

LJMU Research Online

Dobson, R, Ghosh, AK, Ky, B, Marwick, T, Stout, M, Harkness, A, Steeds, R, Robinson, S, Oxborough, D, Adlam, D, Stanway, S, Rana, B, Ingram, T, Ring, L, Rosen, S, Plummer, C, Manisty, C, Harbinson, M, Sharma, V, Pearce, K, Lyon, AR and Augustine, DX

BSE and BCOS Guideline for Transthoracic Echocardiographic Assessment of Adult Cancer Patients Receiving Anthracyclines and/or Trastuzumab

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/15664/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Dobson, R, Ghosh, AK, Ky, B, Marwick, T, Stout, M, Harkness, A, Steeds, R, Robinson, S, Oxborough, D, Adlam, D, Stanway, S, Rana, B, Ingram, T, Ring, L, Rosen, S, Plummer, C, Manisty, C, Harbinson, M, Sharma, V, Pearce, K, Lvon. AR and Augaustine. DX (2021) BSE and BCOS Guideline for

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

STATE-OF-THE-ART REVIEW



BSE and BCOS Guideline for Transthoracic Echocardiographic Assessment of Adult Cancer Patients Receiving Anthracyclines and/or Trastuzumab

Rebecca Dobson, MBChB (Hons), MD,^{a,*} Arjun K. Ghosh, MBBS, MSc, PhD,^{b,c,*} Bonnie Ky, MD, MSCE,^d Tom Marwick, MBBS, PhD, MPH,^e Martin Stout, PhD,^f Allan Harkness, MB ChB, MSc,^g Rick Steeds, MA, MD,^h Shaun Robinson, MSc,ⁱ David Oxborough, PhD,^j David Adlam, BA, BM BCh, DPHIL,^k Susannah Stanway, MB ChB, MSc, MD,^l Bushra Rana, MBBS,^m Thomas Ingram, MB ChB, PhD,ⁿ Liam Ring, MBBS,^o Stuart Rosen, MA, MD,^p Chris Plummer, BSc, PhD, BM BCh,^q Charlotte Manisty, MBBS, MA, PhD,^b Mark Harbinson, MB BCh, MMEdSci, MD,^r Vishal Sharma, MD,^s Keith Pearce, BSc,^f Alexander R. Lyon, MD, PhD,^p Daniel X. Augustine, MD,^t on behalf of the British Society of Echocardiography (BSE) and the British Society of Cardio-Oncology (BCOS)

ABSTRACT

The subspecialty of cardio-oncology aims to reduce cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in patients with cancer or following cancer treatment. Cancer therapy can lead to a variety of cardiovascular complications, including left ventricular systolic dysfunction, pericardial disease, and valvular heart disease. Echocardiography is a key diagnostic imaging tool in the diagnosis and surveillance for many of these complications. The baseline assessment and subsequent surveillance of patients undergoing treatment with anthracyclines and/or human epidermal growth factor receptor (HER) 2-positive targeted treatment (e.g., trastuzumab and pertuzumab) form a significant proportion of cardio-oncology patients undergoing echocardiography. This guideline from the British Society of Echocardiography and British Cardio-Oncology Society outlines a protocol for baseline and surveillance echocardiography of patients undergoing treatment with anthracyclines and/or trastuzumab. The methodology for acquisition of images and the advantages and disadvantages of techniques are discussed. Echocardiographic definitions for considering cancer therapeutics-related cardiac dysfunction are also presented. (J Am Coll Cardiol CardioOnc 2021;3:1-16) © 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

From the ^aCardio-Oncology Service, Liverpool Heart and Chest NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, United Kingdom; ^bCardio-Oncology Service, Barts Heart Centre, Barts Health NHS Trust, London, United Kingdom; ^cCardio-Oncology Service, Hatter Cardiovascular Research Institute, University College London and University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom; ^dPerelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, USA; ^eBaker Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, Australia; ^fUniversity Hospital South Manchester NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, United Kingdom; ^gEast Suffolk and North Essex NHS Foundation Trust, Colchester, United Kingdom; ^hUniversity Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, United Kingdom; ⁱNorth West Anglia Foundation Trust, United Kingdom; ^jLiverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, United Kingdom; ^kUniversity Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust, Leicester, United Kingdom; ^lRoyal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust and Institute of Cancer Research, London, United Kingdom; ^mImperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, London, United Kingdom; ⁿThe Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital NHS Trust, Shrewsbury, United Kingdom; ^oWest Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust, Bury St. Edmunds, United Kingdom; ^pRoyal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust and Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom; ^qThe Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle, United Kingdom; ^rBelfast Health and Social Care Trust, Belfast, United Kingdom; ^sRoyal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospitals NHS Trust, Liverpool, United Kingdom; and the ^tDepartment of Cardiology, Royal United Hospitals Bath NHS Foundation Trust,

**ABBREVIATIONS
AND ACRONYMS****2D** = 2-dimensional**3D** = 3-dimensional**A2C** = apical 2-chamber**A3C** = apical 3-chamber**A4C** = apical 4-chamber**BSE** = British Society of
Echocardiography**CMR** = cardiac magnetic
resonance**CTRCD** = cancer therapy-
related cardiac dysfunction**ECG** = electrocardiogram**GLS** = global longitudinal
strain**HER2** = human epidermal
growth factor receptor 2**LV** = left ventricular**LVEF** = left ventricular ejection
fraction**MV** = mitral valve**RH** = right heart**ROI** = region of interest**RV** = right ventricular**TDI** = tissue Doppler imaging**TRV** = tricuspid regurgitant
velocity

Advances in cancer detection and treatment have resulted in a growing number of cancer survivors. Cardio-oncology is a relatively new subspecialty that aims to prevent, detect, monitor and treat the cardiac complications of cancer therapy (1). The goal of the cardio-oncologist is to provide optimal cardiovascular care for patients with cancer in a multidisciplinary setting involving oncologists, cardiologists, surgeons, cardiac physiologists/scientists, specialist nurses, pharmacists, and allied health professionals (2). Cancer therapy-related cardiac dysfunction (CTRCD) is a frequently encountered clinical presentation, and transthoracic echocardiography is the cornerstone of its screening and detection.

The British Society of Echocardiography (BSE) has recently published an updated minimum dataset for a standard adult transthoracic echocardiogram (3) (Supplemental Appendix). This cardio-oncology guideline is designed to be used in conjunction with the minimum dataset and provides guidance on transthoracic echocardiographic image acquisition and data interpretation in patients undergoing treatment with anthracyclines and/or trastuzumab.

This consensus guideline:

1. Defines the standard echocardiography protocol for the assessment of left ventricular (LV) function in those undergoing anthracyclines and/or human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-targeted therapy.
2. Defines cardiotoxicity and specifically CTRCD with anthracyclines and/or HER2-targeted therapy.
3. Provides strategies to enable the acquisition of high-quality echocardiography for patients undergoing anthracyclines and/or HER2-targeted therapy.
4. Reviews the nonechocardiographic considerations for clinical decision-making; reviews risk factors

HIGHLIGHTS

- Cardio-oncology patients account for an increasing proportion of echocardiography requests.
- Accurate assessment of LV systolic function is critical to decision-making in this patient group.
- 2D LVEF, 3D LVEF, GLS, and RV assessment should be used in the echocardiographic assessment of these patients.
- The clinical implications of a significant decline in GLS with potentially cardiotoxic cancer therapy require further investigation.

for cardiotoxicity; and provides guidance for referral to a cardio-oncology service.

BACKGROUND




Anthracyclines (e.g., doxorubicin, epirubicin, daunorubicin, and idarubicin) and the monoclonal antibody trastuzumab (Herceptin, Genentech, South San Francisco, California) are commonly implicated in the development of LV dysfunction (4). Although there are other cardiotoxic anticancer therapies, in our experience, patients receiving anthracyclines and/or trastuzumab account for the majority of cardio-oncology echocardiograms performed, hence are the focus of this guideline. Trastuzumab may also be prescribed in combination with pertuzumab, another HER2-positive-targeted monoclonal antibody, or with emtansine (Kadcyla/T-DM1, Genentech), which may be associated with additional cardiovascular concerns (5).

