

LJMU Research Online

Zajitschek, F, Zajitschek, S and Manier, M

High-protein paternal diet confers an advantage to sons in sperm competition

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/12534/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Zajitschek, F, Zajitschek, S and Manier, M (2017) High-protein paternal diet confers an advantage to sons in sperm competition. Biology Letters, 13 (2). ISSN 1744-957X

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

BIOLOGY LETTERS

rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org

Research



Cite this article: Zajitschek F, Zajitschek S, Manier M. 2017 High-protein paternal diet confers an advantage to sons in sperm competition. *Biol. Lett.* **13**: 20160914. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2016.0914

Received: 24 November 2016 Accepted: 20 January 2017

Subject Areas:

behaviour, ecology, evolution

Keywords:

transgenerational effects, gene expression, parental effects, postcopulatory sexual selection, RNAseq, transcriptomics

Author for correspondence:

Mollie Manier e-mail: manier@gwu.edu

[†]These authors contributed equally to this study.

Electronic supplementary material is available online at https://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.fig-share.c.3679372.



Evolutionary biology

High-protein paternal diet confers an advantage to sons in sperm competition

Felix Zajitschek^{1,2,†}, Susanne Zajitschek^{1,2,3,†} and Mollie Manier¹

¹Department of Biological Sciences, George Washington University, Washington, DC, USA ²School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia ³Doñana Biological Station, EBD-CSIC, Seville, Spain

(D) FZ, 0000-0001-6010-6112; SZ, 0000-0003-4676-9950; MM, 0000-0001-9114-8649

Parental environment can widely influence offspring phenotype, but paternal effects in the absence of parental care remain poorly understood. We asked if protein content in the larval diet of fathers affected paternity success and gene expression in their sons. We found that males reared on high-protein diet had sons that fared better during sperm competition, suggesting that postcopulatory sexual selection is subject to transgenerational paternal effects. Moreover, immune response genes were downregulated in sons of low-protein fathers, while genes involved in metabolic and reproductive processes were upregulated.

1. Introduction

Parental effects can be triggered by diverse factors and describe non-genetic contributions of parents to offspring developmental phenotypes. Maternal effects are well documented, but less-understood paternal effects can also significantly impact offspring phenotypes [1,2], including sexually selected traits [3–5], even when males contribute only sperm [1,5,6]. Paternal diet, in particular, can influence offspring traits, if females choosing sperm from males adapted to the local nutritional environment produce offspring with higher fitness [7]. Molecular mechanisms of transgenerational paternal diet effects remain poorly understood but include altered methylation in metabolism-linked loci (reviewed in [8]), perturbed glucose–insulin homeostasis [9], altered cholesterol biosynthesis [10], and modified chromatin states related to obesity [6]. Here, we examine how high- and low-protein paternal larval diet influences postcopulatory sexual selection and gene expression in sons of *Drosophila melanogaster*.

2. Material and methods

Experimental *D. melanogaster* expressed green fluorescent protein (GFP) in sperm heads and ubiquitously in somatic cells for paternity assignment (focal males) or red fluorescent protein (RFP; females and competitor males) in sperm heads [11]. GFP larvae were reared on high- (HP; 200 g yeast : 50 g sugar) or low-protein (LP; 50 g yeast : 50 g sugar) diet known to yield 80-96% survival [12]. For each treatment, 10 vials were prepared upon eclosion, each with five CO₂-collected males and five same-stock females reared on standard diet (SD; 100 g yeast : 50 g sugar), housed in SD vials (see electronic supplementary material for more detailed methods). Virgin focal sons were transferred to SD until mating. Three-day-old virgin SD RFP females were first mated with SD RFP competitor males (day 0) in individual vials and provided 6 h opportunities to remate with a focal son for 4 subsequent days (days 1–4) under continuous observation. After remating, females oviposited on fresh SD food vials for 4 days. Paternity of adult offspring [13] was determined using a Nikon SMZ18 fluorescent stereoscope. P_2 was calculated as the proportion of

© 2017 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

2



Figure 1. Paternity share (P_2) of sons from fathers on either high or low larval diet.

