

LJMU Research Online

Levesque, DL, Breit, AM, Brown, E, Nowack, J and Welman, S

Non-Torpid Heterothermy in Mammals: Another Category along the Homeothermy–Hibernation Continuum

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/22862/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Levesque, DL, Breit, AM, Brown, E, Nowack, J and Welman, S (2023) Non-Torpid Heterothermy in Mammals: Another Category along the Homeothermy–Hibernation Continuum. Integrative and Comparative Biology. 63 (5). pp. 1039-1048. ISSN 1540-7063

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

1	Title: Non-torpid heterothermy in mammals: another category along the homeothermy-
2	hibernation continuum
3	Submitted as part of the compilation for the symposium held in January 2023: S4 Daily torpor
4	across birds and mammals: Recent progress and how do we advance the field
5	
6	Running Title: Non-torpid heterothermy and energetics in mammals
7	
8	Authors: Danielle L. Levesque (danielle.l.levesque@maine.edu)*, Ana M. Breit
9	(ana.breit@maine.edu), Eric Brown (eric.brown1@maine.edu), Julia Nowack
10	(j.nowack@ljmu.ac.uk), Shaun Welman (shaun.welman@mandela.ac.za)
11	
12	Corresponding Author: Danielle L. Levesque
13	Address: School of Biology and Ecology, University of Maine, Orono, ME, United States
14	Phone: +1 (207) 581-2511
15	Email: <u>danielle.l.levesque@maine.edu</u>
16	
17	Synopsis: Variability in body temperature is now recognized to be widespread among whole-
18	body endotherms with homeothermy being the exception rather than the norm. A wide range of
19	body temperature patterns exists in extant endotherms, spanning from strict homeothermy, to
20	occasional use of torpor, to deep seasonal hibernation with many points in between. What is

21 often lost in discussions of heterothermy in endotherms are the benefits of variations in body

22 temperatures outside of torpor. Endotherms that do not use torpor can still obtain extensive

energy and water savings from varying levels of flexibility in normothermic body temperature

24 regulation. Flexibility at higher temperatures (heat storage or facultative hyperthermia) can

25 provide significant water savings while decreases at cooler temperatures, even outside of torpor,

26 can lower the energetic costs of thermoregulation during rest. We discuss the varying uses of the

terms heterothermy, thermolability, and torpor to describe differences in the amplitude of body

28 temperature cycles and advocate for a broader use of the term "heterothermy" to include non-

29 torpid variations in body temperature.

30 Introduction

31 Whole-body endothermy, the capacity to use endogenous means of heat production to regulate 32 core body temperature (body temperature hereafter), allowed mammals and birds to inhabit a 33 wide range of climates and represents a significant step in the evolution of these two groups 34 (Crompton et al. 1978; Bennett and Ruben 1979; Lovegrove 2012). Yet, the maintenance of high and relatively stable body temperatures comes at a significant cost both in terms of energy and of 35 36 water needs. There is now considerable evidence that the relatively high level of homeothermy 37 observed in many extant mammals and birds derived from more thermally labile ancestors 38 (Grigg et al. 2004; Lovegrove 2012). As we have continued to collect data from animals 39 inhabiting warm environments, we have observed a wider range of thermoregulatory phenotypes, 40 ranging from highly variable (i.e. a form likely closer to the ancestral state) to relatively constant 41 (i.e. an apparently more derived state) body temperatures (Lovegrove 2012; Boyles et al. 2013). 42 Variability in body temperatures is observed not just at the level of torpor expression (see 43 Nowack, Stawski, et al. 2023) but also at body temperatures that could still be considered 44 normothermic. Deviations from strict homeothermy can provide significant energy savings at 45 cold temperatures as well as water savings at higher ambient temperatures (Cooper et al. 2009; 46 Levesque and Lovegrove 2014; Gerson et al. 2019). In large mammals, heterothermy, in the 47 form of small normothermic deviations (1-5°C), has long been recognized as a common response 48 to low energy or water availability (reviewed in Hetem et al. 2016) However, until very recently 49 (Geiser 2021), in smaller endotherms the conversation around heterothermy has largely focused 50 on the use of torpor, i.e. substantial energy savings via a controlled reduction in metabolic rate 51 and a decrease in the body temperature-ambient temperature differential observed in some 52 species of mammals and birds, in its varying forms (Ruf and Geiser 2015; Nowack et al. 2020). 53 This dichotomy of focus has led to a disparate definition of 'heterothermy' between researchers 54 who study large mammals versus those who study small endotherms. For example, Ruf and 55 Geiser (2015) define a 'heterothermic endotherm' as follows: "An organism that is capable of 56 homeothermic thermoregulation, but at certain times of the day or the year enters a state of 57 torpor." This definition would preclude the entirety of what has been considered heterothermy in 58 large mammals as it focuses solely on torpor and not on changes in the level and variability in 59 the daily amplitude of body temperatures (Hetem et al. 2016). We support the most recent 60 definition found in Geiser (2021) which states : "Heterothermic organisms also can be

61 considered as those that show large daily fluctuations of body temperature, such as some large 62 birds and mammals that do not enter torpor". Reconciling earlier disparate definitions of 63 heterothermy is an important step towards facilitating discussions around the evolution of 64 endothermy and appreciating nuanced differences observed in extant endotherms. Doing so would allow one to muse the ecological significance of smaller variations in body temperatures 65 66 that, although they may have energetic consequences, have often been overlooked. Reconciling these disparate definitions of heterothermy enables us to understand the full range of 67 68 physiological responses, allowing us to more thoroughly contextualize the evolution of 69 endothermy and its diversity in extant endotherms. In this paper we discuss means of assessing 70 and comparing heterothermy in torpid and non-torpid endotherms, both free-ranging and captive, 71 how to separate them, and provide a framework for assessing the phenotypic plasticity in body 72 temperature in endotherms.