Many mechanisms are postulated to explain anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity. Generation of excess reactive oxygen species and oxygen free radicals causing damage to deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), ribonucleic acid (RNA), proteins, and membrane lipids, and resultant cardiomyocyte death is one of the most commonly accepted cardiotoxicity mechanisms (6). The mechanisms responsible for trastuzumab-related cardiotoxicity are less clear but

Bath, United Kingdom. *Drs. Dobson and Ghosh contributed equally to this work. Anju Nohria, MD, served as the Editor-in-Chief for this paper. Juan Carlos Plana Gomez, MD, served as the Guest Associate Editor for this paper.

The authors attest they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors' institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the [Author Center](#).

Manuscript received June 12, 2020; revised manuscript received January 18, 2021, accepted January 19, 2021.

TABLE 1 Minimum Requirements for Baseline Assessment for Patients Receiving Anthracyclines/Trastuzumab (in Addition to the Full BSE Minimum Dataset in the Supplemental Appendix)			
View (Modality)	Measurement	Explanatory Note	Image
Apical 3D	Vital signs	Blood pressure, heart rate and rhythm	
	3D volumes and LVEF	ECG signal with clear R-wave. Adjust scanner settings to ensure optimal resolution. Ensure ROI is within the 3D volume sector. Maximize the frame rate, adjusting number of subvolumes according to patient breath-holding capability as needed. Acquire images with the probe maintained in a steady position and at end-expiration. Before accepting acquisition, review volume and 9-slice view to ensure no stitch artifacts.	
A4C/A3C/A2C GLS	GLS measurement	Optimal ECG signal with minimal heart rate variability should be present across 3 cardiac cycles. Heart rate variability will limit the calculation of GLS values, which can be problematic in patients with atrial fibrillation. High-quality image acquisition, maintaining a frame rate of 40 to 90 frames/s at a normal heart rate, is key.	
		Clear endocardial and epicardial definition is required to ensure adequate segmental tracking throughout the cardiac cycle. Markers are placed in each of the respective basal and apical regions, using automated tracking where possible to maintain reproducible results. Automated tracking should also be combined with a visual assessment of tracking in each view across the whole ROI, including the endocardial and epicardial border. If more than 2 segments in any 1 view are not adequately tracked, the calculation of GLS should be avoided.	
3D = 3-dimensional; A2C = apical 2 chamber; A3C = apical 3 chamber; A4C = apical 4 chamber; BSE = British Society of Echocardiography; ECG = electrocardiogram; GLS = global longitudinal strain; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; ROI = region of interest.			

likely are related to inhibition of the neuregulin-1 (NRG-1)/ErbB signaling pathway (7). Commonly, but not in all cases, there is recovery of LV function with trastuzumab cardiotoxicity (8).






The addition of trastuzumab to anthracycline chemotherapy alone improves the overall survival of patients with HER2-positive tumors by approximately 33%, with a 50% reduction in disease recurrence (9,10). For this reason, the management of cardiac dysfunction should first consider the initiation of cardioprotective therapies, rather than withholding prognostically important oncology treatment. Management decisions require close collaboration between oncology and cardiology specialists. In addition, the risk of cardiotoxicity is not just an issue

during oncology treatment (chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy) but can remain a concern for many years thereafter (11,12).

THE ROLE OF ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY AND THE RECOMMENDED CARDIO-ONCOLOGY PROTOCOL


All patients should undergo a comprehensive baseline echocardiogram to include the BSE minimum transthoracic dataset (Supplemental Appendix) with additional cardio-oncology measurements (Table 1). Best practice for the minimum dataset for a targeted cardio-oncology protocol includes 2-dimensional (2D) and 3-dimensional (3D) volumes, LV ejection fraction (LVEF), global longitudinal strain (GLS), right

TABLE 2 Cardio-Oncology Targeted Echocardiogram Reporting Protocol

View (Modality)	Measurement	Explanatory Note	Image
	Vital signs	Blood pressure, heart rate and rhythm	
A4C and A2C 2D	Simpson's biplane volumes and LVEF	Trace the endocardial border. Depending on the vendor, the MV level contour is made by a straight line at the beginning or end of tracing. LV length is defined as the distance between the midpoint of the MV-level line and the most distal point of the LV apex. Take care to ensure the LV is not foreshortened. Papillary muscles and trabeculations are included in the volumes and considered part of the chamber. Measure at end-diastole and end-systole. Volumes indexed to BSA.	
Apical 3D	3D volumes and LVEF	See Table 1	
A4C/A3C/A2C	GLS	See Table 1	
A4C LV TDI	S'	Place sample volume (5 to 10 mm) at or within 1 cm of the insertion of the MV leaflets. Angle of interrogation should be as parallel to Doppler beam as possible. Measure at end-expiration. Optimize scale and sweep speed (100 mm/s). Average both septum and lateral wall measurement. S': Peak systolic velocity.	
Modified A4C RV (2D)	RVD1 (\pm RVD2/ RVD3)	RVD1: Basal RV diameter. Measured at the maximal transverse diameter in the basal one-third of the RV. RVD2: Mid-RV diameter measured at the level of the LV papillary muscles. RVD3: RV length, from the plane of the tricuspid annulus to the RV apex.	
CWD TV	TR peak velocity (TRV _{max})	Peak TR velocity is measured by CWD across the tricuspid valve. Ensure the CWD to flow angle is correctly aligned. Eccentric jets can lead to incomplete Doppler envelopes and underestimation of TR velocity. A high sweep speed (100 mm/s) can help to differentiate between true velocities and artifact. Measure from a complete TR envelope. Choose the highest velocity. Accuracy is greatest when ultrasound and blood flow are parallel.	
A4C RV (TDI)	RV S'	PW tissue Doppler S' wave measurement taken at the lateral tricuspid annulus in systole. It is important to ensure the basal RV free wall segment and the lateral tricuspid annulus are aligned with the Doppler cursor to avoid velocity underestimation. A disadvantage of this measure is that it assumes that the function of a single segment represents the function of the entire ventricle, which is not likely in conditions that include regionality such as RV infarction. Normal value ≥ 9 cm/s (27).	

Continued on the next page

TABLE 2 Continued

View (Modality)	Measurement	Explanatory Note	Image
A4C Lateral TV annulus (MM)	TAPSE	This is an angle-dependent measurement, and therefore, it is important to align the M-Mode cursor along the direction of the lateral tricuspid or mitral annulus. Select a fast sweep speed. Measure total excursion of the tricuspid annulus. Normal value ≥ 17 mm (60).	

2D = 2 dimensional; BSA = body surface area; CWD = continuous-wave Doppler; LA = left atrium; LV = left ventricle; MM = M-mode; MV = mitral valve; PW = pulsed wave; RV = right ventricle; RVD = right ventricular diameter; TAPSE = tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; TDI = tissue Doppler imaging; TR = tricuspid regurgitation; TV = tricuspid valve; other abbreviations as in Table 1.

ventricular (RV) size and systolic function assessment, tricuspid regurgitant velocity (TRV), and blood pressure measurement (Table 2). Measurement techniques are described in Tables 1 and 2, and the overall clinical approach to echocardiographic monitoring is described in the Central Illustration.

BASELINE AND SERIAL ECHOCARDIOGRAPHIC ASSESSMENT. The role of transthoracic echocardiography screening in the cardio-oncology setting is to assess cardiac function at baseline and to diagnose CTRCD at the earliest possible stage (Central Illustration). This enables informed decisions regarding timely commencement of cardioprotective medications and the safe continuation of cardiotoxic cancer therapy. It is crucial that accurate and reproducible parameters of LV systolic function are used so that a detected decline in LV systolic function truly reflects toxicity (13).

Baseline risk stratification of cardiotoxicity must take into consideration both the proposed cancer therapy and individual patient-related factors (Table 3). A more personalized tailored approach to surveillance is recommended in increased-risk patients compared with low-risk patients (Central Illustration). Recent Heart Failure Association-International Cardio-oncology Society expert position statements add to the published reports regarding the frequency of surveillance echocardiograms in patients stratified to low, medium, or high risk who then receive anthracyclines or trastuzumab (Table 4) (14,15). In patients with normal LV systolic function at baseline, subsequent echocardiograms in asymptomatic patients should be targeted studies (Table 2). However, any patient with new cardiovascular symptoms while receiving cancer therapy should undergo a full echocardiogram (16).