GFP-sired progeny, and data were analysed with logistic regressions with binomial error structure (glm in R v. 3.2.0 [14]).

RNA was extracted from two replicates of 20 7-day-old focal sons per treatment using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen) and quantified using Agilent Bioanalyzer. Illumina TruSeq mRNA stranded libraries were constructed, and 76 bp paired-end sequences were obtained on an Illumina NextSeq 500, replicated across two flow cells, with within-sample replicates pooled for further analysis [15]. We performed RNASeq data analysis using the Tuxedo Protocol in the DNA Subway online platform [16] with quality control using FASTX-Toolkit (v. 0.0.13.2). Reads were mapped to the *D. melanogaster* transcriptome and genome (Ensembl r76, BDPG5) using ToPHAT (v. 2.0.11, [17]). Differentially expressed (DE) genes were identified using CUFFDIFF (v. 2.1.1, [16]) at a *q*-value < 0.05 after false discovery rate correction [18]. Results were visualized with CUMMERBUND and CYTOSCAPE (for biological networks, [19]) in R.

3. Results

 P_2 of sons from fathers on high larval diet was higher than that of sons from low larval diet fathers (estimate \pm s.e. = -0.216 ± 0.077 , Z = -2.80, p = 0.005; figure 1). Of 69 DE genes ($q \le 0.05$; fold change > 1.5), 58 were downregulated (fold change 1.54–10.6; mean \pm s.e. = 2.30 ± 1.46) in LP sons related to immune response, specifically antimicrobial humoral response and response to insecticides and other toxins (figure 2*a*). Eleven genes were upregulated primarily in reproductive and metabolic functions (fold change 1.66–6.2; mean \pm s.e. = 2.83 ± 1.54 ; see table 1, electronic supplementary material table S1 and figure 2*b*).

4. Discussion

Sons of fathers reared on LP diet fared worse in sperm competition, with associated downregulation of immune response genes and upregulation of genes involved in metabolism and reproduction. Non-mutually exclusive mechanisms of paternal effects on paternity success include seminal fluid and other ejaculate effects [20] and cryptic female choice [21]. Females may have been able to detect treatment-induced variation in male behaviour and may have allocated more resources into reproduction with descendants of high-diet males. It is well known that high-quality diet positively affects male sexual characters [22], fitness [23] and subsequent female



Figure 2. (*a*) Downregulated gene clusters with GO (gene ontology) terms in sons of fathers on low diet. (*b*) Upregulated gene clusters with GO terms in sons of fathers on low diet. Nodes with significantly enriched GO terms are shown in colour.

choice [24]. Indeed, the gene paralytic (para) affects courtship song [25] and male olfaction in response to female pheromones [26] and was downregulated in sons of LP fathers. As downregulation of para reduces neuronal excitability [27], it is conceivable that negative fitness effects include lower-quality courtship song and reduced olfaction ability, which are very important factors in female precopulatory choice [28]. However, while higher latency (willingness) to mate and reduced mating duration for males with low-quality courtship song and reduced olfactory ability may be expected, we did not find an effect of paternal diet regime on mating duration, and we did not investigate more detailed behavioural traits to confirm correlational outcomes with the expression of para. Only few studies have so far reported transgenerational effects in relation to diet quality [29,30]. To our knowledge, this is the first study reporting on postcopulatory advantages conferred by parental diet.

Importantly, DE genes confirm the existence of differences between sons of fathers reared on different diets, enabling further investigations of transgenerationally affected sexually selected traits. Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are upregulated by *D. melanogaster* when challenged by Gram-negative bacteria [31,32]. Downregulation of these AMPs in sons of LP fathers in our study might therefore be a form of immunosuppression, which, according to theory, trades off against sexually selected traits [33]. Thus, reproductive fitness of LP sons might have been even lower if immunosuppression had not occurred.