73

74 Towards a more practical definition of heterothermy

"Heterothermy: The pattern of temperature regulation in a tachymetabolic species in which the
variation in core temperature, either nychthemerally or seasonally, exceeds that which defines
homeothermy (Gk. hetero—different; therme—heat)." (IUPS Thermal Commission 2003)

78 The definition above, provided in the International Union of Physiological Sciences' "Glossary 79 of terms for thermal physiology" (IUPS Thermal Commission 2003) cannot in any way be 80 considered a practical or useful definition. The accompanying definition of homeothermy is 81 equally vague referring to 'arbitrarily defined limits' in variability. It is therefore not surprising 82 that either (or both) of these terms have been used to describe various body temperature patterns 83 over the years. Circadian patterns in body temperature regulation in endotherms are well known 84 and have been studied for decades (Aschoff 1963; Refinetti 2010; Maloney et al. 2019). Most 85 species, especially those with a strict daily activity pattern will have, independent of activity, an 86 increase in body temperature during the active phase and a decrease during the resting phase. 87 These endogenous changes are regulated by the circadian clock and differ between species 88 according to activity patterns (diurnal, nocturnal, crepuscular, etc.) and habitat, as well as the 89 energetic status of the animal (Maloney et al. 2019; Refinetti 2020). Take for example two 90 species of small mammal from the tropical rainforests in Borneo: the nocturnal tarsier

91 (*Cephalopachus bancanus*) and the diurnal large treeshrew (*Tupaia tana*, Figure 1). The 92 nocturnal tarsier is out of phase with daily amplitudes in ambient temperature and has a 93 relatively low active body temperature (~35°C) resulting in very little variability between active 94 and resting body temperatures in free-ranging animals (~0.6°C, Welman et al. 2017). The diurnal 95 treeshrew, on the other hand, has a higher normothermic body temperature (~39°C) and is active 96 during the hottest parts of the day and resting during the coolest, thus displaying a high daily 97 variation in normothermic body temperatures (~3.5°C, Levesque et al. 2018). Even higher 98 variability can be seen in so called 'thermolabile' species, such as naked mole-rats 99 (Heterocephalus glaber) who living in subterranean burrow systems and can show skin 100 temperatures varying between 23.7-35.4°C (Holtze et al. 2018). The difference in the level of 101 precision in body temperature regulation seen between these species illustrates the type of 102 heterothermy that is often ignored in studies on mammalian energetics in favor of focusing on 103 quantifying torpor.

104 What has been made clear from the various debates and controversies over the years is 105 that the point at which the rest-phase decrease in body temperature switches from normothermy 106 to torpor is difficult to define (Schleucher and Prinzinger 2006; McKechnie et al. 2007; Willis 107 2007; Boyles et al. 2011; Brigham et al. 2011; Canale et al. 2012). Torpor use is generally seen 108 as active suppression of thermogenesis or metabolism that typically decreases the body 109 temperature-ambient temperature differential and we commonly differentiate between 110 hibernation (multiday torpor bouts associated with a period of extended inactivity) and daily 111 torpor (short bouts of less than 24 hours, Ruf and Geiser 2015). Metabolic rates during daily 112 torpor and hibernation differ substantially even under comparable ambient conditions, body 113 temperature, and torpor bout duration suggesting that these are distinct metabolic states (Staples 114 2016; Currie et al. 2022; Geiser and Ruf 2023). Yet, the variety of torpor use phenotypes in 115 extant mammals (reviewed in Nowack et al. 2020; Nowack, Stawski, et al. 2023) is vast, with 116 some species falling between categories (such as those who use prolonged torpor lasting several 117 days) or hibernators seemingly switching from one torpor type to another (from short torpor 118 bouts for less than 24 hours, to prolonged torpor or hibernation) depending on the environmental 119 conditions (Geiser and Mzilikazi 2011; Turner et al. 2012; Levesque et al. 2014; Boyles et al. 120 2017), provoking discussions about clear classifications. Many mammals may also show short 121 and shallow bouts of torpor with only a small decrease in body temperature (i.e. body

122 temperature above 30° C) that despite being associated with noteworthy levels of energy savings 123 (Levin et al. 2012; Olson et al. 2017; Nowack, Mzilikazi, et al. 2023), are often ignored in 124 mammals when only a body temperature decrease below an arbitrary threshold (often 30-33°C) 125 is classified as torpor (Boyles et al. 2011; Canale et al. 2012; Nowack, Mzilikazi, et al. 2023). 126 Although it is worth noting that a similar phenomenon referred to as 'nocturnal hypometabolism' 127 in birds has also received considerable attention, perhaps because the abundance of diurnal 128 species with large rest-phase reductions in body temperature makes it more evident (Schleucher 129 2004; Schleucher and Prinzinger 2006; Noakes et al. 2013).

