

LJMU Research Online

Skervin, TK, Thomas, NM, Schofield, AJ, Hollands, MA, Maganaris, CN and Foster, RJ

The next step in optimising the stair horizontal-vertical illusion: Does a perception-action link exist in older adults?

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/14650/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Skervin, TK, Thomas, NM, Schofield, AJ, Hollands, MA, Maganaris, CN and Foster, RJ (2021) The next step in optimising the stair horizontal-vertical illusion: Does a perception-action link exist in older adults? Experimental Gerontologv. 149. ISSN 0531-5565

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

The Next Step in Optimising the Stair Horizontal-1 Vertical Illusion: Does a Perception-Action Link Exist in 2 **Older Adults?** 3 4 Timmion K. Skervin^a, Neil M. Thomas^a, Andrew J. Schofield^b, Mark A. Hollands^a, 5 Constantinos N. Maganaris^a, Richard J. Foster^{a*} 6 7 ^aResearch to Improve Stair Climbing Safety (RISCS), Faculty of Science, School of Sport and 8 Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Byrom Street, Liverpool, L3 3AF, 9 United Kingdom 10 ^bSchool of Psychology, College of Health and Life Sciences, Aston University, Birmingham, 11 B4 7ET, United Kingdom 12 *Corresponding Author: R.J.Foster@ljmu.ac.uk 13 14 15 Abbreviations: HV, Horizontal-vertical; MOS, Margins of stability; SF, Spatial frequency; 16 LogMAR, Logarithm of the Minimum Angle of Resolution; LogCS, Logarithm of the contrast 17 sensitivity; AP, anterior-posterior; ML, mediolateral; CoM, centre of mass; xCoM, extrapolated 18 centre of mass; pCoM, anterior-posterior/mediolateral position of the centre of mass; vCoM, 19 instantaneous anterior-posterior/mediolateral velocity of the CoM; g, acceleration due to 20 gravity; l, absolute distance between the CoM and ankle joint centre 21

22 Abstract

23 Introduction: Tripping on stairs results from insufficient foot to step edge clearance and can 24 often lead to a fall in older adults. A stair horizontal-vertical illusion is suggested to increase 25 the perceived riser height of a step and increase foot clearance when stepping up. However, 26 this perception-action link has not been empirically determined in older adults. Previous 27 findings suggesting a perception-action effect have also been limited to a single step or a three-28 step staircase. On larger staircases, somatosensory learning of step heights may be greater 29 which could override the illusory effect on the top step. Furthermore, the striped nature of the 30 existing stair horizontal-vertical illusion is associated with visual stress and may not be 31 aesthetically suitable for use on public stairs. These issues need resolving before potential

32 future implementation on public stairs. Methods: Experiment 1. A series of four computer-33 based perception tests were conducted in older (N=14: 70 ± 6 years) and young adults (N=42: 34 24 ± 3 years) to test the influence of different illusion designs on stair riser height estimation. 35 Participants compared images of stairs, with horizontal-vertical illusions or arbitrary designs 36 on the bottom step, to a plain stair with different bottom step riser heights and selected the stair 37 they perceived to have the tallest bottom riser. Horizontal-vertical illusions included a 38 previously developed design and versions with modified spatial frequencies and mark space 39 ratios. Perceived riser height differences were assessed between designs and between age 40 groups. Experiment 2. To assess the perception-action link, sixteen older (70 ± 7 years) and 41 fifteen young $(24 \pm 3 \text{ years})$ adults ascended a seven-step staircase with and without horizontal-42 vertical illusions tested in experiment 1 placed onto steps one and seven. Foot clearances were 43 measured over each step. To determine whether changes in perception were linked to changes 44 in foot clearance, perceived riser heights for each horizontal-vertical illusion were assessed 45 using the perception test from experiment 1 before and after stair ascent. Additional measures 46 to characterise stair safety included vertical foot clearance, margins of stability, foot overhang, 47 speed, and gaze duration, which were assessed over all seven steps. stair 48 **Results:** Experiment 1. All horizontal-vertical illusion designs led to significant increases in 49 the perceived riser height in both young and older adults (12-19% increase) with no differences 50 between age groups. Experiment 2. On step 7, each horizontal-vertical illusion led to an 51 increase in vertical foot clearance for young (up to 0.8cm) and older adults (up to 2.1cm). On 52 step 1 significant increases in vertical foot clearance were found for a single horizontal-vertical 53 illusion when compared to plain (1.19cm increase). The horizontal-vertical illusions caused 54 significant increases in the perceived riser height (young; 13% increase, older; 11% increase) 55 with no differences between illusion design, group or before and after stair ascent. No further 56 differences were found for the remaining variables and steps.

57 **Conclusion:** Results indicate a perception-action link between perceived riser height and 58 vertical foot clearance in response to modified versions of the horizontal-vertical illusion in 59 both young and older adults. This was shown with no detriment to additional stair safety 60 measures. Further evaluating these illusions on private/public stairs, especially those with 61 inconsistently taller steps, may be beneficial to help improve stair safety for older adults.

62

63 Keywords: Stair falls; vision; horizontal-vertical illusion; older adults; perception

64

65 Declarations of interest: none

2

66 1. Introduction

67 For older adults (60 years and above), falling on stairs can lead to serious injuries or a fatal consequence (Jacobs, 2016). From 2019 to 2020, around 63% of all stair fall hospital 68 69 admissions in England occurred in those aged 60 years and above (NHS Digital, 2020). Stair 70 falls are considered to occur more during stair descent but falls during stair ascent still account for ~23% of all older adult stair falls (Startzell et al., 2000). When ascending stairs, a trip will 71 72 often occur from insufficient clearance of the foot over a step edge (Lord et al., 1993; Berg et 73 al., 1997). The foot clearance height is linked to the visual judgement of step heights (Foster 74 et al., 2015). Stairs can often be seen covered with patterns or uniform designs (Kim and 75 Steinfeld, 2019) that render step edge locations difficult to discern. This could lead to uncertain 76 judgements of step heights and result in foot to step edge clearances that are dangerously low 77 and/or variable, as has been shown during stair descent when a step edge is misrepresented 78 (Foster et al., 2014). For an older adult, ambiguity in stair appearance presents an added visual 79 challenge on top of age-related declines in visual function such as reduced contrast sensitivity 80 (Lord and Dayhew, 2001).

81

82 Studies have previously used a version of the horizontal-vertical (HV) illusion on a step to 83 increase the perceived step riser height and foot to step edge clearance (Elliott et al., 2009; 84 Foster et al., 2015). Findings show increases of ~20% in the perceived riser height and ~0.5-85 1cm increases in foot clearance at no detriment to balance (Foster et al., 2015). This illusion 86 was developed on the basis of the original HV illusion whereby the vertical line in a figure T 87 is perceived to be up to 20% longer than the horizontal line despite both being of equal length 88 (Avery and Day, 1969). The increases in perceived riser height due to the illusion is believed 89 to cause the increase in foot clearance which is thought to represent a perception-action link, 90 though studies so far have not explicitly documented this link yet in older adults. Whilst 91 increases in foot clearance alone have been found in younger and older adults (Elliott et al., 92 2009; Foster et al., 2015), the effect of the HV illusion on perceived riser height has only been 93 tested in younger adults (Foster et al., 2015). The two visual streams hypothesis (Goodale and 94 Milner, 1992) suggests the dorsal visual stream is specialised in controlling motor actions 95 whilst the ventral visual stream is specialised for visual perception, though each stream is not 96 entirely independent (Milner and Goodale, 2008). The stream specialisation suggests motor 97 actions may not always correspond to conscious perceptual responses. Early studies mostly 98 with the Ebbinghaus illusion support a disassociation of both streams, showing a perceptual

99 effect on target circle size but not on maximum grip aperture when the circle is grasped (Aglioti, DeSouza and Goodale, 1995; Gentilucci et al., 1996). Subsequent investigations however 100 101 support no stream dissociation when methodological factors (such as the perception 102 measurement or presentation of the illusion) are controlled for (Smeets and Brenner, 2006; 103 Franz and Gegenfurtner, 2008; Kopiske et al., 2016). Other illusions however (such as the 104 Ponzo and Müller-Lyer illusion) show dissociations even when controlling for methodological 105 factors (Westwood, Heath and Roy, 2000; Franz, Scharnowski and Gegenfurtner, 2005; Bruno, 106 Bernardis and Gentilucci, 2008; Ganel, Tanzer and Goodale, 2008; Bruno and Franz, 2009; 107 Stöttinger et al., 2010).