The optimum frequency of echocardiograms during and after cancer therapy is unclear especially in

the context of current pandemics (e.g., COVID-19) (17,18). Recommendations for echocardiography during and after anthracycline-containing chemotherapy also differ, with the majority of guidelines not quantifying frequency of monitoring (19,20). There is wide variation in guideline recommendations (19) on the frequency of echocardiographic monitoring for patients receiving trastuzumab, ranging from every 3 months (21) to an undefined “periodically” (10) (Table 4). Furthermore, there is no strong evidence to support a specific schedule of screening or any evidence that it improves outcomes for screened patients (22). However, screening every 3 months is still recommended by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, although the frequency is admittedly controversial, and compliance is limited (23).

Historically, trastuzumab has been temporarily held or even discontinued in patients who develop LV systolic dysfunction. However, there are increasing data to suggest that patients with asymptomatic reductions in LVEF to 40% to 49%, with guidance from a cardio-oncology team and personalized monitoring and treatment, can safely complete their cancer treatment without a significant increase in cardiac events (24,25). We therefore recommend a personalized approach to patient surveillance, as emphasized in a position statement from the Heart Failure Association-European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (15).

Echocardiography 3 to 12 months post-cardiotoxic treatment is recommended in all patients, with the optimum timing dependent upon the individual patient’s risk (16). Appropriate frequency of repeat echocardiography thereafter remains to be fully defined and depends upon whether any cardiotoxicity occurred during the treatment phase (15), with international recommendations varying from 1- to 5-year intervals (16,26). Decisions regarding long-

CENTRAL ILLUSTRATION Echocardiography Protocol in Patients Undergoing Treatment With Anthracyclines/HER2-Positive-Targeted Therapy



Dobson, R. *et al.* J Am Coll Cardiol CardioOnc. 2021;3(1):1-16.

Assessment at baseline, during therapy (including patients on indefinite HER2-positive-targeted therapy in case of metastatic disease) and long-term follow-up after the completion of cancer therapy. BSE = British Society of Echocardiography; GLS = global longitudinal strain; LV = left ventricular; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction.

TABLE 3 Identification of the Patient at Increased Risk of Cardiotoxicity

Lower Risk	Increased Risk
Therapy-related risk factors	
Lower lifetime dose of anthracycline <Doxorubicin 250 mg/m ² or equivalent No previous anthracycline/trastuzumab-related cardiotoxicity Absence of sequential anthracycline and trastuzumab therapy Low-dose radiation therapy to central chest including heart in radiation field <30 Gy	Increased lifetime dose of anthracycline >Doxorubicin 250 mg/m ² or equivalent—high risk >400 mg/m ² or equivalent—very high risk Prior anthracycline/trastuzumab-related cardiotoxicity Sequential anthracycline and trastuzumab therapy High-dose radiation therapy to central chest including heart in radiation field ≥30 Gy
Patient-related risk factors	
Male Age <50 yrs Absence of traditional cardiovascular risk factors: Hypertension, smoking, obesity, dyslipidemia, insulin resistance Past medical history: Normal baseline LVEF Absence of pre-existing cardiovascular disease (e.g., CAD, PAD, cardiomyopathy, severe valvular heart disease, heart failure, or diabetes) Normal kidney function or chronic kidney disease stage 1 Biomarkers: Normal baseline troponin and/or NT-proBNP Normal cardiac troponin or NT-proBNP during cancer therapy	Female Age 50 to 64 yrs—high risk and ≥65 yrs—highest risk Presence of traditional cardiovascular risk factors: Hypertension, smoking, obesity, dyslipidemia, insulin resistance Past medical history: Reduced or low-normal LVEF (50% to 54%) pre-treatment Presence of pre-existing cardiovascular disease (e.g., CAD, PAD, cardiomyopathy, severe valvular heart disease, heart failure, or diabetes) Chronic kidney disease stage 2 (eGFR <78 mL/min/1.73 m ²) (84) Biomarkers: Elevated* baseline troponin and/or NT-proBNP Elevated* cardiac troponin or NT-proBNP during cancer therapy

*Elevated above the upper limit of normal for local laboratory reference range.
CAD = coronary artery disease; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; NT-proBNP = N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; PAD = peripheral arterial disease.

term surveillance should take into consideration a patient's total anthracycline dose, exposure to other potentially cardiotoxic treatments (including radiotherapy), cardiovascular comorbidities, cardiotoxicity during treatment, and LV systolic function during and at the end of treatment.

ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY-BASED DEFINITIONS OF CARDIOTOXICITY

The definition of cardiotoxicity is varied and not limited to LV systolic dysfunction and CTRCD (19). The definition of cardiotoxicity based solely on LVEF also varies significantly (10,16,21). We define CTRCD as a decrease in LVEF by >10% (10 absolute percentage points) to a value <50%. This is in keeping with BSE-published normal/borderline normal ranges (27) and European Society for Medical Oncology consensus recommendations (26). A LVEF of 50% to 54% is considered to be borderline low and will require more information before labelling the patient as having normal or abnormal LV systolic function.

We recommend that, if possible, 3D LVEF is measured due to both its reported superior reproducibility compared with 2D LVEF in patients undergoing anticancer therapy (28) and suggestions that 3D LVEF changes are more pronounced than and precede 2D LVEF changes in such patients (29). 3D LVEF has been shown to allow accurate serial quantification of LV systolic function and identification of changes in oncology patients (13). Declines in LVEF are usually accompanied by a significant change in

GLS. Therefore, if GLS is normal in the presence of a reduced LVEF, a review of the echocardiograms to reassess the accuracy of all measurements is recommended. If there is still a significant and unexplained discrepancy between change in LVEF and GLS, adjudication with cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging should be considered.

Normal GLS values vary with age, sex, loading conditions, and different vendors; therefore, definition of abnormal GLS is not straightforward. It is important that heart rate and blood pressure are recorded because variation will need to be considered if there are temporal changes in GLS measurements. Much of the existing published reports on GLS values are based on General Electric vendor-specific data, and for the purpose of this guideline, we define a normal GLS value as being −17% or more negative for males and −18% or more negative for females (30–32). A relative change in sequential GLS >15% (e.g., −22% to −18%) is considered to be significant (33). A worsening in GLS is known to predict a subsequent decline in LVEF, with GLS-guided cardioprotective therapy potentially reducing a decline in LVEF (34). The change in GLS is essential in recognition of cardiotoxicity, such that each patient acts as their own control. For this reason, comprehensive baseline echocardiography before cancer therapy is critical.

Reduction in GLS into the abnormal range as described or borderline values and declines in LVEF within the normal range should not be taken in isolation, especially in asymptomatic patients, but be interpreted in the overall clinical context. A

TABLE 4 Frequency of Echocardiographic Monitoring During Anthracycline or Trastuzumab (Anti-HER2) Therapy According to Published Guidelines