130 Most, if not all, of the issues with defining torpor stem from the fact that body 131 temperature alone is not enough of a diagnostic characteristic (Willis 2007; Canale et al. 2012; 132 Boyles et al. 2020; Currie et al. 2022). A single body temperature measurement can represent 133 different underlying physiological states depending on whether the animal is heating, cooling, 134 suppressing thermogenesis or actively suppressing metabolic rate below basal metabolism, not to 135 mention uncontrolled pathologies impacting thermoregulation such as disease, parasites, or 136 overall body condition and health (Thomas et al. 2010; Robar et al. 2011; Cézilly et al. 2013; 137 Rey et al. 2017). Concurrent measures of either metabolism or heart rate assist in the diagnosis of 138 entry into torpor or in differentiating torpor from hypo- or even hyperthermia, yet these measures 139 are not as readily obtainable as body temperature (Willis 2007; Currie et al. 2014; O'Mara et al. 140 2017). Therefore, body temperature alone is often used to assess the energetic state of an animal. 141 However, regardless of whether or not torpor - in the strictest sense *i.e.* a reduction in 142 metabolism below a defined threshold (sometimes as little as 25% below resting rates, Hudson 143 and Scott 1979) is employed, flexibility in body temperature conserves significant energy 144 compared to strict homeothermy (here referring to body temperature regulated with only minimal 145 circadian variation despite variable ambient conditions). For example, in the large treeshrew 146 (*Tupaia tana*) (Figure 1) modal body temperature during activity (~39°C) is higher than the body 147 temperatures of the average mammal (36.8°C according to Clarke and O'Connor 2014), yet body 148 temperature routinely decreases to ~35.9°C during the nighttime rest-phase. Measurements of 149 resting metabolism and body temperature taken from individuals under ambient temperatures 150 similar to their usual nighttime temperatures (~25°C) indicate that these animals are resting at the 151 lower end of thermoneutrality (below which metabolic rate increases to defend normothermy; 152 Figure 2; Levesque et al. 2018) and are decidedly not torpid. A hypothetical strictlyhomeothermic treeshrew resting at 25°C with a body temperature of 39°C instead of 36°C (assuming a Q_{10} temperature coefficient of ~2-3 for metabolic rate) would have a basal metabolic rate of 1.23-1.39 times higher than measured. Although this difference is not as extreme as the costs of normothermy compared with torpor, the energy savings are still substantial.

157 The temperature traces of the treeshrew and the tarsier demonstrate the advantage of 158 multiple diagnostic metrics. Although it appears as though the treeshrew is the more 159 heterothermic of the two species in the wild (Figure 1), because of the amplitude of the daily 160 maxima and minima, this is not the case and under controlled-standardized laboratory conditions 161 it is the tarsier that shows a higher degree of heterothermy (Figure 2, Welman et al. 2017; 162 Levesque et al. 2018). The degree of variability in body temperature and metabolism that an 163 animal is capable of during their rest-phase is directly affected by the ambient temperatures 164 during that period as well as other factors such as microclimate and body mass (Refinetti 1997). 165 If, during the rest-phase, ambient temperatures should approach body temperatures, which occurs 166 more frequently in the tropics and sub-tropics, the smaller thermal gradient (i.e. between the 167 animal's core and the environment) can limit the extent to which animals can lower their body 168 temperature, dampening their degree of thermal flexibility as seen in the tarsier (Canale et al. 169 2012; Levesque et al. 2014; Lovegrove et al. 2014). Cold can also limit variability in body 170 temperature, for example desert-dwelling ungulates routinely display higher absolute 171 temperatures resulting in larger daily amplitudes during summer compared to winter (Hetem et 172 al. 2009, 2010). Cooler temperatures during winter result in the continuous need for 173 thermogenesis which can elevate body temperature at the low end, which, combined with a 174 reduction in hyperthermic heterothermy, reduces the overall daily range of body temperatures 175 measured (Thompson et al. 2019; Græsli et al. 2020). Thus free-ranging temperature patterns are 176 useful in describing what occurs under natural conditions (with the caveats mentioned above 177 about our abilities to diagnose phenotypes from body temperatures alone in mind) but a more 178 consistent approach is needed to be able to compare a species' fundamental ability to harness 179 flexibility in body temperature. One may argue that a more standardized approach could be the 180 solution, but whether what is currently in use sufficiently encapsulates the thermoregulatory 181 variability of species must first be considered.

182 Scholander-Irving Curves as a Means of Assessing Capacity for Non-Torpid

183 Heterothermy?

184 One commonly used standard approach has been to measure metabolism at rest over a range of 185 ambient temperatures under laboratory or field laboratory conditions. These measurements can 186 be used to construct Scholander-Irving (SI) Curves, or thermal profiles, and are considered a 187 standardizable means of characterizing thermoregulation in endotherms (Huey et al. 2012; Riek 188 and Geiser 2013; Khaliq et al. 2017). These curves illustrate the relationship between ambient 189 temperature and metabolic rate and often include readily comparable characteristics such as the 190 lower limit of thermoneutrality and the thermoneutral zone (a species-specific range of ambient 191 temperature over which metabolic rate remains constant-*i.e.* basal). By contrast, defining the 192 upper limit of thermoneutrality has proven more difficult and the determining factor typically 193 varies between either increases in metabolism (Riek and Geiser 2013; Wolf et al. 2017) or 194 increases in evaporative water loss (IUPS Thermal Commission 2003; Withers et al. 2016). This 195 inconsistency illustrates one of the major complications with the use of SI curves over the years: 196 metabolism is not the sole actor in temperature balance. Evaporative water loss plays an equal, if 197 not greater, role in thermoregulation in endotherms, especially at high ambient temperatures. It is 198 also worth noting that many endotherms live at temperatures either below (Humphries and 199 Careau 2011) or above (Mitchell et al. 2018) their thermoneutral zone and are therefore routinely 200 expending either energy or water to maintain normothermic body temperatures. Many species 201 also change either body mass, insulation, or both, between seasons resulting in different 202 parameters depending on the season (Pauls 1981; Lovegrove 2005; Kobbe et al. 2014).

