±	SE	Wald χ^2	P
Number of females	- 0.081	±	0.0052	2.23	0.14
Total prenatal investment	**-0.001**	**±**	**0.0001**	**6.57**	**0.010**
Number of fetuses	-0.009	±	0.121	0.006	0.94
Mean fetus size (mm^2)	**-0.005**	**±**	**0.001**	**12.68**	**<0.001**
Relative fetus size (mm^2)	0.001	±	0.003	0.16	0.69
Sample	109 females in 47 litters from 10 groups.				

Table S6: Consequences of prenatal investment – female participation in next litter (y/n)

Model terms	Effect size	±	SE	χ^2	P
Female age (months)	-0.01	±	0.02	0.17	0.68
Female age^2 (months)	-0.0015	±	0.0029	0.28	0.60
Female weight at conception (g)	0	±	0.0031	0.012	0.91
Number of females	0.19	±	0.48	0.16	0.69
Mean fetus size (mm^2)	-0.0012	±	0.0072	0.030	0.86
Number of fetuses	-0.87	±	0.52	3.50	0.061
Fetus age (days)	0.06	±	0.14		
Sample	105 observations from 46 females in 34 litters from 7 groups.				

Random effects: female ID, litter ID and group ID.