Guideline, Year (Ref. #)		Recommendation for Frequency of Echocardiography During Therapy
HFA-EACVI, 2020 (15)		
Anthracyclines	Low risk [*] ; after cycle of cumulative dose 240 mg/m ² doxorubicin or equivalent, then every additional 100 mg/m ² or every 2 cycles	
	Medium risk [*] ; following 50% of planned total treatment and after cycle of cumulative dose 240 mg/m ² doxorubicin or equivalent	
	High risk [*] ; every 2 cycles, consider after every cycle above 240 mg/m ² doxorubicin or equivalent	
Anti-HER2 (neoadjuvant and adjuvant)	Low risk [*] ; every 4 cycles (12 weeks)	
	Medium risk [*] ; every 3 cycles (9 weeks), then reduce to every 4 cycles if stable at 4 months	
	High risk [*] ; every 2 cycles (6 weeks), then reduce to every 3 cycles if stable at 4 months	
Anti-HER2 (long term)	Low risk [*] ; every 4 cycles in year 1, every 6 cycles in year 2, then reduce to every 6 months	
	Medium risk [*] ; every 3 cycles, then if stable reduce to every 6 months	
	High risk [*] ; every 2 or 3 cycles for 3 months, then reduce to every 4 cycles in year 1, then reduce frequency	
ESMO, 2020 (26)		
Anthracyclines	After a cumulative dose of 250 mg/m ² doxorubicin or equivalent, then after each additional 100 mg/m ²	
Anti-HER2	Every 3 months (higher-risk patients may require more frequent monitoring)	
Anti-HER2 (long term)	General surveillance, which may include cardiac imaging	
ASCO, 2017 (16)		
Anthracyclines	Frequency of surveillance should be determined by health care providers. Routine surveillance imaging may be offered in patients considered to be at increased risk of cardiac dysfunction.	
Anti-HER2	Frequency of surveillance should be determined by health care providers. Routine surveillance imaging may be offered in patients considered to be at increased risk of cardiac dysfunction.	
CCS, 2016 (85)		
Anthracyclines	No recommendation made	
Anti-HER2	Every 3 months	
ESC, 2016 (10)		
Anthracyclines	After 200 mg/m ² of doxorubicin or equivalent	
Anti-HER2	Every 4 cycles	
ASE, 2014 (33)		
Anthracyclines	After 240 mg/m ² of doxorubicin or equivalent, then after each additional 50 mg/m ²	
Anti-HER2	Every 3 months	
[*] Risk is calculated according to therapy and patient-related factors, including age, and cardiovascular risk factors. For more details, the reader is directed to the original guideline (15). ASCO = American Society of Clinical Oncology; ASE = American Society of Echocardiography; CCS = Canadian Cardiovascular Society; EACVI = European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging; ESC = European Society of Cardiology; ESMO = European Society of Medical Oncology; HER = human epidermal growth factor; HFA = Heart Failure Association.		

decline in LVEF by >10 percentage points to an absolute value >50% with a lower limit of normal of GLS is a grey area that might suggest potential subclinical cardiotoxicity, although in some cases, it may reflect normal, physiological variability (35). In these circumstances, it is important to take other factors (such as symptoms, other echocardiographic parameters of LV systolic function, and biomarkers) into consideration. GLS may have added value, particularly in cases of borderline LVEF, with a normal strain measurement providing some reassurance (36,37). Patients with normal LVEF, but abnormally low strain, also require further investigation to rule out potential causes such as cardiac amyloidosis, infiltration or hypertensive cardiomyopathy (38). These echocardiograms should be reviewed (to also ensure adequate technical quality) in conjunction with a clinical assessment and biomarker measurement. Certainly, there is a need for longer-term data to define the natural history of

LVEF and GLS changes, as well as the prognostic implications of potential subclinical cardiotoxicity.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Definition of cardiotoxicity by echocardiography:

- LVEF: A decline in LVEF by >10 absolute percentage points to a value <50%,

Definition of probable subclinical cardiotoxicity by echocardiography:

- LVEF: A decline in LVEF by >10 absolute percentage points to a value \geq 50% with an accompanying fall in GLS >15% (where GLS measurement is available).

Definition of possible subclinical cardiotoxicity by echocardiography:

- LVEF: A decline in LVEF by <10 absolute percentage points to a value <50%.

or

- LV GLS: A relative percentage reduction in GLS by >15% from the baseline value.

The detection of cardiotoxicity or probable/possible subclinical cardiotoxicity is achieved via advanced echocardiographic measures (2D/3D LVEF and GLS). For best practice, centers undertaking surveillance of these patients should have the ability to perform 2D/3D LVEF and GLS assessment.

LV FUNCTION ASSESSMENT BY ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY

CARDIAC RHYTHM AND RATE. Sequential assessments for those not in sinus rhythm may be problematic. In atrial fibrillation due to the persistent variation in cardiac cycle length, measures of ventricular systolic and diastolic function may have limited reliability. When preceding and pre-preceding RR intervals are within 60 ms of each other and both exceed 500 ms, measures of systolic function on a single beat are similar to those averaged over 15 cycles of varying durations (39). These findings suggest that selection of beats with similar RR intervals is more important for reproducibility than the total number of measurements made.

BLOOD PRESSURE. Recording of blood pressure is essential because parameters such as LVEF, tissue Doppler indices, and GLS are load dependent. A substantial increment of blood pressure may be responsible for an apparent change in function, without necessarily indicating myocardial disease, because poorly controlled hypertension is associated with abnormal strain (40).

ACCURATE ASSESSMENT OF 2D LVEF. LVEF is one of the most commonly used echocardiographic methods to assess LV systolic function. This represents the fraction of blood within the LV that is ejected in 1 cardiac cycle. Because it is difficult to quantify a 3D structure using 2D imaging, the techniques developed with 2D echocardiography rely on measuring the ventricle in standard planes.

We do not support the use of qualitative “eyeball” assessments to determine LVEF values or ranges. The 2D volumetric Simpson’s method for the assessment of LVEF is based on the principle of slicing the LV from the apex down to the mitral valve (MV) into a series of discs. The volume of each disc is then calculated using the diameter and thickness of each slice. It is assumed that the LV is circular at each level. Accuracy is improved by using diameters in 2 planes, separated by 60° of rotation (apical 4 and 2 chamber) so that the disc surface area is more

precisely defined. Acquisitions should be made at end-respiration, and the image should be adjusted using gain, compress, and dynamic range to ensure optimal endocardial delineation and elongation of the entire LV length, without foreshortening. High-quality images are critical for accurate quantification. For more detailed guidance, the reader is directed to the BSE minimum dataset ([Supplemental Appendix](#)) (3). The endocardial surface should be traced at end-diastole and end-systole to encompass the whole of the LV. Papillary muscles and trabeculations are excluded from the endocardial tracing and are considered part of the chamber. This can be achieved either on the machine or by using an off-line analysis software package. LVEF is calculated as the difference between end-diastolic volume and end-systolic volume (stroke volume) as a percentage of the end-diastolic volume. Intraobserver and interobserver variability has been reported as 3.3% and 4% respectively with a minimum detectable difference of 9% to 11% (13,41). When describing 2D LVEF, the report conclusion should always note the most recent estimate of LVEF and include a comparison with the baseline value.

3D LVEF. The 2D echocardiographic assessment of LVEF has inherent limitations because it makes geometric assumptions of the LV. This consideration is especially important for serial echocardiograms because exact plane duplication is almost impossible. 3D echocardiography, although still requiring high-quality, reproducible images, is an advance on the Simpson’s method because it allows contouring of the cavity within the 3D space of the echocardiographic volume acquisition. Therefore, there is no assumption that the short-axis view of the ventricle is circular. Instead, one can contour the actual shape of the ventricle in all dimensions. Consequently, 3D LVEF calculation has a smaller detected % change of approximately 5% to 8% and, because it is semi-automated, has better intra- and interobserver variability (13). There is superior temporal variation of 3D LVEF compared with 2D LVEF over a 12-month period in stable patients receiving chemotherapy (3% vs. 5%) (13). Commercially available scanners contain software tools that allow the 3D assessment of LV volumes and LVEF. It is to be remembered, however, that 3D imaging remains sensitive to image quality, and it is good practice to record and analyze both 2D and 3D images, because deterioration of image quality during follow-up is most likely to affect 3D images. There remains some variation in 3D LVEF calculation between specific vendors, and we recommend that the same machine and analysis software are used for serial echocardiograms (42). When reporting 3D LVEF

findings, the conclusion should always note the most recent estimate of LVEF and include a comparison to the baseline value.

Full-volume datasets allow the generation of a 3D dataset with the final image of the heart created by acquiring several single or several subvolumes over the corresponding number of sequential cardiac cycles. Attention to breath-hold is essential to avoid stitching artifact. The greater the number of subvolumes used, the higher the frame rate and temporal resolution, albeit at greater risk of stitching artifact. Newer technology allows increased volume rates and reduced time for complete cardiac volume acquisition in the estimation of 3D LVEF, making it comparable to CMR imaging (43). It should be recognized that there is a tradeoff between temporal and spatial resolution. Temporal resolution (i.e., volume or frame rate) allows localization of an anatomic structure in a point in time. This can be improved by reducing the sector size (width and depth). Spatial resolution is the ability to differentiate 2 points in space and is dependent on the number of scan lines per volume (scan line density). However, increasing scan lines lengthens the acquisition time and lowers the volume rate (44).

Images should be obtained during shallow breathing, preferably in end-expiration. If deep inspiration is needed to obtain optimal image quality, this should be documented in the echocardiogram report so that it can be reproduced at the next visit. Finally, it is good practice for the sonographer to quantify LVEF on 2D and 3D images while the patient is still present. Performing the analysis at the time also allows repeat image acquisition in case of contouring difficulty.