108

109 When negotiating steps or stairs, initial judgment of the step riser height and subsequent 110 appropriate foot clearance is required to clear the step edge suggesting both ventral and dorsal 111 stream processing are required for this movement. However, presence of illusions or visual 112 cues on a step or obstacle to assess perception-action links during the stepping action provide 113 contrasting findings. Rhea, Rietdyk and Haddad (2010) showed in young adults that the 114 presence of a height illusion on obstacles led to initial increases in perceived obstacle height 115 (2cm) and foot clearances (2.7cm) during obstacle crossings. However, whilst the obstacle 116 remained perceptually taller following many repeated trials, foot clearance increases 117 diminished, possibly due to somatosensory adaptation. Schofield, Curzon-Jones and Hollands 118 (2017) showed that younger adults allowed greater toe clearance over curved steps 119 superimposed with a fine-grained texture to represent an incorrectly illuminated step than they 120 did over a textured step representing correct illumination. Older adults made no such 121 adjustment and there were no matching differences in perceptual estimates of step height. 122 Separate psychophysical assessments show older adults have reduced perceptual sensitivity to 123 fine striped surface textures compared to young adults which is thought to explain the toe 124 elevation differences. These studies show foot clearances can operate independently of 125 perceptually taller steps/obstacles and relate to age losses in visual sensitivity and the repeated 126 stepping actions.

127

128 It is not currently known whether ascending a staircase with many steps, or repeatedly 129 ascending the same staircase, with the HV illusion present leads to a similar somatosensory 130 adaptation as described by Rhea, Rietdyk and Haddad (2010) and/or perceptual adaption. The 131 effect of the stair HV illusion may be lost following repeated physical foot contact on steps 132 providing additional feedback about the step height (Chapman et al., 2010) in addition to the 133 positional feedback from the raising of the lead limb. Findings demonstrating the effect of the 134 stair HV illusion are from single raised surfaces or a three-step staircase (Elliott et al., 2009; 135 Foster et al., 2015), meaning the evidence is supported for a limited number of steps only and 136 not entirely for the top step on stairs with additional steps. This is important as stair falls most 137 commonly occur on the transitional steps of stairs (first and last) (Startzell et al., 2000). If an 138 effect still exists on stairs with several more steps, an understanding of whether this is linked 139 to a corresponding step riser height perception should be explored to determine whether this 140 adaptation occurred independently of perceptual information. This information will help to 141 establish the conditions with which the HV illusion will be most effective on stairs.

142

143 Existing versions of the stair HV illusion include sine wave gratings (Elliott et al., 2009) and 144 square wave gratings (with and without an additional top edge highlighter (Foster et al., 2015)) 145 of varying spatial frequencies. Findings from young adults suggest the illusion is stronger as the spatial frequency increases (Foster et al., 2015), although this is likely to be limited by 146 147 human visual acuity. Despite this correlative effect, a lower spatial frequency configuration may be easier for older adults to visually respond to. Schofield, Curzon-Jones and Hollands 148 149 (2017) showed higher thresholds were needed for older adults to perceptually resolve finer 150 textures due to declines in visual sensitivity. Increases in illusion spatial frequency result in 151 concomitantly finer stripes (equidistant) which may place greater demand on visual acuity and 152 contrast sensitivity to visually discriminate the square wave cycles on the gratings. Vision in older adults is typically reduced suggesting this may not be suited for this age group (Lord, 153 2006). The increased perceptual effect with increasing spatial frequency (Foster et al., 2015) 154 155 suggests visual interpretation of the square wave cycle has importance. This additionally 156 highlights the need for a perceptual assessment in older adults which is currently missing. 157 Foster et al. (2015) selected a spatial frequency of twelve cycles per metre for increasing foot 158 clearance on stairs, but also found perceptual and foot clearance increases (separately) at the 159 lowest spatial frequency tested (four cycles per metre) on a single step, suggesting reduced designs still have a stair safety benefit. A design with modified features may also be better for 160 161 those with photosensitivity to black and white stripes. The twelve-cycle design is characterised 162 as a medium spatial frequency of black and white equidistant stripes with high luminance 163 contrast. The combination of these visual properties in patterns can cause visual stress (such as 164 nausea and dizziness) in observers, and in more severe cases photosensitive epilepsy and 165 migraines (Wilkins et al., 1984; Hermes, Kasteleijn-Nolst Trenite and Winawer, 2017). A 166 design with reduced features would also appear less complex which may be more aesthetically

167 suitable for stairs where there is a preference to preserve appearance.

168

169 The aim of this study is two-fold; firstly, to determine whether there is a perception-action link 170 between perceived step-riser height and foot clearance in older adults and to secondly 171 determine whether modified versions of the HV illusion elicit effects suitable to enhance 172 stepping safety. Two experiments were conducted to fulfil these aims. Experiment 1 included 173 computer-based perception tests to assess perceived riser height responses to modified illusion 174 designs. Experiment 2 included stair ascent assessments in response to illusion designs from experiment 1 and computer-based perception tests to identify links between foot clearance and 175 176 perceived riser heights. For experiment 1, we hypothesised that HV illusions would increase 177 perceived riser height and that this effect would be greater in young compared to older adults. 178 For experiment 2, we hypothesised that young and older adults would show increases in 179 perceived riser height and foot clearance in response to the HV illusions superimposed on stairrisers, and that this effect would be greater in young compared to older adults. 180

181

182 Experiment 1: Psychophysical Determination of Modified Stair

- 183 HV Illusions
- 184

185 **2.** Method

186 2.1. Participants

187 Forty-two young adults and fourteen older adults (Table 1) were recruited from the university 188 staff/student body and the local community and provided written informed consent to take part. 189 Different young adults took part in the four sub-parts of this experiment (see below) and older adults only took part in experiment 1D. All participants were free from any neurological 190 191 condition or low vision that would prevent them from being able to visually judge the height 192 of step risers. Presence of low vision was assessed through tests of visual acuity and contrast 193 sensitivity using The Freiburg Visual Acuity Test (Bach, 1996). Participants were excluded if 194 scores were higher than 0.5 LogMar (WHO, 2019) for visual acuity, and lower than 1.5 LogCS 195 (Parede et al., 2013) for contrast sensitivity. Statistical analysis showed young adults had better 196 visual acuity (-0.08 \pm 0.10 LogMar) than older adults (0.15 \pm 0.37 LogMar) (U = 197 39.5, p = .020) and better contrast sensitivity (young: 1.95 ± 0.14 , older: 1.67 ± 0.29 LogCS; U = 25, p = .002). Numerous tests were performed in experiment 1 therefore a sample size 198

199 estimate was not performed. Such computer-based perception tests are often performed with

200 small sample sizes to determine whether an effect is either present or absent (Anderson and

- 201 Vingrys, 2001). This study received institutional ethical approval and conformed to the
- 202 declaration of Helsinki.
- 203

Table 1. Participant demographics.

	Young	<u>Older</u>				
No. of participants	<u>42 (18 female)</u>	<u>14 (8 female)</u>				
Age (years)	24 ± 3 (range: 18-29)	70 ± 6 (range: 60-83)				
Visual Acuity (LogMAR)	$-0.08 \pm 0.10*$	$\underline{0.15\pm0.37}$				
Contrast Sensitivity (LogCS)	$\underline{1.95\pm0.14*}$	$\underline{1.67\pm0.29}$				
\star = significant difference from a Mann-Whitney U test between young and older adults (Visual Acuity: $U =$						

<u>39.5, p = .020; Contrast Sensitivity: U = 25, p = .002).</u>

204

205 2.2. Experimental design

206 We assessed perceived step riser heights on images of an outlined three-step staircase with 207 bottom step HV illusions or arbitrary designs. A linked series of four computer-based 208 perception tests (experiments 1A-D) were performed, using a forced choice psychophysical 209 procedure, programmed in PsychoPy (Peirce et al., 2019). The HV illusion effect was firstly 210 ascertained by replicating the previous design developed by (Foster et al., 2015) and by 211 comparing differences in perceptual response to arbitrary patterns (experiment 1A) as well as 212 through comparisons to reference steps with edge highlighters to assess its contribution to the perceptual effect (experiment 1B). The assessment of modified HV illusion designs included 213 214 HV illusions with a reduced spatial frequency/number of vertical stripes (experiment 1B: 215 representing a better visual aesthetic) and modified mark space ratios (experiment 1C: to reduce 216 the visual stress potentially associated with the illusion). Four HV illusion designs from these 217 perception tests were then chosen based on the presence of a perceptual effect, the design 218 saliency and aesthetic and were used to assess perceptual differences between young and older 219 adults (experiment 1D). Figure 1 shows the different step riser designs used and the participants 220 for each perception test.