203 Regardless of seasonality, most mammals spend their lives outside of thermoneutrality 204 which highlights the fact that the thermoneutral zone and its limits are not an indication of 205 thermal tolerance, although they have occasionally been mistaken as such (reviewed in Mitchell 206 et al. 2018; Levesque and Marshall 2021). Therefore, similar to the caveats above on relying 207 solely on body temperature measurements, measuring metabolic rate alone is not enough to gain 208 a holistic understanding of the characteristics of thermoregulation in a species. For example, 209 species like treeshrews maintain a surprisingly large thermoneutral zone (spanning >10°C) for 210 their body mass (reviewed in Thonis et al. 2020) likely due to the fact that they reduce body 211 temperature by ~4°C within the thermoneutral zone. The aforementioned example illustrates a 212 core problem with comparing SI curves between species, which is that Scholander et al. (1950) 213 did not measure body temperature in their original publication and considered body temperature 214 to be a constant and relatively non-adaptive trait in endotherms (Scholander, Hock, Walters, and

215 Irving 1950; Angilletta Jr et al. 2010). This oversight has led to a number of misinterpretations 216 over the years including the belief that the relationship between ambient temperature and resting 217 metabolic rates in endotherms can be modelled using first principles and Newton's Laws of 218 Cooling, and that when a line is drawn through metabolism below the thermoneutral zone it 219 extrapolates to body temperature at y=0. Although this might be the case for some of the more 220 (rare) homeothermic mammals, it does not hold for species with even minor differences between 221 active and resting body temperatures (reviewed in Boyles et al. 2019). A major flaw in these 222 assumptions is that body temperature is assumed to be held constant whereas in reality body 223 temperature in small mammals in particular often follows a curvilinear pattern, decreasing within 224 the thermoneutral zone, increasing slightly below it as thermogenesis is engaged producing 225 excess heat, and finally decreasing again when approaching lethal temperatures (reviewed in 226 Lovegrove et al. 1991). Yet, the degree of this variability in body temperature, or precision in 227 body temperature regulation, does vary between species (Figure 2, Figure 3) and even between 228 seasons in a single species (Haim et al. 1991; Glanville and Seebacher 2010; Levesque and 229 Tattersall 2010; Thiel et al. 2019) and therefore body temperature changes measured during 230 thermal profile experiments can be diagnostic of a species' ability to vary body temperature in a 231 comparable way (Figure 3; Breit 2023).

232 Fundamental vs Realized Dimensions of Heterothermy

233 What we have presented above are two means of assessing heterothermy in mammals: body 234 temperature traces of free-ranging animals and body temperature measurements under steady-235 state conditions. The first, body temperature traces of free-ranging animals can give an idea of 236 what body temperatures animals are experiencing in the wild. Although these can be used to gain 237 a rough estimate of energetic states over time, they cannot accurately reflect the energetic state of 238 the animal nor do they necessarily give an indication of the capacity of the species to employ 239 heterothermy, either via torpor or thermolability. Body temperatures of free-ranging animals are 240 often, but not always, indicative of the animal's propensity or willingness to employ 241 heterothermy. There are instances where warm ambient temperatures preclude obvious 242 reductions in body temperature but the animal is torpid (O'Mara et al. 2017; Reher et al. 2018), 243 furthermore there are also instances where it may be too costly (from an ecological sense) to 244 enter torpor (Nowack et al. 2010). Thus, body temperatures alone are not guaranteed to be a 245 reliable indicator of the physiological capacity of the animal to use torpor (i.e. how low the

246 hypothalamic body temperature setpoint can be regulated before active thermoregulation is 247 required). Similar arguments apply to non-torpid heterothermy, although the costs (along with 248 the energy savings) will be less than those of torpor. It should be noted that the benefits of non-249 torpid heterothermy have been discussed when it comes to highly thermolabile species 250 (basoendotherms sensu Lovegrove 2012) such as marsupials, molerats, echidnas and tenrecs (e.g. 251 Withers et al. 2000; Grigg et al. 2004; Boyles et al. 2012; Levesque et al. 2014) but we have 252 been lacking the language to adequately account for lesser levels of non-torpid heterothermy 253 such as that observed in the treeshrews.

254 The second means of assessing a species' capacity or proclivity for non-torpid heterothermy, body temperature measured under steady-state conditions (such as during 255 256 experiments to establish the SI-curve), and usually at rest, can give a better idea of a species' 257 baseline level of thermolability, but not an indication of how frequently it will be employed in 258 the wild. Although it should be noted that, at least when it comes to torpor use, some species 259 have been found to be reluctant to enter torpor in the laboratory and are more homeothermic than 260 under free-ranging conditions (Geiser et al. 2000, 2007). Heterothermy outside of torpor has not 261 been compared in the same way therefore whether there will also be differences between the lab 262 and the field has yet to be established. It is important when comparing between and even within a 263 species to consider whether or not the conditions are reflective of the species' true capacity, the 264 fundamental physiological niche (sensu Landry-Cuerrier et al. 2008), or simply the potentially 265 limiting conditions of its environment or physiology. Thanks to advancements in data-logger 266 technology, it is now possible to obtain concurrent body temperature and heart rate (a common 267 proxy for metabolic rate) of even small-bodied endotherms (Hetem et al. 2016; Chmura et al. 268 2018). This combination of physiological variables would provide a more reliable representation 269 of the animals' thermoregulatory state in situ but would still require validation using field 270 metabolic rate if the end-goal was to quantify the animals' total energy expenditure. 271 Nevertheless, based on the simple principle that endogenous heat production must increase to 272 defend body temperature at an increasing gradient with the environment, even slight reductions 273 in body temperature, whether due to torpor or non-torpid heterothermy, will convey energy 274 conservation benefits due to a reduction in endogenous heat production needed to combat heat 275 lost from the body.