biological function	antimicrobial	fatty acid elongation	courtship song	seminal vesicle protein	seminal vesicle protein	response to endoplasmic reticulum stress	regulation of GTPase activity	chitin-based cuticle development	various	proteolysis	chitin-based embryonic cutide biosynthetic process	mitochondrial respiratory chain complex l	gamete generation	learning	catabolic process	nervous system development	calcium ion import			dendrite morphogenesis		proteolysis		cAMP biosynthetic process	cellular response to starvation	microtubule binding	neurogenesis
description (gene product)	attacin-D		paralytic	yolk protein 1	yolk protein 2			cuticular protein 92F	jelly belly		dumpy		mei-P26	dopamine receptor	acetylcholine esterase	Zn finger homeodomain 2	Ca ²⁺ -channel protein alpha 1 subunit T			scratch		Corin	dumpy	AG		karst	yolk protein 3
<i>q</i> -value	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.03	0.0171	0.03	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171	0.0171
low diet (FPMK)	5.94	0.52	0.24	4.55	4.84	0.66	0.55	1.24	0.67	8.09	0.68	27.87	0.71	0.27	4.87	0.89	1.04	3.00	3.20	1.16	577.54	0.59	0.59	0.75	0.82	9.78	9.38
high diet (FPKM)	62.98	3.34	1.54	0.73	0.88	3.31	1.97	3.47	1.84	3.03	1.77	10.91	1.75	0.62	11.17	2.02	2.26	6.38	6.81	2.46	274.25	1.23	1.25	1.58	1.71	19.76	4.68
direction (low diet)	down	down	down	dn	dn	down	down	down	down	dn	down	dn	down	down	down	down	down	down	down	down	dn	down	down	down	down	down	dn
fold change	10.6	6.45	6.31	6.2	5.49	5	3.62	2.8	2.73	2.67	2.62	2.55	2.47	2.31	2.29	2.27	2.16	2.13	2.13	2.11	2.11	2.1	2.1	2.09	2.08	2.02	2
gene	AttD	CG8534	para	Yp1	Yp2	CG11873	CG42795	Cpr92F	Jeb	CG9377	Dp	CG40472	mei-P26	DopR	Ace	zfh2	Ca-alpha1T	CG30069	CR40685	Scrt	CR40469	Corin	Dp	Ac3	CG13185	Kst	Yp3

Table 1. Differentially expressed genes in sons ($q \le 0.05$) at ≥ 2 -fold. FPKM, fragments per kilobase of transcript per million reads mapped. If no further information on a gene is available, cells have been left blank.

3

4

Indeed, sexually selected male *D. melanogaster* that showed higher competitive mating ability had lowered immune function, compared with control males [34].

The two most upregulated genes in sons of low-diet fathers are *YP1* and *YP2*. While the suggested functional annotation, vitellogenesis, is clearly a female-limited function, effects of *YP1* and *YP2* in male *D. melanogaster* [35] and the moth *Spodoptora littoralis* [36] include yolk protein precursors, which directly interact with spermatozoa. *YP2* coats the spermatozoa and might provide protection or aid in gamete recognition. However, the functional significance of these proteins has not been established, and we have no knowledge about how upregulation of *YP1* and *YP2* may influence reproductive fitness in male fruit flies.

The direction of regulation of proteolysis (*CG9377*), biosynthesis of chitin-based cuticle (*Cpr92F* and *dp*) and gamete generation (*mei-P26*) is consistent with organismal preparation for a suboptimal nutritional environment, investing less and recycling more. Intriguingly, *CG9377* has been also found to be upregulated in brains of male *D. melanogaster* courting females, compared with non-courting males [37], establishing another link of our paternal diet treatment to precopulatory sexual selection (although the direction of the effect seems to promote courtship, rather than reduce it, as discussed above). Valtonen *et al.* [38] found substantial transgenerational effects of larval diet on development time and adult body size in *D. melanogaster*, but not on pathogen resistance. The different findings in immune response between [38] and the presented study may be due to the efficiency of the manipulated media. Diet components and protein : carbohydrate ratios are difficult to compare between studies, owing to use of different protein (P) and carbohydrate (C) sources. Crude estimates of P:C ratios and the withinstudy difference between ratios were much higher in our study (low = 0.4, high = 8; [38] assuming 100 g of sugar/ litre diet: low = 0.07, standard = 0.14), illustrating the need to employ a more exact nutritional framework to determine high-resolution reaction norms of traits of interest [39].