How to acquire 3D echo volumes for LVEF assessment:

- Ensure high-quality electrocardiogram (ECG) trace with a clear R-wave. This enables appropriate 3D full-volume triggering.
- Ensure the region of interest (ROI) is within the 3D volume sector. Reduce the sector as needed to focus on the ROI.
- As for 2D imaging, adjust scanner settings so that the best 3D resolution is available.
- Adjust gain appropriately. Low gain settings result in echo “dropout,” and excess gain reduces resolution and causes a loss of the 3D perspective or depth in the dataset.
- Optimize frame rate and adjust number of subvolumes according to patient breath-holding capacity as needed.
- Acquire images with the probe maintained in a steady position and at end-expiration.

- Following acquisition, review the image to look for any stitch artefact.

CONTRAST ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY/ULTRASOUND ENHANCING AGENTS. Inadequate LV endocardial border definition can lead to errors in LV volume and LVEF estimation. Accurate LVEF assessment is particularly important where values obtained fall on boundaries that influence treatment decisions. Poor endocardial definition can occur in patients undergoing cancer treatment (e.g., following mastectomy, chest irradiation, or breast reconstruction surgery) (33) or secondary to body habitus. The use of echocardiographic contrast for LV chamber opacification is now widely accepted when 2 contiguous LV segments from any apical view are not adequately visualized on noncontrast images (45). Tracing LV borders more reliably leads to inclusion of LV trabeculation within the LV cavity after contrast. As a result, LV volumes (both in systole and diastole) are commonly greater than those recorded with noncontrast imaging, although LVEF is usually analogous. The minimum detectable difference for 2D contrast LVEF has been noted to be in the order of 4% (46), which is significantly better than the 9% to 11% for noncontrast 2D LVEF. However, the superior performance of LV contrast has not been consistently proven. One study demonstrated inferior reproducibility with contrast echocardiograms compared with noncontrast 2D and 3D echocardiograms (13). Use of the same methodology in sequential testing is thus recommended. It is to be noted that the use of contrast has unpredictable effects on 2D speckle tracking and is best done after strain acquisition.

TISSUE DOPPLER ASSESSMENT OF SYSTOLIC AND DIASTOLIC FUNCTION. Tissue Doppler echocardiography has become an established component of the diagnostic ultrasound examination, enhancing interrogation of myocardial motion. Although LVEF reflects the sum contribution of several regions, it does not provide information on regional function or on the underlying myocardial mechanical activity. Conventional Doppler techniques assess velocity of blood flow by measuring high-frequency, low-amplitude signals from small, fast-moving blood cells. Tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) uses the same Doppler principles to quantify the higher-amplitude, lower-velocity signals of myocardial tissue motion. TDI depicts myocardial motion at a specific location in the heart. High-velocity signals from the blood are filtered out and amplification scales suitably adjusted so that Doppler signals from tissue motion can be recorded. Tissue velocity indicates the rate at which a particular point in the myocardium moves toward or away from

the transducer. The accuracy of TDI is angle dependent and only measures the vector of motion that is parallel to the direction of the ultrasound beam. Mean mitral annular S' should be acquired at end-expiration. For more detailed guidance, the reader is directed to the BSE minimum dataset ([Supplemental Appendix](#)) (3). Normal age-related values for mean mitral annular S' are described in recent guidance (27). Both tissue Doppler and grey scale imaging have been used to calculate mitral annular plane displacement, which is a longitudinal function parameter analogous to strain.

In breast cancer patients receiving anthracyclines with or without trastuzumab, diastolic dysfunction has been reported to precede systolic dysfunction and CTRCD (47). We recommend diastolic assessment should be undertaken in all baseline echocardiograms. Along with mitral E and A maximum velocity (V_{max}), E/A ratio, left atrial volumes, and TRV, TDI is a key part of the assessment of diastolic function (48). For more details on the grading of diastolic function, the reader is directed to the current American Society of Echocardiography/European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging guidelines on the assessment of diastolic dysfunction (49).

SPECKLE TRACKING ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY: GLS

The term *strain* refers to an object's fractional or percentage change from its original, unstressed dimension and reflects the deformation of a structure. When applied to myocardium, this deformation or strain directly describes the contraction/relaxation pattern. At rest, an object that has an initial length (L_0) can be stretched or compressed to a new length (L). This change in length is usually represented as a percentage, with a negative score indicating a shortening in length. Should L equal L_0 , then strain remains zero.

Although LVEF is simple and intuitive, and supported by prognostic information, it has important limitations including image quality dependence, geometric assumptions, and insensitivity to early disease (which is characterized by disturbances of longitudinal function). Strain measurements, like LVEF measurements, are dependent on endocardial border tracing and therefore also rely on image quality.

The myocardial fiber orientation of the LV is complex. The major limitation of the Doppler-based approach is the angle dependency required during image acquisition (50,51). This has been overcome by speckle tracking echocardiography, which is based on tracking the pattern of speckles generated by reflected ultrasound signal. Different regions of myocardium

have a unique speckle pattern that moves from one frame to the next. Dedicated speckle tracking software enables this movement to be quantified via several parameters (such as longitudinal strain).

GLS is measured using a combination of the apical 2-chamber (A2C), 3-chamber (A3C), and 4-chamber (A4C) views (52). Longitudinal strain is the degree of deformation from base to apex. During systole, contraction in this plane leads to fiber shortening, represented as a negative percentage value (i.e., the more negative the value, the greater the deformation). Although global circumferential and radial strain may also indicate cardiotoxicity, there are less data to support their clinical use (35), hence, the focus on GLS. GLS has been shown to be superior to 2D LVEF with regard to reproducibility in patients receiving trastuzumab (53) and has been suggested that it is more reproducible with appropriate echocardiographic training (54). It is therefore best practice that cardio-oncology echocardiograms are performed on machines able to calculate GLS and which have 3D capabilities. Small, but statistically significant, differences between vendors exist; therefore the same acquisition platform and analysis software should be used for serial echocardiograms (55-57). The ability to perform GLS and 3D measurements is beyond the current BSE personal accreditation standards. It is therefore important that individuals undertaking cardio-oncology echocardiograms are suitably trained in acquisition and analysis of these advanced echocardiography measures in a reproducible and consistent manner. In addition, echo departments should establish and reassess their intra- and interobserver variability of 2D LVEF, 3D LVEF, and GLS.

How to perform GLS:

- GLS is calculated using the standard A3C, A4C, and A2C views.
- Ensure an optimal ECG signal with minimal heart rate variability is present across 3 cardiac cycles.
- Maintain a frame rate of 40 to 90 frames/s (33) at a normal heart rate.
- Focus on the LV with appropriate adjustment of width and depth.
- The technique used to select the appropriate ROI is vendor-specific, and the reader is advised to consult individual machine/software technical guidelines for further guidance. For General Electric machines/software, adjust the overlay for the ROI. In the A4C view, the ROI begins at the septal MV annulus, progresses to the apex, and ends at the lateral MV annulus. In the A2C view, the ROI starts at the inferior MV annulus and extends through to the apex and then to the anterior wall MV annulus.

TABLE 5 Key Echocardiographic Recommendations for Best Practice

Baseline assessment	Full BSE minimum dataset echocardiogram, vital signs, and GLS/3D volumes (Table 1)
Follow-up assessment	Targeted echocardiogram (Table 2)
	If new symptoms, then full echocardiogram as per baseline assessment
Definition of cardiotoxicity	LVEF: a decrease in LVEF by >10 absolute percentage points to a value <50%
Definition of probable cardiotoxicity by echocardiography	LVEF: decrease in LVEF by >10 absolute percentage points to a value \geq 50% with an accompanying fall in GLS >15% (where GLS measurement available)
Definition of possible cardiotoxicity by echocardiography	LVEF: a decrease in LVEF by <10 absolute percentage points to a value <50% or LV GLS: when LVEF \geq 50%, a relative percentage reduction in GLS by >15%
Poor endocardial definition	Consider contrast echocardiography when endocardial definition precludes the accurate assessment of LVEF (e.g., when a minimum of 2 contiguous LV segments from any apical view are not seen on noncontrast images) Depending on local expertise and availability, CMR imaging is an alternative modality in this context

CMR = cardiac magnetic resonance; other abbreviations as in Tables 1 to 3.