276 Conclusions: Non-torpid heterothermy an under-quantified yet useful

277 physiological characteristic of endotherms

278 Although we do not yet have an easy means of quantifying the impacts of the true capacity for a 279 species to employ non-torpid heterothermy, nor any clear prescriptions as to how important it is, 280 we wish to stress the importance of considering the full breadth of the homeothermic-281 heterothermic continuum in mammals. Strict thresholds can delineate between the type of torpor 282 used by a species (such as daily torpor or hibernation) along what is obviously an evolutionary 283 gradient in the physiological capacity among endothermic species to employ shorter or longer 284 bouts of torpor. We recognize that there are various schools of thought regarding heterothermy 285 and how best to define it, prompting disagreement between researchers, however, decades of 286 focusing on defining thresholds (daily torpor, hibernation etc) along the heterothermic 287 continuum, while important in characterizing those distinct states, has resulted in the loss of 288 some potentially important nuances. Even very small levels of heterothermy $(0.5-5^{\circ}C;$ from 289 shallow torpor or non-torpid heterothermy) can provide savings over strict homeothermy and 290 changes in the level of heterothermy over time can be indicative of an energetic imbalance, 291 reproductive status, or other important stage changes in an animal's life (reviewed in Hetem et 292 al. 2016; Maloney et al. 2017). We argue that heterothermy should no longer be used 293 synonymously with torpor but broadened to include non-torpid body temperature variation and 294 that greater care should be taken when evaluating torpor use to include the potential benefits of 295 non-torpid heterothermy. Although the differentiation between shallow torpor and the lower end 296 of normothermy will be challenging, it is important to consider both as part of a continuum of 297 energy saving options. We have presented two means with which to access both the fundamental 298 as well as realized use of thermolability in endotherms, but suspect that more will be developed 299 as technology continues to open new doors and we continue to find new dimensions and points 300 along the mammalian heterothermic-homeothermic continuum.

301 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge Anusha Shankar, Kenneth Welsh and Liam McGuire for organizing the excellent symposium that helped provide the excuse we needed to finally get these ideas out. We also wish to thank Barry Lovegrove for inspiring many of the ideas and concepts discussed in the manuscript and we deeply mourn the loss of being able to discuss these with him. We thank Fritz Geiser and two anonymous reviewers for their comments the paper.

307	DLL and JN had travel supported by the US National Science Foundation (NSF IOS-2235558)
308	and the Company of Biologists (JN). DLL and EB were supported by NSF IOS-2045785. AMB
309	was supported the Hodosh Fellowship through the University of Maine. DLL led the writing
310	and all authors contributed to the conception and writing of the manuscript. After the first author,
311	authors are listed in alphabetical order.
312	Data availability
313	No new data were generated for this perspectives paper.
314	References
315	Angilletta Jr MJ, Cooper BS, Schuler MS, Boyles JG. 2010. The evolution of thermal physiology
316	in endotherms. Front Biosci 2:861–81.
317	Aschoff J. 1963. Comparative physiology: Diurnal rhythms. Annu Rev Physiol 25:581-600.
318	Bennett AF, Ruben JA. 1979. Endothermy and activity in vertebrates. Science 206:649–54.
319	Boyles JG, Bennett NC, Mohammed OB, Alagaili AN. 2017. Torpor patterns in Desert
320	Hedgehogs (Paraechinus aethiopicus) represent another new point along a
321	thermoregulatory continuum. Physiol Biochem Zool 90:445-52.
322	Boyles JG, Johnson JS, Blomberg A, Lilley TM. 2020. Optimal hibernation theory. Mammal
323	Rev 50:91–100.
324	Boyles JG, Levesque DL, Nowack J, Wojciechowski MS, Stawski C, Fuller A, Smit B, Tattersall
325	GJ. 2019. An oversimplification of physiological principles leads to flawed
326	macroecological analyses. Ecol Evol 9:12020–25.
327	Boyles JG, Smit B, McKechnie AE. 2011. A new comparative metric for estimating
328	heterothermy in endotherms. Physiol Biochem Zool 84:115–23.
329	Boyles JG, Thompson AB, McKechnie AE, Malan E, Humphries MM, Careau V. 2013. A global
330	heterothermic continuum in mammals. Glob Ecol Biogeogr 22:1029–39.
331	Boyles JG, Verburgt L, McKechnie AE, Bennett NC. 2012. Heterothermy in two mole-rat
332	species subjected to interacting thermoregulatory challenges. J Exp Zool Part Ecol Genet
333	Physiol 317:73–82.
334	Breit AM. 2023. Comparative energetics of mammalian thermoregulatory physiology. University
335	of Maine (PhD).

- Brigham R, Willis C, Geiser F, Mzilikazi N. 2011. Baby in the bathwater: Should we abandon
 the use of body temperature thresholds to quantify expression of torpor? J Therm Biol
 36:376–79.
- Canale CI, Levesque DL, Lovegrove BG. 2012. Tropical heterothermy: Does the exception
 prove the rule or force a re-definition? In: Ruf T, Bieber C, Arnold W, Millesi E, editors.
 Living in a Seasonal World: Thermoregulatory and Metabolic Adaptations Heidelberg:
- 342 Springer Berlin. p. 29–40.
- Cézilly F, Favrat A, Perrot-Minnot M-J. 2013. Multidimensionality in parasite-induced
 phenotypic alterations: ultimate versus proximate aspects. J Exp Biol 216:27–35.
- Chmura HE, Glass TW, Williams CT. 2018. Biologging physiological and ecological responses
 to climatic variation: new tools for the climate change era. Front Ecol Evol 6:92.
- Clarke A, O'Connor MI. 2014. Diet and body temperature in mammals and birds. Glob Ecol
 Biogeogr 23:1000–1008.
- Cooper CE, Withers PC, Cruz-Neto AP. 2009. Metabolic, ventilatory, and hygric physiology of
 the gracile mouse opossum (*Gracilinanus agilis*). Physiol Biochem Zool 82:153–62.
- Crompton AW, Taylor CR, Jagger JA. 1978. Evolution of homeothermy in mammals. Nature
 272:333–36.
- Currie SE, Körtner G, Geiser F. 2014. Heart rate as a predictor of metabolic rate in heterothermic
 bats. J Exp Biol 217:1519–24.
- Currie SE, Körtner G, Geiser F. 2022. Pronounced differences in heart rate and metabolism
 distinguish daily torpor and short-term hibernation in two bat species. Sci Rep 12:21721.
- 357 Geiser F. 2021. Ecological physiology of daily torpor and hibernation Springer.
- Geiser F, Holloway JC, Körtner G. 2007. Thermal biology, torpor and behaviour in sugar
 gliders: a laboratory-field comparison. J Comp Physiol [B] 177:495–501.
- 360 Geiser F, Holloway JC, Körtner G, Maddocks TA, Turbill C, Brigham RM. 2000. Do patterns of
- 361 torpor differ between free-ranging and captive mammals and birds. In: Heldmaier G,
- 362 Klingenspor M, editors. Life In The Cold: 11th International Hibernation Symposium
 363 Berlin: Springer-Verlag. p. 95–102.
- Geiser F, Mzilikazi N. 2011. Does torpor of elephant shrews differ from that of other
 heterothermic mammals? J Mammal 92:452–59.
- 366 Geiser F, Ruf T. 2023. Long-term survival, temperature, and torpor patterns. Sci Rep 13:6673.