For each trial, participants were asked to compare an image of an outlined stair that had a fixedheight bottom riser with a HV illusion pattern or arbitrary design (test stimulus) to an image of a plain outlined stair (reference stimulus) that varied in bottom riser height (to control for response bias) and to then select the stair that appeared to have the taller bottom riser through a keyboard response. Each HV illusion was presented in its full form (vertical stripe(s) with an abutting step edge highlighter (see Figure 1) to maximise the perceptual effect (Foster et al., 2015)). This represented a treated step. Test stimuli





229 Figure 1. Bottom step riser designs (test stimuli) compared to plain bottom risers (reference 230 stimuli) within each perception test. Test stimuli in experiment 1B were additionally compared 231 to reference stimuli with edge highlighters. SF12 = previously developed HV illusion in young 232 adults (Foster et al., 2015). All other HV illusions represent modified designs for a simpler 233 appearance or to reduce the photosensitive trigger for the visual stress potential. Test and 234 reference stimuli were presented over a grey background on screen, represented as grey fill on 235 the steps. Numerical percentages represent the occupied space of white to black (mark space 236 ratio) respectively on the riser. Young adults were used in experiments 1A-1C to preserve older 237 adult recruitment for the age group comparison (experiment 1D). NB: SF= spatial frequency. 238 239 For experiments 1A, 1C and 1D, reference steps appeared plain to represent an untreated step

- <u>For experiments TA, TC and TD, reference steps appeared plain to represent an uniteated step</u>
- 240 and to represent how stairs would typically appear in the real world. For experiment 1B
- 241 reference steps included an edge highlighter to assess the perceptual effect when the presence

- of edge highlighters were matched. Each stair appeared in succession on screen for 500ms in a
 randomised order with a 1000ms interval between the two stimuli in each trial.
- 244

245 Each perception test followed this trial procedure and contained four different test stimuli and 246 seven reference stimuli which represented scaled riser heights found on physical stairs (test stimuli = 190mm, reference stimuli = 180 to 240mm in 10mm increments). Participants were 247 248 positioned 33cm away from the monitor at a perpendicular eye level to the computer screen 249 (BenQ XL2430 -B) to represent the viewing of physical steps from a distance of 1.4m away at 250 an eye height of 1.6m (approximating that of an average older adult height; Elliott et al. (2015)). 251 For all participants in experiments 1A-1C and the first five older adults in experiment 1D, 560 252 responses were initially obtained over four equal sittings of 140 trials. For each sitting, test 253 stimuli were compared to each reference stimuli five times totalling 20 comparisons at each 254 reference stimuli level across all sittings. Combinations of test and reference stimuli were randomised across trials such that all combinations appeared equally often. Statistical analysis 255 256 (One-way Repeated Measures ANOVA: α =.05) revealed no differences in perceived riser 257 heights between sittings for each experiment (P>.05), therefore the remaining participants in 258 experiment 1D were instead asked to complete a minimum of one sitting but to then complete more if they were happy to do so. Data were recorded as binary responses (1 = test stimuli 259 260 taller, 0 = reference stimuli taller) and were exported as CSV files.

261

262 2.3. Statistical analysis

263 A logistic function was fitted to the test stimuli taller responses plotted against the reference 264 stimuli heights to estimate the perceived riser height of each test stimulus. Perceived riser 265 height/point of subjective equality (PSE) was established as the point at which the test stimuli 266 was judged taller on 50% of the trials. A One-way Repeated Measures ANOVA (α =.05) was 267 used to compare perceived riser heights between test stimuli (experiment 1A-1C). A Two-way 268 Repeated Measures ANOVA (α =.05) was used to compare perceived riser heights between test 269 stimuli and age groups (experiment 1D). Following ANOVA testing, Bayes Factors were 270 computed for experiment 1D to determine whether non-significance in perceived riser heights 271 between test stimuli as well as age group were driven by similarities. A Bayesian Two Way 272 Repeated Measures ANOVA using JASP with default priors (JASP Team (2020). JASP 273 (Version 0.14.1) [Windows 10]) was performed with test stimuli and age group as factors. 274 Bayes Factors (expressed as BF₀₁) are reported showing the probability of the data given the 275 null hypothesis relative to the alternative. Lee and Wagenmakers classification scheme 276 indicating levels of evidence was used for Bayes Factor interpretation (Quintana and Williams, 2018). Residual plots were used to visually inspect all variables for normality. Data sphericity 277 278 was assessed using Mauchly's test of Sphericity. When data violated the estimate of sphericity, 279 a Greenhouse-Geisser (<0.75) or Huynh-Feldt (>0.75) epsilon correction was used. Significant 280 main effects were followed with post-hoc tests using a Bonferroni correction for multiple 281 comparisons. In the presence of non-significance between test stimuli, data were pooled across 282 test stimuli and a One Sample t test was used to compare the pooled perceived riser heights to 283 the veridical riser height. For comparisons with repeated One Sample t tests, the alpha level 284 was divided by the number of comparisons (experiment 1A α =.013; experiment 1B-1D α =.05). All frequentist statistical analyses were performed in SPSS 26 (SPSS version 26.0 IBM Corp, 285 286 2019).

287

288 **3. Results**

Figure 2 shows the perceived riser heights for each test stimulus from each experiment.

290

291 3.1. Experiment 1A: Replication study - confirming the perceptual effect

292 There was a significant main effect of test stimulus on perceived riser height ($F_{(1.214)}$ 9.711)=34.218, p < .001, $n_p^2 = .811$). SF1 and SF12 were perceived to be significantly taller than 293 White fill (SF1=13%, p=.003; SF12=13%, p=.006) and Diamonds (SF1=18%, p=.001; 294 295 SF12=18%, p=.002). White fill was perceived to be taller than Diamonds (p=.005). SF1 and 296 SF12 led to significant overestimations in perceived riser height (19% increase from veridical 297 riser height; p < .001). White fill was perceived to be taller than the veridical height (p = .001). 298 No significant differences in perceived riser height were found between Diamonds and the 299 veridical riser height (p=.505).

300

301 3.2. Experiment 1B: Reduced spatial frequency and edge highlighter comparison

No significant differences were found between each test stimulus for perceived riser height when compared to the plain reference stimulus ($F_{(3, 27)}=1.672$, p=.196, $n^2_p=.157$) and plain reference stimulus with an edge highlighter ($F_{(3, 12)}=1.741$, p=.212, $n^2_p=.303$). All spatial frequencies (SF1, SF2, SF3 and SF12) caused significant increases in the perceived riser heights when compared to the veridical riser height. However, the increases in perceived riser height were bigger when the test stimuli were compared to the plain stimulus with no edge highlighter (15-17% increase; p < .001), compared to the plain stimulus with an edge highlighter (6-9% increase; p = .024).

310

311 3.3. Experiment 1C: Mark space ratios

No significant differences in perceived riser height were found between each test stimulus (F_(1.813, 18.127)=.734, p=.481, n²_p=.068). All mark-space ratios led to a significant increase in the perceived riser height when compared to the veridical riser height (16-18% increase; p=<.001).

315

316 3.4. Experiment 1D: Young versus older adults

There were no interaction effects of test stimuli and age group ($F_{(3, 72)}=.829, p=.482, n^2_p=.033$).

- 318 No main effects were found for test stimuli (F_(3, 72)=.921, p=.435, n^2_p =.037) or for age group
- 319 (F_(1, 24)=1.455, p=.239, n^2_p =.057). When compared to the veridical riser height, the pooled test
- stimuli led to a significant increase in the perceived riser height (12-15% increase; p < .001).
- 321 Further investigation into the lack of main and interaction effects for test stimuli and age group
- 322 <u>using Bayesian inference showed the effect of age group and test stimuli to be 1.3 ($BF_{01}=1.349$)</u>
- 323 and 6.3 (BF₀₁= 6.386) times more likely under the null hypothesis, representing anecdotal and
- 324 moderate evidence respectively. The age group and test stimuli interaction was found to be 4.9
- 325 <u>times more likely under the null hypothesis ($BF_{01} = 4.981$) representing moderate evidence.</u>

326



327

328 <u>Figure 2</u>. Perceptual responses to different test stimuli designs. A. Replication study -329 confirming the perceptual effect. B. Reduced spatial frequency and edge highlighter 330 comparison. C. Mark space ratios. D. Young versus older adults. Each of the HV illusion 331 designs led to a significant increase in the perceived riser height. <u>Box plots present the mean</u> 332 (\bigcirc) and median (-). * = Significant increase from the veridical riser height. \dagger = significant 333 difference between test stimuli.