In the A3C view, the ROI starts at the posterior wall MV annulus, extends to the apex and finally to the base of the septal wall, taking care not to extend into the LV outflow tract.

- Two contours for speckle tracking are visible and should be aligned with the relevant area of interest:
 - The endocardial border—the inner contour of the myocardium
 - The epicardial border—the outer border of the myocardium (be careful to exclude the pericardium, especially if automated analysis software is used. Inclusion of pericardium will lead to an underestimation of strain)
- Use optimal gain settings and breath-hold techniques to clearly delineate the endocardial and epicardial borders.
- During post-processing, the ROI should be aligned as accurately as possible to reflect the 17-segment LV model.

RIGHT HEART ASSESSMENT. Right heart (RH) structure and function has not traditionally been incorporated into the definition of CTRCD. However, there is increasing evidence that RH abnormalities may be prognostically significant (58,59), and therefore assessment of the RH should be obtained to include RV dimensions, RV S', tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion, and TRV. Abnormalities in RH structure and function on serial echocardiography should be discussed with a cardio-oncologist and may require full assessment of the RH as per the BSE practical guideline for RH assessment and the BSE guideline on the assessment of pulmonary hypertension (60,61).

ALTERNATIVE IMAGING MODALITIES

Although echocardiography remains the first-line investigation for the detection of CTRCD, there is a

complementary role for other imaging modalities, particularly in patients with inadequate echocardiographic windows. Traditionally, multigated acquisition scans have been used in the assessment of LV systolic function however, the associated radiation exposure (a particular issue with the inevitable serial scans) and limited structural information available makes this an inferior investigation (62). CMR imaging may be required in patients with poor echocardiographic windows or for tissue characterization (e.g., cardiac masses and cancer treatment-related myocarditis). Low inter- and intrareader variability make it the optimal technique for detecting small changes in LVEF in serial scans; however, its use is still limited by its availability and cost (63,64). The role of cardiac computed tomography is mainly in the noninvasive evaluation of coronary artery disease, but it can also be used to assess pericardial disease and valvular heart disease, and in the imaging of cardiac tumors (65,66).

RECOMMENDATION.

- Contrast 2D echocardiography should be considered when subendocardial definition precludes the accurate assessment of LVEF, that is, when a minimum of 2 contiguous LV segments from any apical view are not seen on noncontrast images (45).
- Depending on local expertise and availability, CMR imaging is an alternative modality, particularly for patients with poor echocardiographic windows.
- The same imaging modality should be used for sequential scans.

CLINICAL RISK STRATIFICATION FOR CARDIOTOXICITY.

Risk stratification for cardiac dysfunction is recommended before the commencement of potentially cardiotoxic cancer treatment in all patients (14,16). A clinical history and cardiovascular examination, including blood pressure measurement, should be

performed in order to aid assessment of risk (Table 3). All patients should also have a baseline 12-lead ECG.

Although outside the scope of this document, biomarkers (troponin and N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide [NT-proBNP]) may be considered in high-risk patients to assist further risk stratification (Table 3). Biomarkers may be able to detect subclinical signs of LV systolic and diastolic dysfunction before a decline in LVEF (67). Elevated baseline levels should prompt more frequent monitoring because there is some evidence that abnormal baseline high-sensitivity troponin is associated with an increased risk of developing complications with chemotherapy. There is also an association between troponin release after high-dose chemotherapy and subsequent cardiac events (68–70). Persistent serial elevation of NT-proBNP is associated with an increased risk of developing overt heart failure, whereas a transient rise is not (71). However, discussion of the utility and prognostic value of biomarkers is beyond the scope of this guideline, and the reader is directed to additional references (27,72).

Defining the high-risk patient is challenging. Although there is no validated unifying risk calculator that is applicable to all cancer types and therapies (73), recently published guidelines can help clinicians with risk stratification (14). This highlights the need for a tailored and individualized approach to the assessment, treatment, and monitoring of cardio-oncology patients.

REFERRAL TO A CARDIO-ONCOLOGY SERVICE

The cardio-oncology team is composed of specialized health care professionals who work together to provide “consistent, continuous, coordinated and cost-effective care during the cancer process” (74). This highly specialized service is involved in patient care, cardio-oncology research, and regional co-ordination of services (75).

Echocardiography is pivotal to decision-making in cardio-oncology, for example, when to consider referral to a cardio-oncologist, when to initiate cardioprotective medications or heart failure therapy, and when to hold or discontinue cardiotoxic cancer therapy. Early detection of cardiac dysfunction, with prompt initiation of cardioprotective medications, increases the likelihood of LVEF recovery and may reduce the cardiac event rate (76).

All patients with confirmed cardiotoxicity require referral to a cardio-oncology service. Any patient with pre-existing LV systolic dysfunction should be

discussed with the cardio-oncology team, ideally before the commencement of cardiotoxic cancer therapy (2). With increasing evidence that a significant reduction in GLS accurately predicts subsequent cardiotoxicity (35), referral to a cardio-oncology service for expert review could be considered in patients with >15% reduction in GLS despite a normal LVEF.

TREATMENT THRESHOLDS. Patients who develop CTRCD (symptomatic or asymptomatic) benefit from early introduction of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers and/or beta-blockers (77). Treatment thresholds vary in the published reports (10,78,79). Treatment in asymptomatic patients with declines in GLS, but not in LVEF, remains controversial (13,80). Closer monitoring and/or starting cardioprotective treatments are options to be considered. There is no evidence at present to hold cancer therapy based upon abnormal strain measurements alone.

Recent studies have investigated the role of pre-treatment with cardioprotective medications in cancer patients embarking on cardiotoxic therapy (81–83). Results have been mixed, and such strategies cannot currently be recommended as routine practice.

CONCLUSIONS

Cardio-oncology is a relatively new and rapidly developing subspecialty. Echocardiography is a key imaging modality in the initial assessment and subsequent monitoring of patients treated with commonly used cardiotoxic drugs such as anthracyclines and trastuzumab. High-quality targeted LVEF assessment incorporating 3D volumetric analysis and strain measurement can be used to safely monitor patients during and after treatment. Key echocardiographic recommendations are shown in Table 5.

FUNDING SUPPORT AND AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grant R01 HL 118018 (Dr. Ky). The authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr. Rebecca Dobson, Liverpool Heart & Chest Hospital, Thomas Drive, Liverpool L14 3PE, United Kingdom. E-mail: Rebecca.dobson@lhch.nhs.uk. OR Dr. Arjun K. Ghosh, Barts Heart Centre, St. Bartholomew's Hospital, West Smithfield, London EC1A 7BE, United Kingdom. E-mail: arjun.ghosh@nhs.net. Twitter: [@theharveys](#), [@arjunkg](#), [@DrDanAugustine](#).