- 367 Gerson AR, McKechnie AE, Smit B, Whitfield MC, Smith EK, Talbot WA, McWhorter TJ,
- Wolf BO. 2019. The functional significance of facultative hyperthermia varies with body
 size and phylogeny in birds. Funct Ecol 33:597–607.
- Glanville EJ, Seebacher F. 2010. Plasticity in body temperature and metabolic capacity sustains
 winter activity in a small endotherm (*Rattus fuscipes*). Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol
 Integr Physiol 155:383–91.
- Græsli AR, Thiel A, Fuchs B, Singh NJ, Stenbacka F, Ericsson G, Neumann W, Arnemo JM,
 Evans AL. 2020. Seasonal hypometabolism in female moose. Front Ecol Evol 107.
- Grigg GC, Beard LA, Augee ML. 2004. The evolution of endothermy and its diversity in
 mammals and birds. Physiol Biochem Zool 77:982–97.
- Haim A, Racey PA, Speakman JR, Ellison GTH, Skinner JD. 1991. Seasonal acclimatization and
 thermoregulation in the pouched mouse *Saccostomus campestris*. J Therm Biol 16:13–17.
- Hetem RS, de Witt BA, Fick LG, Fuller A, Kerley GIH, Meyer LCR, Mitchell D, Maloney SK.
 2009. Body temperature, thermoregulatory behaviour and pelt characteristics of three
 colour morphs of springbok (*Antidorcas marsupialis*). Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol
 Integr Physiol 152:379–88.
- Hetem RS, Maloney SK, Fuller A, Mitchell D. 2016. Heterothermy in large mammals: inevitable
 or implemented? Biol Rev 91:187–205.
- Hetem RS, Strauss WM, Fick LG, Maloney SK, Meyer LCR, Shobrak M, Fuller A, Mitchell D.
 2010. Variation in the daily rhythm of body temperature of free-living Arabian oryx
 (*Oryx leucoryx*): does water limitation drive heterothermy? J Comp Physiol B 180:1111–
 19.
- Holtze S, Braude S, Lemma A, Koch R, Morhart M, Szafranski K, Platzer M, Alemayehu F,
 Goeritz F, Hildebrandt TB. 2018. The microenvironment of naked mole-rat burrows in
 East Africa. Afr J Ecol 56:279–89.
- Hudson JW, Scott IM. 1979. Daily torpor in the laboratory mouse, *Mus musculus* var. albino.
 Physiol Zool 52:205–18.
- Huey RB, Kearney MR, Krockenberger A, Holtum JAM, Jess M, Williams SE. 2012. Predicting
- 395 organismal vulnerability to climate warming: roles of behaviour, physiology and
 396 adaptation. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci 367:1665–79.

397 Humphries MM, Careau V. 2011. Heat for nothing or activity for free? Evidence and 398 implications of activity-thermoregulatory heat substitution. Integr Comp Biol 51:419–31. 399 IUPS Thermal Commission. 2003. Glossary of terms for thermal physiology - Third edition 400 (Reprinted from the Japanese Journal of Physiology). J Therm Biol 28:75–106. 401 Khaliq I, Böhning-Gaese K, Prinzinger R, Pfenninger M, Hof C. 2017. The influence of thermal 402 tolerances on geographical ranges of endotherms. Glob Ecol Biogeogr 26:650–68. 403 Kobbe S, Nowack J, Dausmann K. 2014. Torpor is not the only option: seasonal variations of the 404 thermoneutral zone in a small primate. J Comp Physiol B 184:789–97. 405 Landry-Cuerrier M, Munro D, Thomas DW, Humphries MM. 2008. Climate and resource 406 determinants of fundamental and realized metabolic niches of hibernating chipmunks. 407 Ecology 89:3306-16. 408 Levesque DL, Lobban KD, Lovegrove BG. 2014. Effects of reproductive status and high 409 ambient temperatures on the body temperature of a free-ranging basoendotherm. J Comp 410 Physiol B 184:1041–53. 411 Levesque DL, Lovegrove BG. 2014. Increased homeothermy during reproduction in a basal 412 placental mammal. J Exp Biol 217:1535-42. 413 Levesque DL, Marshall KE. 2021. Do endotherms have thermal performance curves? J Exp Biol 414 224:jeb141309. 415 Levesque DL, Tattersall GJ. 2010. Seasonal torpor and normothermic energy metabolism in the 416 Eastern chipmunk (Tamias striatus). J Comp Physiol [B] 180:279-92. 417 Levesque DL, Tuen AA, Lovegrove BG. 2018. Staying hot to fight the heat-high body 418 temperatures accompany a diurnal endothermic lifestyle in the tropics. J Comp Physiol B 419 188:707-16. 420 Levin E, Ar A, Yom-Tov Y, Kronfeld-Schor N. 2012. Summer torpor and sexual segregation in 421 the subtropical bat Rhinopoma microphyllum. In: Ruf T, Bieber C, Arnold W, Millesi E, 422 editors. Living in a Seasonal World Springer Berlin Heidelberg. p. 167–74. 423 Lovegrove BG. 2005. Seasonal thermoregulatory responses in mammals. J Comp Physiol [B] 424 175:231-47. 425 Lovegrove BG. 2012. The evolution of endothermy in Cenozoic mammals: a plesiomorphic-426 apomorphic continuum. Biol Rev 87:128-62.