334

335 4. Discussion

The main aim of experiment 1 was to assess whether the stair HV illusion was effective at increasing perceived riser heights, primarily in older adults. We hypothesised that the HV illusions would increase perceived riser heights <u>in both young and older adults</u>, <u>but to a greater</u> <u>extent in young adults</u>. The results show that modified versions of the HV illusion led to increases in the perceived riser height in both young and older adults <u>with no difference</u>

- 341 between young and older adults. Our Bayes Factors show limited evidence for our no difference
- 342 <u>finding being driven by similarity. Our hypothesis is therefore partly supported.</u>
- 343

344 The increased perceived riser heights with the HV illusions compared to the arbitrary designs 345 in experiment 1A suggests the overestimations were due to the configuration of the stair HV 346 illusion (i.e. the presence of vertical stripes with an abutting top edge highlighter). This is also 347 strengthened by our HV illusion comparison to an edge highlighter reference stimulus (experiment 1B), whereby a reduced but significant increase in the perceived riser height was 348 349 still observed. Reduced overestimations suggest the overall HV illusion effect is partly due to 350 the edge highlighter which is consistent with Foster et al. (2015) who showed that edge 351 highlighters present with vertical riser gratings reinforces the HV illusion, producing the 352 greatest magnitude of riser height overestimation. The magnitude of overestimations found in 353 this study are also similar to Foster et al. (2015) findings. These authors reported magnitudes 354 of $\sim 20\%$ for HV illusion designs with spatial frequencies of four through to twenty cycles per 355 metre. Interestingly, the White fill arbitrary pattern we tested in experiment 1A also elicited a 356 perceptual effect as this was perceived to be significantly taller than the veridical height. A 357 similar effect was reported by Rhea, Rietdyk and Haddad (2010) where a full obstacle was perceived to be taller than a perimeter obstacle. This is akin to our step comparisons whereby 358 359 our reference stimuli unknowingly also represents the perimeter of a step and our White fill 360 represents a full step.

361

362 Experiment 1B showed similar overestimation magnitudes across all spatial frequencies 363 whereas Foster et al. (2015) showed a general pattern for larger overestimations as the spatial 364 frequency increased. This discrepancy may have resulted due to the stripe widths. Foster et al. 365 (2015) used an equidistant grating configuration for each HV illusion design meaning their lowest spatial frequency configuration (four cycles) had wider stripes on the riser. Our lower 366 367 spatial frequency configurations (SF1, SF2 and SF3) had black stripe widths equal to SF12, suggesting the overall perceptual effect is partly due to the stripe width. Other perception work 368 369 shows rectangles of the same height are perceived taller when narrower and shorter when wider 370 (Beck, Emanuele and Savazzi, 2013). This effect may have been present here with the riser 371 stripes (viewed as rectangles). There may however be an upper ceiling effect with how much 372 the stripe width contributes to the overall effect, as we did not find differences between our 373 mark space ratios which represented a stripe width manipulation at the same spatial frequency. 374 A significant perceptual effect with as few as one black riser stripe fulfils part of our aim in 375 developing a design with reduced features which may be more aesthetically suitable for public 376 use. Our mark space ratio findings in experiment 1C also show that the HV illusion design used 377 previously (Foster et al., 2015) can be adapted to be more acceptable to those with visual stress 378 and photosensitivity whilst retaining the perceptual effect at the same spatial frequency. High 379 luminance contrast and equal mark-space widths are contributing factors in photosensitivity 380 (Hermes, Kasteleijn-Nolst Trenite and Winawer, 2017). Importantly, the mark space ratio 381 adjustments we used in experiment 1C reduces the luminance contrast of the HV illusion 382 design. For experiment 1D, the HV illusions led to significant increases in riser height 383 estimation across all designs. Furthermore, the lack of significant difference between age 384 groups, despite significant differences in visual function, suggests the configurations of each 385 HV illusion design are sufficient to elicit a perceptual effect in older adults despite the design 386 reductions and modifications made.

387

388 Overall, experiment 1 established that modified HV illusion designs effectively increased 389 perceived riser height, and that older adults perceptually respond to these illusions. The next 390 experiment examined whether increases in perceived riser height led to physical increases in 391 foot clearance in older adults on a seven-step staircase using modified HV illusions from 392 experiment 1.

393

394 Experiment 2: Stair Analysis of Foot Clearance and Perceived 395 Riser Heights

- 396
- 397 **5.** Method
- 398 5.1. Participants

399 Fifteen young adults and sixteen older adults (Table 2) were recruited from the University 400 staff/student body and the local community and provided written informed consent to 401 participate. A power analysis based on previous data (Foster et al., 2015) for the detection of 402 a meaningful change in vertical toe clearance over a step edge, in response to a striped visual 403 cue showed 14 participants were required (mean difference= 1.6, $\sigma = 1.95$, $\alpha = 0.05$, power = 404 80%). All participants met inclusion criteria for neurological and visual function described in 405 experiment 1 and were free from any physical injury that would prevent them negotiating stairs. 406 Tests of visual function were performed using the method outlined in experiment 1. This study

407 was approved by the institutional research ethics committee and conformed to the Declaration

408 of Helsinki.

409

Table 2. Participant demographics.

	Young	Older
No. of participants	15 (10 female)	16 (7 female)
Age (years)	24 ± 3 (range: 20-30)	70 ± 7 <u>(range: 60-84)</u>
Height (m)	1.62 ± 0.43	1.66 ± 0.84
Mass (kg)	69.69 ± 11.80	68.49 ± 16.46
Visual Acuity (LogMAR)	$-0.06 \pm 0.30*$	0.13 ± 0.17
Contrast Sensitivity (LogCS)	1.83 ± 0.24	1.70 ± 0.24

* = significant difference from a Mann-Whitney U test comparing visual acuity between young and older adults (U = 33, p = <.001).

410

411 5.2. Experimental design

To determine i) how changes in perceived riser height link to changes in foot clearance, and ii) whether repeated stepping interactions with the HV illusion on physical stairs led to changes in perception, participants completed the previously described psychophysical assessment (experiment 1D) before and following the stair ascent assessment.

416

417 Participants were asked to ascend a seven-step custom-built instrumented staircase at a self-418 selected speed under five different stair visual conditions. Each HV illusion design from the 419 previous experiment 1D (Figure 2; 70-30% design referred herein as SF12) represented an 420 individual condition alongside a plain condition (control). These designs were selected based upon the presence of a perceptual effect in older adults from experiment 1D. Figure 3 shows 421 422 examples of how the HV illusions appeared on the staircase. Three successful ascent trials were 423 collected for each visual condition (totalling fifteen trials) which were performed consecutively 424 as a block and randomised for each participant. For each block condition, the HV illusion 425 design was superimposed onto the first and last step as these represent transitional steps where 426 most trips/falls occur (Startzell et al., 2000). Participants began each trial approximately 427 two/three steps away from the staircase from the same fixed position. Participants were 428 instructed to cross the first step with the same self-selected foot for each trial, ascend the stairs 429 in a step overstep manner and continue walking to the end of the top landing after crossing the 430 last step. Participants were free to use the handrails if preferred. Following the completion of 431 a trial, participants were asked to walk back down the stairs to the starting position and to step

432 over one or two low-height obstacles placed on the starting walkway to disrupt any 433 somatosensory interference from the stair descent (Foster et al., 2015). When changing visual 434 condition, participants were instructed to look away from the staircase to minimise out-of-trial 435 visuomotor planning. When on the stairs, participants were secured into an overhead safety 436 harness operated by a trained belayer positioned adjacent to the staircase. Participants were 437 asked to wear tight fitted clothing, flat soled shoes and were familiarised with the testing 438 protocol prior to data collection. Rest periods between trials were offered throughout and the 439 data collection took place in a single session lasting two hours.