REFERENCES

- Barros-Gomes S, Herrmann J, Mulvagh SL, Lerman A, Lin G, Villarraga HR. Rationale for setting up a cardio-oncology unit: our experience at Mayo Clinic. *Cardio-Oncology* 2016;2:5.
- Ghosh AK, Walker JM. Cardio-oncology – a new subspecialty with collaboration at its heart. *Indian Heart J* 2017;69:556–62.
- Robinson S, Rana B, Oxborough D, et al. A practical guideline for performing a comprehensive transthoracic echocardiogram in adults: the British Society of Echocardiography minimum dataset. *Echo Res Pract* 2020;7:G59–93.
- Chang HM, Moudgil R, Scarabelli T, Okwuosa TM, Yeh ETH. Cardiovascular complications of cancer therapy: best practices in diagnosis, prevention, and management: part 1. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;70:2536–51.
- Sendur MAN, Aksoy S, Altundag K. Pertuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity: safety compared with trastuzumab. *Futur Oncol* 2015;11:13–5.
- Han X, Zhou Y, Liu W. Precision cardio-oncology: understanding the cardiotoxicity of cancer therapy. *NPJ Precis Oncol* 2017;1:31.
- Odiote O, Hill MF, Sawyer DB. Neuregulin in cardiovascular development and disease. *Circ Res* 2012;1376–85.
- Ewer MS, Voelkel MT, Durand J-B, et al. Reversibility of trastuzumab-related cardiotoxicity: new insights based on clinical course and response to medical treatment. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:7820–6.
- Eschenhagen T, Force T, Ewer MS, et al. Cardiovascular side effects of cancer therapies: a position statement from the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2011;13:1–10.
- Zamorano JL, Lancellotti P, Rodriguez Muñoz D, et al. 2016 ESC Position Paper on cancer treatments and cardiovascular toxicity developed under the auspices of the ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines: the Task Force for cancer treatments and cardiovascular toxicity of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2016;37:2768–801.
- Gudmundsdottir T, Winther JF, de Fine Licht S, et al. Cardiovascular disease in Adult Life after Childhood Cancer in Scandinavia: a population-based cohort study of 32,308 one-year survivors. *Int J Cancer* 2015;137:1176–86.
- Yu AF, Flynn JR, Moskowitz CS, et al. Long-term cardiopulmonary consequences of treatment-induced cardiotoxicity in survivors of ERBB2-positive breast cancer. *JAMA Cardiol* 2020;5:309–17.
- Thavendiranathan P, Grant AD, Negishi T, Plana JC, Popović ZB, Marwick TH. Reproducibility of echocardiographic techniques for sequential assessment of left ventricular ejection fraction and volumes: application to patients undergoing cancer chemotherapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;61:77–84.
- Lyon AR, Dent S, Stanway S, et al. Baseline cardiovascular risk assessment in cancer patients scheduled to receive cardiotoxic cancer therapies: a position statement and new risk assessment tools from the Cardio-Oncology Study Group of the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology in collaboration with the International Cardio-Oncology Society. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2020;22:1945–60.
- Čelutkienė J, Pudil R, López-Fernández T, et al. Role of cardiovascular imaging in cancer patients receiving cardiotoxic therapies: a position statement on behalf of the Heart Failure Association (HFA), the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI) and the Cardio-Oncology Council of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur J Heart Fail* 2020;22:1504–24.
- Armenian SH, Lacchetti C, Barac A, et al. Prevention and monitoring of cardiac dysfunction in survivors of adult cancers: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline. *J Clin Oncol* 2017;35:893–911.
- Calvillo-Argüelles O, Abdel-Qadir H, Ky B, et al. Modified routine cardiac imaging surveillance of adult cancer patients and survivors during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Am Coll Cardiol CardioOnc* 2020;2:345–9.
- Addison D, Campbell CM, Guha A, Ghosh AK, Dent SF, Jneid H. Cardio-oncology in the era of the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2020;9:e017787.
- Chung R, Ghosh AK, Banerjee A. Cardiotoxicity: precision medicine with imprecise definitions. *Open Heart* 2018;5:e000774.
- Liu J, Banchs J, Mousavi N, et al. Contemporary role of echocardiography for clinical decision making in patients during and after cancer therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol Img* 2018;11:22–31.
- Curigliano G, Cardinale D, Suter T, et al. Cardiovascular toxicity induced by chemotherapy, targeted agents and radiotherapy: ESMO clinical practice guidelines. *Ann Oncol* 2012;Suppl 7: vii155–66.
- Dang CT, Yu AF, Jones LW, et al. Cardiac surveillance guidelines for trastuzumab-containing therapy in early-stage breast cancer: getting to the heart of the matter. *J Clin Oncol* 2016;34:1030–3.
- Chavez-MacGregor M, Niu J, Zhang N, et al. Cardiac monitoring during adjuvant trastuzumab-based chemotherapy among older patients with breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2015;33:2176–83.
- Lynce F, Barac A, Geng X, et al. Prospective evaluation of the cardiac safety of HER2-targeted therapies in patients with HER2-positive breast cancer and compromised heart function: the SAFE-HEaRT study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2019;175:595–603.
- Leong DP, Cosman T, Alhussein MM, et al. Safety of continuing trastuzumab despite mild cardiotoxicity. *J Am Coll Cardiol CardioOnc* 2019;1:1–10.
- Curigliano G, Lenihan D, Fradley M, et al. Management of cardiac disease in cancer patients throughout oncological treatment: ESMO consensus recommendations. *Ann Oncol* 2020;31:171–90.
- Harkness A, Ring L, Augustine DX, Oxborough D, Robinson S, Sharma V. Normal reference intervals for cardiac dimensions and function for use in echocardiographic practice: a guideline from the British Society of Echocardiography. *Echo Res Pract* 2020;7:G1–18.
- Santoro C, Arpino G, Esposito R, et al. 2D and 3D strain for detection of subclinical anthracycline cardiotoxicity in breast cancer patients: a balance with feasibility. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2017;18:930–6.
- Zhang KW, Finkelman BS, Gulati G, et al. Abnormalities in 3-dimensional left ventricular mechanics with anthracycline chemotherapy are associated with systolic and diastolic dysfunction. *J Am Coll Cardiol Img* 2018;11:1059–68.
- Yang H, Wright L, Negishi T, Negishi K, Liu J, Marwick TH. Research to practice: assessment of left ventricular global longitudinal strain for surveillance of cancer chemotherapeutic-related cardiac dysfunction. *J Am Coll Cardiol Img* 2018;11:196–201.
- Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, et al. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2015;16:233–70.
- Asch FM, Miyoshi T, Addetia K, et al. Similarities and differences in left ventricular size and function among races and nationalities: results of the World Alliance Societies of Echocardiography Normal Values study. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2019;32:1396–406.e2.
- Plana JC, Galderisi M, Barac A, et al. Expert consensus for multimodality imaging evaluation of adult patients during and after cancer therapy: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2014;15:1063–93.
- Thavendiranathan P, Negishi T, Somerset E, et al. SUCCOUR Investigators. Strain-guided management of potentially cardiotoxic cancer therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2021;77:392–401.
- Thavendiranathan P, Poulin F, Lim KD, Plana JC, Woo A, Marwick TH. Use of myocardial strain imaging by echocardiography for the early detection of cardiotoxicity in patients during and after cancer chemotherapy: a systematic review. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;2751–68.
- Kang Y, Xu X, Cheng L, et al. Two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography combined with high-sensitive cardiac troponin T in early detection and prediction of cardiotoxicity during epirubicin-based chemotherapy. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2014;16:300–8.
- Mousavi N, Tan TC, Ali M, Halpern EF, Wang L, Scherrer-Crosbie M. Echocardiographic parameters of left ventricular size and function as predictors of symptomatic heart failure in patients

with a left ventricular ejection fraction of 50-59% treated with anthracyclines. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2015;16:977-84.

38. Liu JE, Barac A, Thavendiranathan P, Scherrer-Crosbie M. Strain imaging in cardio-oncology. *J Am Coll Cardiol CardioOnc* 2020;2:677-89.

39. Kotecha D, Mohamed M, Shantsila E, Popescu BA, Steeds RP. Is echocardiography valid and reproducible in patients with atrial fibrillation? A systematic review. *Europace* 2017;19:1427-38.

40. Liu H, Wang J, Pan Y, Ge Y, Guo Z, Zhao S. Early and quantitative assessment of myocardial deformation in essential hypertension patients by using cardiovascular magnetic resonance feature tracking. *Sci Rep* 2020;10:1-9.

41. Otterstad JE, Froeland G, St John Sutton M, Holme I. Accuracy and reproducibility of biplane two-dimensional echocardiographic measurements of left ventricular dimensions and function. *Eur Heart J* 1997;18:507-13.

42. Muraru D, Cecchetto A, Cucchini U, et al. Intervendor consistency and accuracy of left ventricular volume measurements using three-dimensional echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2018;31:158-68.e1.

43. Wood PW, Choy JB, Nanda NC, Becher H. Left ventricular ejection fraction and volumes: it depends on the imaging method. *Echocardiography* 2014;31:87-100.

44. Spitzer E, Ren B, Zijlstra F, Van Mieghem N, Geleijnse M. The role of automated 3D echocardiography for left ventricular ejection fraction assessment. *Card Fail Rev* 2017;3:97-102.

45. Senior R, Becher H, Monaghan M, et al. Clinical practice of contrast echocardiography: recommendation by the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI) 2017. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2017;18:1205.