- Lovegrove BG, Canale CI, Levesque DL, Fluch G, Řeháková-Petrů M, Ruf T. 2014. Are tropical
 small mammals physiologically vulnerable to Arrhenius effects and climate change?
 Physiol Biochem Zool 87:30–45.
- 430 Lovegrove BG, Heldmaier G, Ruf T. 1991. Perspectives of endothermy revisited The
 431 endothermic temperature-range. J Therm Biol 16:185–97.
- Maloney SK, Goh G, Fuller A, Vesterdorf K, Blache D. 2019. Amplitude of the circadian
 rhythm of temperature in homeotherms. CAB Rev 14:1–30.
- Maloney SK, Marsh MK, McLeod SR, Fuller A. 2017. Heterothermy is associated with reduced
 fitness in wild rabbits. Biol Lett 13:20170521.
- 436 McKechnie AE, Ashdown RAM, Christian MB, Brigham RM. 2007. Torpor in an African
 437 caprimulgid, the freckled nightjar *Caprimulgus tristigma*. J Avian Biol 38:261–66.
- 438 Mitchell D, Snelling EP, Hetem RS, Maloney SK, Strauss WM, Fuller A. 2018. Revisiting
- 439 concepts of thermal physiology: predicting responses of mammals to climate change. J440 Anim Ecol 87:956–73.
- 441 Mzilikazi N, Lovegrove BG. 2002. Reproductive activity influences thermoregulation and torpor
 442 in the pouched mouse, *Saccostomus campestris*. J Comp Physiol [B] 172:7–16.
- 443 Noakes MJ, Smit B, Wolf BO, McKechnie AE. 2013. Thermoregulation in African Green
 444 Pigeons (*Treron calvus*) and a re-analysis of insular effects on basal metabolic rate and
 445 heterothermy in columbid birds. J Comp Physiol B 969–82.
- 446 Nowack J, Levesque DL, Reher S, Dausmann KH. 2020. Variable climates lead to varying
 447 phenotypes: 'weird' mammalian torpor and lessons from lower latitudes. Front Ecol Evol
 448 8:60.
- 449 Nowack J, Mzilikazi N, Dausmann KH. 2010. Torpor on demand: heterothermy in the non-lemur
 450 primate *Galago moholi*. PLOS One 5:e10797.
- 451 Nowack J, Mzilikazi N, Dausmann KH. 2023. Saving energy via short and shallow torpor bouts.
 452 J Therm Biol 114.
- 453 Nowack J, Stawski C, Geiser F, Levesque DL. 2023. Rare and opportunistic use of torpor in
 454 mammals a ghost of the past? Integr Comp Biol.
- 455 Olson MN, Bowman J, Burness G. 2017. Seasonal energetics and torpor use in North American
 456 flying squirrels. J Therm Biol 70:46–53.

- 457 O'Mara MT, Rikker S, Wikelski M, Ter Maat A, Pollock HS, Dechmann DK. 2017. Heart rate
 458 reveals torpor at high body temperatures in lowland tropical free-tailed bats. R Soc Open
 459 Sci 4:171359.
- 460 Pauls RW. 1981. Energetics of the red squirrel a laboratory study of the effects of temperature,
 461 seasonal acclimatization, use of the nest and exercise. J Therm Biol 6:79–86.
- 462 Payne J, Francis C, Phillips K. 1985. A field guide to the mammals of Borneo Kota Kinabalu,
 463 Malaysia: The Sabah Society.
- 464 Refinetti R. 1997. The effects of ambient temperature on the body temperature rhythm of rats,
 465 hamsters, gerbils, and tree shrews. J Therm Biol 22:281–84.
- 466 Refinetti R. 2010. The circadian rhythm of body temperature. Front Biosci 15:564–94.
- 467 Refinetti R. 2020. Circadian rhythmicity of body temperature and metabolism. Temperature
 468 7:321–62.
- Reher S, Ehlers J, Rabarison H, Dausmann KH. 2018. Short and hyperthermic torpor responses
 in the Malagasy bat *Macronycteris commersoni* reveal a broader hypometabolic scope in
 heterotherms. J Comp Physiol B 188:1015–27.
- 472 Rey B, Fuller A, Mitchell D, Meyer LCR, Hetem RS. 2017. Drought-induced starvation of
 473 aardvarks in the Kalahari: an indirect effect of climate change. Biol Lett 13:20170301.
- 474 Riek A, Geiser F. 2013. Allometry of thermal variables in mammals: consequences of body size
 475 and phylogeny. Biol Rev 88:564–72.
- 476 Robar N, Murray DL, Burness G. 2011. Effects of parasites on host energy expenditure: the
 477 resting metabolic rate stalemate. Can J Zool 89:1146–55.
- 478 Ruf T, Geiser F. 2015. Daily torpor and hibernation in birds and mammals. Biol Rev 90:891–
 479 926.
- 480 Schleucher E. 2004. Torpor in birds: Taxonomy, energetics, and ecology. Physiol Biochem Zool
 481 77:942–49.
- 482 Schleucher E, Prinzinger R. 2006. Heterothermia and torpor in birds: highly specialized
 483 physiologcial ability or just deep "nocturnal hypothermia"? -- The limitations of
 484 terminology. Acta Zool Sin 52(Supplement):393–96.
- 485 Scholander PF, Hock R, Walters V, Irving L. 1950. Adaptation to cold in arctic and tropical
 486 mammals and birds in relation to body temperature, insulation, and basal metabolic rate.
 487 Biol Bull 99:259–71.