440

For visual consistency, the laboratory staircase was covered with a commercially available grey covering to create a uniform appearance. Each step had a riser height of 20cm and a going length of 25cm which falls within current stair building regulations (Gov, 2010). Each of the HV illusion designs were paper printed in a matte finish, cut to size and reinforced onto card. A black 5.5cm edge highlighter (size conforming to building regulations) was placed onto the going above the riser abutted to the step edge to complete each HV illusion design (Foster et al., 2014; Foster et al., 2015). All other steps (steps 2-6) remained plain with the grey covering.



Figure 3. Examples of the HV illusion (SF1 and SF12) superimposed onto the first and last stepof a seven-step staircase with handrails.

450

451 5.3. Data collection

452 A 26-camera motion capture system (Vicon MX, Oxford Metrics, UK) captured whole body 453 kinematics at 120 Hz. The conventional Plug-in Gait marker set was used to model whole body 454 kinematics with additional markers and clusters placed on the head and lower limbs for marker 455 redundancy and to avoid occlusion from stair apparatus. A static calibration (anatomical pose) 456 was captured to acquire whole body marker coordinates. A digitising wand (C-Motion, 457 Germantown, MD, USA) was used to create virtual landmarks on the toe and heel-tips of 458 participants' shoes in a separate dedicated capture. Toe-tip landmarks were created on the most 459 anterior, inferior aspect of the shoe, heel-tip landmarks were created at the most posterior, 460 inferior aspect of the shoe. The digitising wand was also used to create virtual landmarks that 461 defined the location of each individual step edge on the staircase.

462

463 Previous video analysis indicates one of the differences between fallers and non-fallers results 464 from insufficient visual scanning of stairs (Templer, 1995). Gaze duration was therefore 465 measured using a mobile binocular eye tracker (Pupil Labs Core, Pupil Labs, Berlin) to assess 466 whether the presence of the HV illusions on steps attract greater visual attention. The eye 467 tracker cameras were adjusted, calibrated, and validated for each participant prior to stair ascent 468 trials and captured gaze activity at 120Hz. No eye tracking was used with participants wearing 469 contact lenses or glasses to avoid potential distortion of the pupil image captured from the eye 470 cameras.

- 471
- 472 5.4. Data processing & analysis

All marker data were labelled, and gap filled in Vicon (Vicon Nexus 2.6, Oxford Metrics), and exported as c3d files for analysis using Visual 3D (C-Motion, Germantown, MD, USA). Raw gaze data were filtered with the Pupil Labs offline fixation detector (2° maximum dispersion angle, 60ms minimum duration) and then subsequently analysed in Pupil Player software. Step fixations were defined as continuous gaze for a minimum of two video frames on either the riser or going of the step. Gaze was considered to be directed onto a step when half of the gaze circle was overlapping a step.

480

481 Marker data were filtered using a fourth order Butterworth bidirectional filter (cut-off 482 frequency 6Hz). In addition to lead vertical foot clearance, we measured margins of stability 483 (MOS) in the anterior-posterior (AP) and mediolateral (ML) directions, foot overhang and stair 484 speed. These measures determined whether the mismatch of information from the perceived 485 riser height and the actual riser height from the HV illusions disrupted normal dynamic stability 486 and stepping characteristics. Outcome measures were calculated on each of the seven steps. 487 Lead vertical foot clearance, defined as the vertical distance of the virtual toe tip landmark to 488 the step edge was extracted at the point where the difference in AP position between the step 489 edge and virtual toe tip landmark was zero. Whole body centre of mass (CoM) was generated 490 as a link model-based item in Visual 3D. Stair speed was calculated as the first derivative of 491 the CoM AP trajectory from the start of the trial to initial contact on the top landing of the 492 trailing foot. MOS were calculated and defined in the AP direction as the distance between the 493 extrapolated CoM (xCoM) and the virtual toe tip landmark and in the ML direction as the distance between the *xCoM* and 5th Metatarsal head (Hof, Gazendam and Sinke, 2005; Bosse 494 495 et al., 2012; Novak et al., 2016).

496

497 *xCoM* was defined as:

501

$xCoM = pCoM + vCoM/\sqrt{(gl^{-1})}$

498 where pCoM is the AP/ML position of the CoM, vCoM is the instantaneous AP/ML velocity of 499 the CoM, g is acceleration due to gravity, and l is the absolute distance between the CoM and 500 the ankle joint centre.

502

503 MOS were calculated at the point of lead vertical foot clearance over each step which represents 504 the most hazardous point for a trip. Foot overhang was defined as the distance between the 505 virtual heel tip landmark and the virtual step edge location(s), calculated as a percentage of 506 foot length. Gaze duration was calculated for each step as percentages of the trial summed 507 fixation duration. Due to technical issues with tracking of the pupil during the stair movement 508 (for example, gaze being directed below the cameras), gaze data for young adults was discarded 509 and data from six older adults were excluded from analysis.

510

511 5.5. Statistical analysis

A Two Way Mixed ANOVA compared kinematic variables for within-subject effects of visual condition (x5: plain, SF1, SF2, SF3, SF12), between-subject effects of age group (x2: young and older adult) and interactions between visual condition and age group. For gaze data, a One Way Repeated Measures ANOVA compared total step gaze durations for older adults withinsubject effects of visual condition. Separate ANOVAs were performed for each of the seven steps. <u>Residual plots were used to visually inspect all variables for normality. Data sphericity</u> <u>was assessed using Mauchly's test of Sphericity. When data violated sphericity, a Greenhouse-</u> 519 Geisser (<0.75) or Huynh-Feldt (>0.75) epsilon correction was used. Significant main effects 520 were followed with post-hoc tests using a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. For 521 the computer-based perception tests, a Three Way Mixed ANOVA compared perceptual 522 responses for within-subject effects of test stimulus, (x4: SF1, SF2, SF3 and SF12), time (x2: 523 pre and post stair ascent), and between-subject effects of age group (x2: young and older). In 524 the presence of non-significance between test stimuli, data were pooled across test stimuli and 525 time within each group and a One Sample t test was used to compare the pooled perceived riser 526 height of the test stimuli to the veridical step height. Following ANOVA testing, Bayes Factors 527 were computed for the perception test to determine whether non-significance in perceived riser 528 heights between test stimuli as well as age group and time were driven by similarities. A 529 Bayesian Three Way Repeated Measures ANOVA using JASP with default priors (JASP Team 530 (2020). JASP (Version 0.14.1) [Windows 10]) was performed with test stimuli, age group and 531 time as factors. Bayes Factors (expressed as BF₀₁) are reported showing the probability of the 532 data given the null hypothesis relative to the alternative and were interpreted using the Lee and Wagenmaker's classification scheme indicating levels of evidence (Quintana and Williams, 533 534 2018). Calculation of the PSE followed the same procedure outlined in experiment 1. All 535 frequentist statistical analyses were performed in SPSS 26 (SPSS version 26.0 IBM Corp, 536 2019) with an alpha level of .05.

537

Five older adults and one young adult preferred to use the handrail during the stair ascent trials which may influence dynamic stability, therefore data from these participants were not included in statistical comparisons for MOS. In the perception tests, five older adults and four young adults showed a very high proportion of test stimulus taller responses, skewing the typical response distribution required for an accurate PSE. These data were therefore removed from all the statistical comparisons.

544

545 **6.** Results

546 6.1. Lead vertical foot clearance

Figure 4 shows vertical foot clearances across each visual condition and each individual step. There was a visual condition-by-age interaction effect on vertical foot clearance on step 7 ($F_{(4, 116)}=5.431, p<.001, n^2_p=.158$). Each visual condition led to an increase in vertical foot clearance for young and older adults when compared to the plain condition, but this increase was greater in older adults (1.2-2.1cm) compared to young (0.2-0.8cm). There was also a significant main effect of visual condition on lead vertical foot clearance on step 1 ($F_{(2.611, 75.731)}=6.36$, p=.001, n²_p=.18). SF12 increased lead vertical foot clearance by 1.19 cm when compared to plain (p=.017) and increased by 1.16 cm when compared to SF1 (p=.033). No other differences between visual condition on step 1 were found.