Data accessibility. Data on paternity success are archived in Dryad (http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.9qs53) [13]; sequencing reads are deposited in NCBI under BioProject number PRJNA360276.

Authors' contributions. F.Z., S.Z. and M.M. designed and performed the experiment; F.Z. and S.Z. analysed data; F.Z., S.Z. and M.M. wrote the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript and agree to be held accountable for the content therein. Competing interests. We declare no competing interests.

Funding. The research was supported by NSF (DEB-1257859) and GW CCFF awards to M.M., and the Andalucía Talent Hub and the Spanish Severa Ochoa Programme to S.Z.

Acknowledgements. Sarah Josway assisted with data collection. We thank an anonymous reviewer and Juliano Morimoto for helpful comments.

References

- Crean AJ, Bonduriansky R. 2014 What is a paternal effect? *Trends Ecol. Evol* 29, 554–559. (doi:10.1016/ j.tree.2014.07.009)
- Soubry A, Hoyo C, Jirtle RL, Murphy SK. 2014 A paternal environmental legacy: evidence for epigenetic inheritance through the male germ line. *Bioessays* 36, 359–371. (doi:10.1002/bies. 201300113)
- Garcia-Gonzalez F, Dowling DK. 2015 Transgenerational effects of sexual interactions and sexual conflict: non-sires boost the fecundity of females in the following generation. *Biol. Lett.* 11, 20150067. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2015.0067)
- Qvarnstrom A, Price TD. 2001 Maternal effects, paternal effects and sexual selection. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 16, 95–100. (doi:10.1016/s0169-5347(00)02063-2)
- Zajitschek S, Hotzy C, Zajitschek F, Immler S. 2014 Short-term variation in sperm competition causes sperm-mediated epigenetic effects on early offspring performance in the zebrafish. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 281, 20140422. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.0422)
- Öst A *et al.* 2014 Paternal diet defines offspring chromatin state and intergenerational obesity. *Cell* **159**, 1352–1364. (doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014. 11.005)
- Holman L, Kokko H. 2014 The evolution of genomic imprinting: costs, benefits and long-term consequences. *Biol. Rev.* 89, 568–587. (doi:10. 1111/brv.12069)
- 8. Soubry A. 2015 Epigenetic inheritance and evolution: a paternal perspective on dietary

influences. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* **118**, 79–85. (doi:10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2015.02.008)

- Ng SF, Lin RCY, Laybutt DR, Barres R, Owens JA, Morris MJ. 2010 Chronic high-fat diet in fathers programs β-cell dysfunction in female rat offspring. *Nature* 467, 963–966. (doi:10.1038/ nature09491)
- Carone BR *et al.* 2010 Paternally induced transgenerational environmental reprogramming of metabolic gene expression in mammals. *Cell* **143**, 1084–1096. (doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.12.008)
- Manier MK, Belote JM, Berben KS, Novikov D, Stuart WT, Pitnick S. 2010 Resolving mechanisms of competitive fertilization success in *Drosophila melanogaster. Science* **328**, 354–357. (doi:10.1126/ science.1187096)
- Matzkin LM, Johnson S, Paight C, Bozinovic G, Markow TA. 2011 Dietary protein and sugar differentially affect development and metabolic pools in ecologically diverse *Drosophila*. *J. Nutr.* **141**, 1127–1133. (doi:10.3945/jn. 111.138438)
- Zajitschek F, Zajitschek S, Manier M. 2017 Data from: High protein paternal diet confers an advantage to sons in sperm competition. Dryad Digital Repository. (doi:10.5061/ dryad.9qs53)
- R Development Core Team. 2008 R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. http://www.R-project.org.