488	Scholander PF, Hock R, Walters V, Johnson F, Irving L. 1950. Heat regulation in some arctic
489	and tropical mammals and birds. Biol Bull 99:237–58.
490	Staples JF. 2016. Metabolic flexibility: hibernation, torpor, and estivation. Compr Physiol 6:737-
491	71.
492	Tattersall GJ, Sinclair BJ, Withers PC, Fields PA, Seebacher F, Cooper CE, Maloney SK. 2012.
493	Coping with thermal challenges: Physiological adaptations to environmental
494	temperatures. Compr Physiol 2:2151–2202.
495	Thiel A, Evans AL, Fuchs B, Arnemo JM, Aronsson M, Persson J. 2019. Effects of reproduction
496	and environmental factors on body temperature and activity patterns of wolverines. Front
497	Zool 16:21.
498	Thomas F, Poulin R, Brodeur J. 2010. Host manipulation by parasites: a multidimensional
499	phenomenon. Oikos 119:1217–23.
500	Thompson DP, Barboza PS, Crouse JA, McDonough TJ, Badajos OH, Herberg AM. 2019. Body
501	temperature patterns vary with day, season, and body condition of moose (Alces alces). J
502	Mammal 100:1466–78.
503	Thonis A, Ceballos RM, Tuen AA, Lovegrove BG, Levesque DL. 2020. High upper limits and a
504	large thermoneutral zone in a small tropical mammal. Physiol Biochem Zool 93:199–209.
505	Tomlinson S. 2016. Novel approaches to the calculation and comparison of thermoregulatory
506	parameters: Non-linear regression of metabolic rate and evaporative water loss in
507	Australian rodents. J Therm Biol 57:54–65.
508	Turner JM, Körtner G, Warnecke L, Geiser F. 2012. Summer and winter torpor use by a free-
509	ranging marsupial. Comp Biochem Physiol -Mol Integr Physiol 162:274–80.
510	Welman S, Tuen AA, Lovegrove BG. 2017. Searching for the Haplorrhine heterotherm: field
511	and laboratory data of free-ranging tarsiers. Front Physiol 8:745.
512	Willis CKR. 2007. An energy-based body temperature threshold between torpor and
513	normothermia for small mammals. Physiol Biochem Zool 80:643–51.
514	Withers PC, Cooper CE, Maloney SK, Bozinovic F, Cruz-Neto AP. 2016. Ecological and
515	Environmental Physiology of Mammals Oxford University Press.
516	Withers PC, Thompson GG, Seymour RS. 2000. Metabolic physiology of the north-western
517	marsupial mole, Notoryctes caurinus (Marsupialia : Notoryctidae). Aust J Zool 48:241-
518	58.

Wolf BO, Coe BH, Gerson AR, McKechnie AE. 2017. Comment on an analysis of endotherm
thermal tolerances: systematic errors in data compilation undermine its credibility. Proc R
Soc B Biol Sci 284:20162523.

522

523 Figure 1 Body temperature traces (A,C) and frequency distribution histograms of core body 524 temperature (B,D) from two free-ranging endotherms inhabiting a warm environment in the 525 equatorial tropics. The modal temperatures of the active phase are represented by dashed lines in 526 red and the rest phase in blue. A and B represent data collected from the nocturnal Horsfield's 527 tarsier (Cephalopachus bancanus, Welman et al. 2017) and C and D the diurnal large treeshrew 528 (Tupaia tana, Levesque et al. 2018). Picture credits: Yan Wong (tarsier, phylopic.org) and the 529 treeshrew silhouette was modified from Payne et al (1985). 530 Figure 2 The subcutaneous (black circles) and body temperature (open circles) for the 531 Horsefield's tarsier (A, Cephalopachus bancanus, redrawn from Welman et al. 2017) and the 532 large treeshrew (C, *Tupaia tana*, redrawn from Levesque et al. 2018), and resting metabolic rate 533 (B,D) measured during the rest phase over a range of ambient temperatures. Both species had 534 thermoneutral zones spanning from $\sim 25^{\circ}$ C to $> 35^{\circ}$ C and the subcutaneous temperature of the 535 tarsier varied by $\sim 6^{\circ}$ C and treeshrew $\sim 4^{\circ}$ C over that range. The dashed line indicates the lower 536 critical limit of the thermoneutral zone. Picture credits: Yan Wong (tarsier, phylopic.org) and the 537 treeshrew silhouette was modified from Payne et al (1985). 538 Figure 3: A schematic representation of the thermoregulatory response of a hypothetical small 539 mammal while defending a normothermic body temperature (black), using non-torpid 540 heterothermy (blue), shallow torpor (orange) and deep torpor (red). The vertical black 541 (normothermic) and blue (non-torpid heterothermy) dashed lines represent the lower (L_{CT}) and 542 upper critical limits (U_{CT}) of the thermoneutral zone (TNZ) showing a widening of the 543 thermoneutral zone with the use of thermolability. The dotted diagonal line represents the point 544 at which body temperature equals ambient temperature. In this example only the torpid animal is 545 fully thermoconforming within and below the thermoneutral zone and only the homeothermic 546 animal is thermoregulating above the U_{CT}. Metabolism within and above the thermoneutral zone 547 (TNZ) are omitted for the torpid animals for clarity. Adapted from Scholander et al. (1950), 548 Lovegrove et al. (1991), Tomlinson (2016), Tattersall et al. (2012) with data from Levesque et al.

549 (2018) and Mzilikazi and Lovegrove (2002).