556

557 6.2. Computer based perception tests

558 The Three-way mixed ANOVA did not find any interaction effects ($F_{(3, 63)}=2.396$, p=.077, 559 $n_p^2=.102$), main effects between test stimuli (F_(3,63)=2.462, p=.071, $n_p^2=.105$), between groups $(F_{(1,21)}=1.439, p=.244, n^2_p=.064)$ or between time points $(F_{(1,21)}=.002, p=.969, n^2_p=.000)$. Data 560 for each test stimulus and time were subsequently pooled for each group and compared to the 561 562 veridical riser height. The test stimuli led to a significant overestimation of the riser height 563 when compared to the veridical riser height in older adults (11% increase; p < .001) and young 564 adults (13% increase; p < .001). Further investigation into the lack of main and interaction effects for test stimuli, age group and time using Bayesian inference showed the effect of test 565 stimuli was 2.2 ($BF_{01}=0.453$) times more likely under the alternate hypothesis than the null 566 representing anecdotal evidence. The effect of age group and time were found to be 1.4 (BF_{01} = 567 568 1.459) and 2.3 (BF₀₁= 2.352) times more likely under the null hypothesis, each representing 569 anecdotal evidence. The best performing interaction model of test stimuli, age group and time was found to be 3.2 (BF_{01} = 3.233) times more likely under the null hypothesis representing 570 571 moderate evidence.

572

573 6.3. MOS, foot overhang, gaze and stair speed

Table 2 shows values for MOS, foot overhang and gaze duration for step 1 and step 7 across visual conditions. MOS remained unaffected by the visual conditions across all steps for both groups (p>.05). No differences were observed in foot overhang across visual conditions, steps or group (p>.05). Gaze duration on each step was not significantly different across each of the visual conditions (p>.05). Stair ascent speed was not significantly different between visual conditions or between groups (Older: 0.484-0.511 m.s⁻¹, young: 0.539-0.545 m.s⁻¹; p>.05).



Figure 4. Older (top panel) and young (bottom panel) lead vertical foot clearances over seven 581 582 steps and perceived riser heights in response to each HV illusion. Box plots present the mean (•) and median (-). † Denotes an interaction effect of visual condition and age on step 7. For 583 584 both groups on step 7, each HV illusion led to increased vertical foot clearances compared to 585 plain, with greater increases in older adults compared to young. [‡] Denotes significant increases 586 in vertical foot clearance across all HV illusions on step 7, and SF12 for step 1 when compared to plain. ** Represents significant increases in vertical foot clearance on step 1 with SF12 587 588 compared to SF1. * Denotes significant increases in perceived riser height compared to veridical riser height across test stimuli for young and older adults. 589

580

Table 3. MOS, foot overhang and gaze durations on step 1 and step 7 across visual conditions. Negative and positive MOS values represent an extrapolated centre of mass ahead (A/P) and inside (M/L) the boundary of support respectively. Foot overhang values represent percentages of foot length, with negative values indicating no overhang. Gaze duration values represent percentage of total fixation durations summed.

			Step 1					Step 7		
	Plain	SF1	SF2	SF3	SF12	Plain	SF1	SF2	SF3	SF12
MOS A/P	(m)									
Older	-0.13	-0.14	-0.15	-0.14	-0.12	-0.11	-0.13	-0.13	-0.13	-0.12
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05
Young	-0.13	-0.13	-0.12	-0.12	-0.13	-0.16	-0.17	-0.17	-0.16	-0.16
	\pm 0.07	± 0.06	± 0.06	± 0.06	\pm 0.07	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm 0.02	\pm
	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04
MOS M/L	. (m)									
Older	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.10
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Young	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11
	± 0.01	± 0.01	$\stackrel{\pm}{0.02}$	$\stackrel{\pm}{0.02}$	$\stackrel{\pm}{_{002}}$	$\overset{\pm}{}_{002}$	$\stackrel{\pm}{0.02}$	$\overset{\pm}{0.02}$	\pm 0.01	\pm 0.02
	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02
Foot overl	hang (%)									
Older	27.42	26.46	26.33	26.76	24.83	-42.71	-49.86	-50.50	-50.86	-51.00
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	17.91	11.57	13.51	11.03	14.75	28.88	32.47	30.62	28.68	26.52
Young	28.17	28.94	27.69	30.17	27.95	-58.07	-54.92	-61.83	-58.14	-52.77
	± 7 2 4	± 6 25	± 6.56	± 15.19	± 8 71	± 22.06	± 22.85	± 26.26	± 27.80	± 24.25
	/.34	0.55	0.30	13.18	0./1	55.90	33.83	30.30	57.89	34.23
Gaze duration (%)										
Older	17.72	16.21	18.09	15.03	21.18	17.21	21.95	21.79	19.64	17.75
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	14.11	12.45	13.72	9.54	11.20	8.90	9.94	12.02	11.40	11.56

591

592 **7. Discussion**

593 The main aim of this experiment was to assess the perception-action link between perceived 594 riser height and foot clearance in response to modified HV illusion designs, primarily in older 595 adults. We hypothesised <u>that both young and older adults would show increases in perceived</u> 596 <u>riser height and foot clearance, but to a greater extent in young adults.</u> Findings show increases 597 in perceived riser height <u>with no age group differences</u> and <u>increases in</u> foot clearance <u>in both</u> 598 <u>age groups, though older adults showed larger increases in foot clearance compared to young.</u> 599 Our hypothesis is <u>therefore partly</u> supported. 600 The findings here show indications of a perception-action link in older and young adults 601 responding to the stair HV illusions. We found increases in foot clearance across all HV 602 illusions on step 7 and with SF12 on step 1 alongside increases in perceived riser heights that 603 remained unaffected after exposure to ascending stairs with the illusion. This perception-action 604 link is notable for step 7, where a foot clearance increase occurred despite the increased step 605 contacts from a longer staircase, suggesting somatosensory information here does not override 606 the visual effects of the illusion. This may also mean that increases in foot clearance may still 607 occur if stairs with superimposed HV illusions are encountered again by a stair user, though 608 this requires further testing. The increases in foot clearance varied in magnitude dependent 609 upon the HV illusion design. For step 1, SF12 resulted in a significant and larger increase in 610 foot clearance whereas the remaining illusions resulted in foot clearances that did not increase 611 significantly compared to plain. On step 7, SF12 resulted in the largest increase in foot 612 clearance compared to the other designs. The foot clearance changes in response to the HV 613 illusions here are akin to Foster et al. (2015) showing increased foot clearances with higher 614 spatial frequency designs. We show here however significant increases in the perceived riser 615 height with no significant differences between each visual condition from the perception test. 616 The smaller foot clearances with SF1, SF2 and SF3 and statistical significance on step 7 only, 617 therefore suggests the perception-action link for these HV illusions is not as strong when 618 compared to SF12. For applications on public/private stairs, this may suggest a balancing of 619 aesthetic design and foot clearance effect should be considered, i.e. for the simplest design 620 (SF1) an increased foot clearance is still possible, though a stronger effect (and link between 621 perception and action) will be achieved with a slightly more featured design (SF12). This could 622 be beneficial for choosing on stairs with extensive history of falls or where step inconsistencies 623 mean that one step is slightly raised compared to the rest. The HV illusion designs may also 624 have the added benefit of aiding safe stair descent as it incorporates an edge highlighter, positioned on the tread-edge, which helps for delineating a step edge during descent and may 625 626 lead to safer foot clearances (Foster et al., 2014).

627

Increases in foot clearance were found to be greater in older adults (2.1cm) than in young 0.8cm) in response to HV illusions. The foot clearance magnitudes we report are similar to Elliott et al. (2009), where a 0.5cm increase in young adults was found on a single step, and Foster et al. (2015) where up to a 2cm increase in older adults was found. These age differences in foot clearances have similarly been found by Lu, Chen and Chen (2006), who showed foot clearance over an obstacle increased with increasing height of an obstacle in older but not young adults. The visually taller steps (due to the HV illusions) in experiment 2 may have caused a similar effect with our older adults. These findings also corroborate the step specific effect that was found by Foster et al. (2015) on a three-step staircase whereby the increased foot clearances were pertinent to the step superimposed with the HV illusion. Here we show the same effect where significant increases in foot clearance were found across step 1 and 7 and no differences on steps 2-6.

640

641 The indication of a perception-action link found in this study suggests an association between 642 the two visual streams during stair negotiation. In line with many other studies, this may be 643 due to task specific factors and/or the type of illusion. Where perception-action disassociations 644 are reported, online feedback of the moving limb has been found with some illusions to fine 645 tune the motor estimation of the target illusion to the correct size (Glover, 2002; Hughes, Bates 646 and Aimola Davies, 2008). In the absence of this feedback, the motor estimate corresponds to 647 the illusory effect (Aglioti, DeSouza and Goodale, 1995; Gentilucci et al., 1996; Otto-de Haart, 648 Carey and Milne, 1999). On stairs, vision is used in a feedforward manner to plan for 649 approximately two to three steps ahead (Zietz and Hollands, 2009). Here it is likely the 650 participants relied on visual memory of the riser heights from feedforward scanning when 651 crossing the superimposed steps.

