

LJMU Research Online

Inzani, EL, Marshall, HH, Sanderson, JL, Nichols, HJ, Thompson, FJ, Kalema-Zikusoka, G, Hodge, SJ, Cant, MA and Vitikainen, EIK

Female reproductive competition explains variation in prenatal investment in wild banded mongooses

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/2779/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Inzani, EL, Marshall, HH, Sanderson, JL, Nichols, HJ, Thompson, FJ, Kalema-Zikusoka, G, Hodge, SJ, Cant, MA and Vitikainen, ElK (2016) Female reproductive competition explains variation in prenatal investment in wild banded mongooses. Scientific Reports. 6 (20013). pp. 1-6. ISSN 2045-2322

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@limu.ac.uk

Female reproductive competition explains variation in prenatal investment in wild
banded mongooses
Emma L. Inzani, Harry H. Marshall, Jennifer L. Sanderson, Hazel J. Nichols, Faye J.
Thompson, , Gladys Kalema-Zikusoka, Sarah J. Hodge, Michael A. Cant* & Emma I.K.
Vitikainen
Centre for Ecology and Conservation, University of Exeter, Penryn Campus, Cornwall
TR10 8FE
*Corresponding author: m.a.cant@exeter.ac.uk

Supplementary Information

Table S1: Factors affecting prenatal investment

	Fetus cro	oss-s	ectional a	rea (fetus	size)	Number of fetuses					-		stment r of fetu	ses)	
Model terms	Effect size	ze ± S	SE	χ²	P	Effect size	ze ± SE		χ^2	P	Effect	size :	E SE	χ²	P
Female age (months)	-0.12	±	0.38	0.10	0.75	0.12	±	0.038	10.36	0.0013	1.8	±	1.5	1.34	0.25
Female age ² (months)	-0.0018	±	0.0042	0.087	0.77	-0.053	±	0.025	4.81	0.028	0.021	±	0.016	1.56	0.21
Female weight (g)	0.30	±	0.10			0.036	±	0.033	1.19	0.27	0.58	±	0.16	12.60	<0.001
Rainfall during pregnancy (ml)	1.81	±	0.70			-0.0079	±	0.031	0.068	0.80	-0.68	±	0.85	0.64	0.42
Number of females	-53	±	57			-0.0094	±	0.031	0.09	0.76	38	±	15	5.65	0.017
Female weight x number of females	-0.029	±	0.014	4.23	0.040										
Total rainfall x number of females	-0.24	±	0.11	4.91	0.027										
Group size	1.8	±	2.6	0.089	0.77	0.0012	±	0.03	0.0015	0.97	-9.9	±	10	0.85	0.36
Fetus age (days)	-45	±	20												
Fetus age ² (days)	0.64	±	0.29												
Sample	360 ultrasounds from 59 females in 41 litters from 8 groups.				361 observations from 127 females in 130 litters from 11 groups				360 ultrasounds from 59 females in 41 litters from 8 groups.						

Random effects: female ID, litter ID and group ID. Model terms were scaled in GLMM analysis on number of fetuses.

Table S2: Within- and between- female variation in fetus size

Model terms	Effect size	±	SE	χ²	P
Female age (months)	0.78	±	0.35	0.045	0.83
Female age ² (months)	0.00061	±	0.0041	0.021	0.89
Female weight at conception (g)	0.064	±	0.043	2.00	0.16
Rainfall during pregnancy (ml)	-0.094	±	0.23	0.17	0.68
Within-female effects	12.24	±	5.63	4.51	0.034
Between-female effects	9.55	±	4.76	3.38	0.066
Fetus age (days)	2.88	±	1.20		
Fetus age² (days)	-0.048	±	0.093		
Sample	360 ultrasou	unds fror	n 59 females in 4	41 litters from	8 groups.

Random effects: female ID, litter ID and group ID.

Table S3: Consequences of prenatal investment – female reproductive success

	Number female	mergent pı	ıps assigr	ned to	Proportion of pups in a group litter assigned to female					
Model terms	Effect size	ze ± S	SE	χ^2	P	Effect size	ze ± SE		χ²	P
Mean fetus size (mm²)	0.0022	±	0.0017	1.66	0.20					
Relative fetus size						0.0023	±	0.0022	1.14	0.29
Number of fetuses	0.28	±	0.12	5.44	0.020					
Fetus age (days)	0.05	±	0.03			0.0025	±	0.0017		
Sample	153 observations from 78 females in 51 litters from 10 groups.					153 obse		ns from 78 groups.	3 female	s in 51

Random effects: female ID, litter ID and group ID.

Table S4: Consequences of prenatal investment – Pup survival and growth to independence

	Pup surv	vival	to 3 mont	hs		Pup growth (age<=90 days)				
Model terms	Effect si	ze ±	SE	χ²	P	Effect s	ize ± S	E	χ²	P
Mean fetus size (mm²)	0.0014	±	0.0041	0.12	0.72	0.04	±	0.06	0.34	0.56
Relative fetus size (mm²)	0.0063	±	0.0023	1.09	0.30					
Number of fetuses	0.02	±	0.27	0.0058	0.94	-7.0	±	5.5	1.59	0.21
Total number of pups in a group litter	-0.05	±	0.10	0.23	0.63	-0.94	±	1.55	0.37	0.54
Sex of pup						9.68	±	10.30	0.87	0.35
Pup age (days)						4.0	±	1.1		
Fetus age (days)	0.02	±	0.06			4.1	±	1.0		
Sample	131 pup	s fro	m 29 litter	s from 8 g	roups.	116 pu _l	os from	n 26 litter	s from 8	groups.

Random effects: litter ID and group ID.

Table S5: Consequences of prenatal investment– female survival

Female post-reproductive survival (months). Cox regression with backward selection of terms (Wald).

Model terms	Effect size	±	SE	Wald χ²	P			
Number of females	- 0.081	±	0.0052	2.23	0.14			
Total prenatal investment	-0.001	±	0.0001	6.57	0.010			
Number of fetuses	-0.009	±	0.121	0.006	0.94			
Mean fetus size (mm²)	-0.005	±	0.001	12.68	<0.001			
Relative fetus size (mm²)	0.001	±	0.003	0.16	0.69			
Sample	109 females in 47 litters from 10 groups.							

Table S6: Consequences of prenatal investment – female participation in next litter (y/n)

Model terms	Effect size	±	SE	χ²	Р			
Female age (months)	-0.01	±	0.02	0.17	0.68			
Female age ² (months)	-0.0015	±	0.0029	0.28	0.60			
Female weight at conception (g)	0	±	0.0031	0.012	0.91			
Number of females	0.19	±	0.48	0.16	0.69			
Mean fetus size (mm²)	-0.0012	±	0.0072	0.030	0.86			
Number of fetuses	-0.87	±	0.52	3.50	0.061			
Fetus age (days)	0.06	±	0.14					
Sample	105 observations from 46 females in 34 litters from 7 groups.							

Random effects: female ID, litter ID and group ID.