46. Suwatanaviraj T, He W, Pituskin E, Paterson I, Choy J, Becher H. What is the minimum change in left ventricular ejection fraction, which can be measured with contrast echocardiography? *Echo Res Pract* 2018;5:71-7.

47. Upshaw JN, Finkelman B, Hubbard RA, et al. Comprehensive assessment of changes in left ventricular diastolic function with contemporary breast cancer therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol Img* 2020;13:198-210.

48. Mathew T, Steeds R, Jones R, et al. A guideline protocol for the echocardiographic assessment of diastolic dysfunction. November 2013. British Society of Echocardiography. Available at: <https://www.bsecho.org/Public/Education/Protocols-and-guidelines/Public/Education/Protocols-and-guidelines.aspx?hkey=75710d32-ef9f-4e7d-aa76-eb89a082829f>. Accessed February 10, 2021.

49. Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP, et al. Recommendations for the evaluation of left ventricular diastolic function by echocardiography: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2016;29:277-314.

50. Abraham TP, Dimaano VL, Liang H-Y. Role of tissue Doppler and strain echocardiography in

current clinical practice. *Circulation* 2007;116:2597-609.

51. Marwick TH. Clinical applications of tissue Doppler imaging: a promise fulfilled. *Heart* 2003;89:1377-8.

52. Johnson C, Kuyt K, Oxborough D, Stout M. Practical tips and tricks in measuring strain, strain rate and twist for the left and right ventricles. *Echo Res Pract* 2019;6:R87-98.

53. King A, Thambyrajah, Leng E, Stewart MJ. Global longitudinal strain: a useful everyday measurement? *Echo Res Pract* 2016;3:85-93.

54. Karlén S, Dahlslett T, Grenne B, et al. Global longitudinal strain is a more reproducible measure of left ventricular function than ejection fraction regardless of echocardiographic training. *Cardiovasc Ultrasound* 2019;17:18.

55. Mirea O, Pagourelas ED, Duchenne J, et al. Variability and reproducibility of segmental longitudinal strain measurement: a report from the EACVI-ASE Strain Standardization Task Force. *J Am Coll Cardiol Img* 2018;11:15-24.

56. Shiino K, Yamada A, Ischenko M, et al. Intervendor consistency and reproducibility of left ventricular 2D global and regional strain with two different high-end ultrasound systems. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2017;18:707-16.

57. Voigt JU, Pedrizzetti G, Lysyansky P, et al. Definitions for a common standard for 2D speckle tracking echocardiography: consensus document of the EACVI/ASE/Industry Task Force to standardize deformation imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2015;16:1-11.

58. Tadic M, Cuspidi C, Hering D, Venneri L, Danylenko O. The influence of chemotherapy on the right ventricle: did we forget something? *Clin Cardiol* 2017;40:437-43.

59. Zhao R, Shu F, Zhang C, et al. Early Detection and prediction of anthracycline-induced right ventricular cardiotoxicity by 3-dimensional echocardiography. *J Am Coll Cardiol CardioOnc* 2020;2:13-22.

60. Zaidi A, Knight DS, Augustine DX, et al. Echocardiographic assessment of the right heart in adults: a practical guideline from the British Society of Echocardiography. *Echo Res Pract* 2020;7:G19-41.

61. Augustine DX, Coates-Bradshaw LD, Willis J, et al. Echocardiographic assessment of pulmonary hypertension: a guideline protocol from the British Society of Echocardiography. *Echo Res Pract* 2018;5:G11-24.

62. Plana JC, Thavendiranathan P, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, Lancellotti P. Multi-modality imaging in the assessment of cardiovascular toxicity in the cancer patient. *J Am Coll Cardiol Img* 2018;11:1173-86.

63. Seraphim A, Westwood M, Bhuvana AN, et al. Advanced imaging modalities to monitor for cardiotoxicity. *Curr Treat Options Oncol* 2019;20:73.

64. Moody WE, Edwards NC, Chue CD, et al. Variability in cardiac MR measurement of left ventricular ejection fraction, volumes and mass in healthy adults: defining a significant change at 1 year. *Br J Radiol* 2015;88:20140831.

65. Layoun ME, Yang EH, Herrmann J, et al. Applications of cardiac computed tomography in the cardio-oncology population. *Curr Treat Options Oncol* 2019;20:47.

66. Rosmini S, Aggarwal A, Chen DH, et al. Cardiac computed tomography in cardio-oncology: an update on recent clinical applications. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2021 Feb 8 [E-pub ahead of print].

67. Michel L, Rassaf T, Totzeck M. Biomarkers for the detection of apparent and subclinical cancer therapy-related cardiotoxicity. *J Thorac Dis* 2018;10 Suppl 35:S4282-95.

68. Cardinale D, Sandri MT, Colombo A, et al. Prognostic value of troponin I in cardiac risk stratification of cancer patients undergoing high-dose chemotherapy. *Circulation* 2004;109:2749-54.

69. Stachowiak P, Kornaczewicz-Jach Z, Safranow K. Prognostic role of troponin and natriuretic peptides as biomarkers for deterioration of left ventricular ejection fraction after chemotherapy. *Arch Med Sci* 2014;10:863-9.

70. Cardinale D, Sandri MT, Martinoni A, et al. Myocardial injury revealed by plasma troponin I in breast cancer treated with high-dose chemotherapy. *Ann Oncol* 2002;13:710-5.

71. Sandri MT, Salvatici M, Cardinale D, et al. N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide after high-dose chemotherapy: a marker predictive of cardiac dysfunction? *Clin Chem* 2005;51:1405-10.

72. Demissei BG, Hubbard RA, Zhang L, et al. Changes in cardiovascular biomarkers with breast cancer therapy and associations with cardiac dysfunction. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2020;9:e014708.

73. Abdel-Qadir H, Thavendiranathan P, Austin PC, et al. Development and validation of a multivariable prediction model for major adverse cardiovascular events after early stage breast cancer: a population-based cohort study. *Eur Heart J* 2019;40:3913-20.

74. Lancellotti P, Suter TM, López-Fernández T, et al. Cardio-oncology services: Rationale, organization, and implementation: a report from the ESC Cardio-Oncology council. *Eur Heart J* 2019;40:1756-63.

75. Ghosh AK, Walker JM. Cardio-oncology. *Br J Hosp Med* 2017;78:C11-3.

76. Cardinale D, Colombo A, Lamantia G, et al. Anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy. clinical relevance and response to pharmacologic therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;55:213-20.

77. Cardinale D, Colombo A, Bacchiani G, et al. Early detection of anthracycline cardiotoxicity and improvement with heart failure therapy. *Circulation* 2015;131:1981-8.

78. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. 2016 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: the Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2016;37:2129-200.

79. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA focused update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of

Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;70:776-80.

80. Negishi K, Negishi T, Hare JL, Haluska BA, Plana JC, Marwick TH. Independent and incremental value of deformation indices for prediction of trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2013;26:493-8.

81. Gulati G, Heck SL, Ree AH, et al. Prevention of cardiac dysfunction during adjuvant breast cancer therapy (PRADA): a 2 × 2 factorial, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial of candesartan and metoprolol. *Eur Heart J* 2016;37:1671-80.

82. Pituskin E, Mackey JR, Koshman S, et al. Multidisciplinary approach to novel therapies in cardio-oncology research (MANTICORE 101-Breast): a randomized trial for the prevention of trastuzumab-associated cardiotoxicity. *J Clin Oncol* 2017;35:870-7.

83. Avila MS, Ayub-Ferreira SM, de Barros Wanderley MR, et al. Carvedilol for prevention of chemotherapy-related cardiotoxicity: the CECCY trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2018;71:2281-90.

84. Russo G, Cioffi G, Di Lenarda A, et al. Role of renal function on the development of cardiotoxicity associated with trastuzumab-based adjuvant chemotherapy for early breast cancer. *Intern Emerg Med* 2012;7:439-46.

85. Virani SA, Dent S, Brezden-Masley C, et al. Canadian Cardiovascular Society guidelines for evaluation and management of cardiovascular complications of cancer therapy. *Can J Cardiol* 2016;32:831-41.

KEY WORDS anthracycline, echocardiography, guidelines, HER2 therapy, imaging

APPENDIX For the full British Society of Echocardiography minimum transthoracic dataset, please see the online version of this paper.