652

653 Older adults responded perceptually and through increased foot clearances to most of our 654 stair/step visual treatment whereas Schofield, Curzon-Jones and Hollands (2017) did not find 655 this. These authors did not present a HV-illusion stimulus but rather used subtle variations in 656 a fine-grained texture to alter the apparent illumination of a step. Older adults are less able to 657 see fined-grained textures and may thus not have observed the subtle changes presented. The 658 authors reported that their participants observed no subjective differences between step 659 conditions during the execution of the step-up task. The HV illusions from the current study 660 and from Foster et al. (2015) show noticeable differences from the plain uniform condition and 661 between HV illusion designs: the experimental manipulation is far more visible here than in the Schofield, Curzon-Jones and Hollands (2017) study. This suggests older adults may show 662 663 more adaptive foot clearances when visual cues are noticeably different to a comparison step. 664 This also highlights how visual information used for an action is not always guided by 665 conscious report of visual perception (Goodale, 2014). The adaptations found here compared 666 to Schofield and colleagues are also unlikely related to the task as Foster et al. (2015) reported a foot clearance effect in older adults with HV illusions on a single step also. 667

668 The present findings show no indication that the HV illusions lead to compromises in other measures of stair safety in young and older adults. The lack of difference in MOS suggest at 669 670 the critical instance when a trip could occur, the difference in perceived and actual height do 671 not disturb normal stair stability. Similarly, the presence of the HV illusions do not introduce 672 alterations or hesitancy in stair speed or affect foot overhang. Despite the illusions also 673 appearing visually salient to the other steps, this does not change the length of visual step 674 inspection in older adults as supported through the lack of significant finding in gaze duration. 675 These findings together suggest that the HV illusion designs do not adversely affect normal 676 stair behaviour in older and young adults despite the benefit of increased and safer foot 677 clearances.

- 678
- 679

8. Limitations and future considerations

680 The loss of gaze data resulted in a limited analysis of gaze behaviour, whereby a between age-681 group comparison of gaze durations was not possible. Although young adults show similar 682 conscious reports of perceived riser heights to older adults, it is not certain whether they acquire 683 this visual information during the stepping task in the same way, especially considering the age 684 group differences in foot clearance. An informative measurement of somatosensory adaptation 685 here could have included superimposing the HV illusions on every step to determine whether foot clearances readjust back to the physical rather than perceived step height. However, this 686 687 could result in a more exhausting stair action that compromises stair safety for an older adult. 688 Linear increases in metabolic cost are found with increased foot lift during over ground walking 689 (Faraji, Wu and Ijspeert, 2018). Stair walking is a more metabolically expensive form of locomotion (Bassett et al., 1997; Teh and Aziz, 2002) suggesting repeatedly increasing foot 690 691 clearance could have a considerable energy expenditure consequence for older adults. Future 692 research should also examine the effectiveness of the HV illusion on stairs with an 693 inconsistently taller step which is a known and common hazard for stair falls (Cohen, LaRue 694 and Cohen, 2009). Francksen et al. (2020) showed older and young adults do not adjust foot trajectories over a single mid-stair step, inconsistently taller by 1cm, suggesting slightly taller 695 696 steps are not visually detected. In this scenario, increasing foot clearance using a HV illusion 697 could help to increase foot clearance and reduce fall-risk. Participants wore a safety harness 698 during the stepping task to arrest a potential stair fall which could affect the typical stair 699 walking behaviour and margins of stability. Future research should examine the effectiveness 700 of the HV illusions on public/private stairs which circumvents harness restrictions.

701

702 9. Conclusion

Modified versions of the HV illusion were effective at increasing the perceived riser height and
 foot clearance on a seven-step staircase, indicating a perception-action link in older adults. This

705 was at no detriment to other stair safety measures. The modified HV illusion designs may be

helpful in reducing older adult stair falls, but this should be evaluated next on public/private

- staircases, most importantly where there are inconsistently taller risers known to be hazardous
- for a fall.
- 709

710 Funding

- 711 This project was supported by a Liverpool John Moores University PhD scholarship.
- 712
- 713 **References**
- Aglioti, S., DeSouza, J.F. and Goodale, M.A. (1995) Size-contrast illusions deceive the eye but not the hand. *Current Biology*, 5 (6), 679-685.
- 716

719

- Anderson, A.J. and Vingrys, A.J. (2001) Small samples: does size matter? *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science*, 42 (7), 1411-1413.
- Avery, G.C. and Day, R.H. (1969) Basis of the horizontal-vertical illusion. *J Exp Psychol*, 81
 (2), 376-380 DOI: 10.1037/h0027737.
- Bach, M. (1996) The Freiburg Visual Acuity Test-automatic measurement of visual acuity. *Optometry and vision science*, 73 (1), 49-53.
- Bassett, D.R., Vachon, J.A., Kirkland, A.O., Howley, E.T., Duncan, G.E. and Johnson, K.R.
 (1997) Energy cost of stair climbing and descending on the college alumnus questionnaire. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*, 29 (9), 1250-1254.
- Beck, D.M., Emanuele, B. and Savazzi, S. (2013) A new illusion of height and width: taller
 people are perceived as thinner. *Psychonomic bulletin & review*, 20 (6), 1154-1160.
- Berg, W.P., Alessio, H.M., Mills, E.M. and Tong, C. (1997) Circumstances and consequences
 of falls in independent community-dwelling older adults. *Age Ageing*, 26 (4), 261-268 DOI:
 10.1093/ageing/26.4.261.
- 736

732

- Bosse, I., Oberlander, K.D., Savelberg, H.H., Meijer, K., Bruggemann, G.P. and Karamanidis,
 K. (2012) Dynamic stability control in younger and older adults during stair descent. *Hum Mov Sci*, 31 (6), 1560-1570 DOI: 10.1016/j.humov.2012.05.003.
- 740
- 741 Bruno, N., Bernardis, P. and Gentilucci, M. (2008) Visually guided pointing, the Müller-Lyer
- illusion, and the functional interpretation of the dorsal-ventral split: conclusions from 33
- independent studies. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 32 (3), 423-437.
- 744

745 Bruno, N. and Franz, V.H. (2009) When is grasping affected by the Müller-Lyer illusion?: A 746 quantitative review. Neuropsychologia, 47 (6), 1421-1433. 747 748 Chapman, G.J., Vale, A., Buckley, J., Scally, A.J. and Elliott, D.B. (2010) Adaptive gait 749 changes in long-term wearers of contact lens monovision correction. Ophthalmic Physiol 750 Opt, 30 (3), 281-288 DOI: 10.1111/j.1475-1313.2010.00725.x. 751 752 Cohen, J., LaRue, C.A. and Cohen, H.H. (2009) Stairway falls an ergonomics analysis of 80 753 cases. Professional Safety, 54 (01). 754 755 Elliott, D.B., Foster, R.J., Whitaker, D., Scally, A.J. and Buckley, J.G. (2015) Analysis of gait 756 kinematics to determine the effect of manipulating the appearance of stairs to improve safety: 757 a linked series of laboratory-based, repeated measures studies. Public Health Research, 3. 758 759 Elliott, D.B., Vale, A., Whitaker, D. and Buckley, J.G. (2009) Does my step look big in this? 760 A visual illusion leads to safer stepping behaviour. PLoS One, 4 (2), e4577. 761 762 Faraji, S., Wu, A.R. and Ijspeert, A.J. (2018) A simple model of mechanical effects to estimate metabolic cost of human walking. Scientific reports, 8 (1), 1-12. 763 764 765 Foster, R.J., Hotchkiss, J., Buckley, J.G. and Elliott, D.B. (2014) Safety on stairs: influence of a tread edge highlighter and its position. Exp Gerontol, 55, 152-158 DOI: 766 767 10.1016/j.exger.2014.04.009. 768 769 Foster, R.J., Whitaker, D., Scally, A.J., Buckley, J.G. and Elliott, D.B. (2015) What you see is 770 what you step: the horizontal-vertical illusion increases toe clearance in older adults during 771 stair ascent. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 56 (5), 2950-2957 DOI: 10.1167/iovs.14-16018. 772 773 Francksen, N.C., Ackermans, T.M.A., Holzer, D., Ebner, S.A., Maganaris, C.N., Hollands, M.A., Karamanidis, K., Roys, M. and O'Brien, T.D. (2020) Negotiating stairs with an 774 775 inconsistent riser: Implications for stepping safety. Appl Ergon, 87, 103131 DOI: 776 10.1016/j.apergo.2020.103131. 777 778 Franz, V., Scharnowski, F. and Gegenfurtner, K.R. (2005) Illusion effects on grasping are 779 temporally constant not dynamic. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception 780 and Performance, 31 (6), 1359. 781 782 Franz, V.H. and Gegenfurtner, K.R. (2008) Grasping visual illusions: Consistent data and no 783 dissociation. Cognitive neuropsychology, 25 (7-8), 920-950. 784 785 Ganel, T., Tanzer, M. and Goodale, M.A. (2008) A double dissociation between action and perception in the context of visual illusions: opposite effects of real and illusory size. 786 787 Psychological Science, 19 (3), 221-225. 788 789 Gentilucci, M., Chieffi, S., Deprati, E., Saetti, M.C. and Toni, I. (1996) Visual illusion and 790 action. Neuropsychologia, 34 (5), 369-376 DOI: 10.1016/0028-3932(95)00128-x. 791 792 Glover, S. (2002) Visual illusions affect planning but not control. Trends in cognitive 793 sciences, 6 (7), 288-292. 794

795 Goodale, M.A. (2014) How (and why) the visual control of action differs from visual 796 perception. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 281 (1785), 20140337. 797 798 Goodale, M.A. and Milner, A.D. (1992) Separate visual pathways for perception and action. 799 Trends Neurosci, 15 (1), 20-25 DOI: 10.1016/0166-2236(92)90344-8. 800 801 Gov. (2010) Protection from falling, collision and impact [online] 802 Available at: 803 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment data/ 804 file/443181/BR PDF AD K 2013.pdf 805 806 807 Hermes, D., Kasteleijn-Nolst Trenite, D.G.A. and Winawer, J. (2017) Gamma oscillations 808 and photosensitive epilepsy. Curr Biol, 27 (9), R336-R338 DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.03.076. 809 810 Hof, A.L., Gazendam, M.G. and Sinke, W.E. (2005) The condition for dynamic stability. J 811 Biomech, 38 (1), 1-8 DOI: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2004.03.025. 812 813 Hughes, L.E., Bates, T.C. and Aimola Davies, A.M. (2008) Dissociations in rod bisection: the 814 effect of viewing conditions on perception and action. Cortex, 44 (9), 1279-1287 DOI: 815 10.1016/j.cortex.2006.03.003. 816 817 Jacobs, J.V. (2016) A review of stairway falls and stair negotiation: Lessons learned and 818 future needs to reduce injury. Gait Posture, 49, 159-167 DOI: 819 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2016.06.030. 820 821 Kim, K. and Steinfeld, E. (2019) The effects of glass stairways on stair users: An 822 observational study of stairway safety. Safety science, 113, 30-36. 823 824 Kopiske, K.K., Bruno, N., Hesse, C., Schenk, T. and Franz, V.H. (2016) The functional 825 subdivision of the visual brain: Is there a real illusion effect on action? A multi-lab replication 826 study. Cortex, 79, 130-152. 827 828 Lord, S.R. (2006) Visual risk factors for falls in older people. Age Ageing, 35 Suppl 2 829 (suppl 2), ii42-ii45 DOI: 10.1093/ageing/afl085. 830 831 Lord, S.R. and Dayhew, J. (2001) Visual risk factors for falls in older people. J Am Geriatr Soc, 49 (5), 508-515 DOI: 10.1046/j.1532-5415.2001.49107.x. 832 833 834 Lord, S.R., Ward, J.A., Williams, P. and Anstey, K.J. (1993) An epidemiological study of falls 835 in older community-dwelling women: the Randwick falls and fractures study. Aust J Public 836 Health, 17 (3), 240-245 DOI: 10.1111/j.1753-6405.1993.tb00143.x. 837 838 Lu, T.-W., Chen, H.-L. and Chen, S.-C. (2006) Comparisons of the lower limb kinematics 839 between young and older adults when crossing obstacles of different heights. Gait & posture, 840 23 (4), 471-479. 841 842 Milner, A.D. and Goodale, M.A. (2008) Two visual systems re-viewed. Neuropsychologia, 46 843 (3), 774-785 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2007.10.005. 844

845 NHS Digital, S.C.A.T. (2020) Hospital Admitted Patient Care Activity 2019-20 [online] 846 Available at: https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/hospital-847 admitted-patient-care-activity/2019-20 848 [Accessed: 17 December] 849 850 Novak, A.C., Komisar, V., Maki, B.E. and Fernie, G.R. (2016) Age-related differences in 851 dynamic balance control during stair descent and effect of varying step geometry. Appl 852 Ergon, 52, 275-284 DOI: 10.1016/j.apergo.2015.07.027. 853 Otto-de Haart, E.G., Carey, D.P. and Milne, A.B. (1999) More thoughts on perceiving and 854 grasping the Muller-Lyer illusion. *Neuropsychologia*, 37 (13), 1437-1444 DOI: 855 856 10.1016/s0028-3932(99)00070-6. 857 858 Parede, T.R., Torricelli, A.A., Mukai, A., Vieira Netto, M. and Bechara, S.J. (2013) Quality of 859 vision in refractive and cataract surgery, indirect measurers: review article. Arg Bras Oftalmol, 76 (6), 386-390 DOI: 10.1590/s0004-27492013000600016. 860 861 862 Peirce, J., Grav, J.R., Simpson, S., MacAskill, M., Höchenberger, R., Sogo, H., Kastman, E. and Lindeløv, J.K. (2019) PsychoPy2: Experiments in behavior made easy. Behavior research 863 864 methods, 51 (1), 195-203. 865 866 Quintana, D.S. and Williams, D.R. (2018) Bayesian alternatives for common null-hypothesis 867 significance tests in psychiatry: a non-technical guide using JASP. BMC psychiatry, 18 (1), 1-868 8. 869 870 Rhea, C.K., Rietdyk, S. and Haddad, J.M. (2010) Locomotor adaptation versus perceptual 871 adaptation when stepping over an obstacle with a height illusion. PLoS One, 5 (7), e11544. 872 873 Schofield, A.J., Curzon-Jones, B. and Hollands, M.A. (2017) Reduced sensitivity for visual textures affects judgments of shape-from-shading and step-climbing behaviour in older 874 875 adults. Exp Brain Res, 235 (2), 573-583 DOI: 10.1007/s00221-016-4816-0. 876 877 Smeets, J.B. and Brenner, E. (2006) 10 years of illusions. Journal of Experimental 878 Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 32 (6), 1501. 879 880 Startzell, J.K., Owens, D.A., Mulfinger, L.M. and Cavanagh, P.R. (2000) Stair negotiation in 881 older people: a review. J Am Geriatr Soc, 48 (5), 567-580 DOI: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2000.tb05006.x. 882 883 884 Stöttinger, E., Soder, K., Pfusterschmied, J., Wagner, H. and Perner, J. (2010) Division of 885 labour within the visual system: fact or fiction? Which kind of evidence is appropriate to 886 clarify this debate? Experimental brain research, 202 (1), 79-88. 887 888 Teh, K.C. and Aziz, A.R. (2002) Heart rate, oxygen uptake, and energy cost of ascending and 889 descending the stairs. Medicine and science in sports and exercise, 34 (4), 695-699. 890 891 Templer, J. (1995) The staircase: studies of hazards, falls, and safer design. MIT press. 892 893 Westwood, D.A., Heath, M. and Roy, E.A. (2000) The effect of a pictorial illusion on closed-894 loop and open-loop prehension. Experimental brain research, 134 (4), 456-463.

895

- 896 WHO, W.H.O. (2019) *ICD-11 for Mortality and Morbidity Statistics* [online]
- 897 Available at: <u>https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en</u>
- 898 [Accessed: 14/08/20]
- 899
- 900 Wilkins, A., Nimmo-Smith, I., Tait, A., McManus, C., Della Sala, S., Tilley, A., Arnold, K.,
- Barrie, M. and Scott, S. (1984) A neurological basis for visual discomfort. *Brain*, 107 (Pt 4)
- 902 (4), 989-1017 DOI: 10.1093/brain/107.4.989.
- 903
- 204 Zietz, D. and Hollands, M. (2009) Gaze behavior of young and older adults during stair
- 905 walking. J Mot Behav, 41 (4), 357-365 DOI: 10.3200/JMBR.41.4.357-366.
- 906

907