- NCBI. 2017 Drosophila melanogaster (fruit fly). Dietary transgenerational effects on sperm competition. NCBI BioProject no. PRJNA360276. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/ ?term=PRJNA360276.
- Hilgert U, McKay S, Khalfan M, Williams J, Ghiban C, Micklos D. 2014 DNA Subway: making genome analysis egalitarian. In Proc. 2014 Annual Conference on Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment. XSEDE '14, Atlanta, GA, USA 70, pp. 1–3. New York, NY: ACM.
- Trapnell C *et al.* 2012 Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. *Nat. Protoc.* 7, 562–578. (doi:10.1038/nprot.2012.016)
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. 1995 Controlling the false discovery rate—a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Methodol.* 57, 289–300. (doi:10.2307/2346101)
- Smoot ME, Ono K, Ruscheinski J, Wang P-L, Ideker T. 2011 Cytoscape 2.8: new features for data integration and network visualization. *Bioinformatics* 27, 431–432. (doi:10.1093/ bioinformatics/btq675)
- Crean AJ, Adler MI, Bonduriansky R. 2016 Seminal fluid and mate choice: new predictions. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 31, 253 – 255. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2016.02.004)
- 21. Eberhard WG. 1996 *Cryptic female choice*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- 22. Sentinella AT, Crean AJ, Bonduriansky R. 2013 Dietary protein mediates a trade-off between larval

rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org *Biol. Lett.* **13**: 20160914

5

survival and the development of male secondary sexual traits. *Funct. Ecol.* **27**, 1134–1144. (doi:10. 1111/1365-2435.12104)

- Maklakov AA *et al.* 2008 Sex-specific fitness effects of nutrient intake on reproduction and lifespan. *Curr. Biol.* **18**, 1062–1066. (doi:10.1016/j.cub. 2008.06.059)
- Xie J, De Clercq P, Zhang Y, Wu H, Pan C, Pang H. 2015 Nutrition-dependent phenotypes affect sexual selection in a ladybird. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 13111. (doi:10. 1038/srep13111)
- Peixoto AA, Hall JC. 1998 Analysis of temperaturesensitive mutants reveals new genes involved in the courtship song of *Drosophila*. *Genetics* 148, 827–838.
- Gailey DA, Lacaillade RC, Hall JC. 1986 Chemosensory elements of courtship in normal and mutant, olfaction-deficient *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Behav. Genet.* 16, 375–405. (doi:10.1007/ BF01071319)
- Stern M, Kreber R, Ganetzky B. 1990 Dosage effects of a *Drosophila* sodium channel gene on behavior and axonal excitability. *Genetics* 124, 133–143.
- Markow TA. 1987 Behavioural and sensory basis of courtship success in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 84, 6200–6204. (doi:10.1073/ pnas.84.17.6200)

- Bonduriansky R, Head ML. 2007 Maternal and paternal condition effects on offspring phenotype in *Telostylinus angusticollis* (Diptera: Neriidae). *J. Evol. Biol.* **20**, 2379–2388. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101. 2007.01419.x)
- Fricke C, Bretman A, Chapman T. 2008 Adult male nutrition and reproductive success in *Drosophila melanogaster. Evolution* 62, 3170–3177. (doi:10. 1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00515.x)
- Castillo JC, Creasy T, Kumari P, Shetty A, Shokal U, Tallon ⊔, Eleftherianos I. 2015 *Drosophila* antinematode and antibacterial immune regulators revealed by RNA-Seq. *BMC Genomics* 16, 519. (doi:10.1186/s12864-015-1690-2)
- Imler J-L, Bulet P. 2005 Antimicrobial peptides in *Drosophila*: structures, activities and gene regulation. *Chem. Immunol. Allergy* 86, 1–21. (doi:10.1159/000086648)
- McKean KA, Nunney L. 2001 Increased sexual activity reduces male immune function in *Drosophila melanogaster. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 98, 7904–7909. (doi:10.1073/pnas.131216398)
- McKean KA, Nunney L. 2008 Sexual selection and immune function in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Evolution* 62, 386–400. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646. 2007.00286.x)

- Majewska MM, Suszczynska A, Kotwica-Rolinska J, Czerwik T, Paterczyk B, Polanska MA, Bernatowicz P, Bebas P. 2014 Yolk proteins in the male reproductive system of the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*: spatial and temporal patterns of expression. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 47, 23–35. (doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.02.001)
- Bebas P, Kotwica J, Joachimiak E, Giebultowicz JM. 2008 Yolk protein is expressed in the insect testis and interacts with sperm. *BMC Dev. Biol.* 8, 64. (doi:10.1186/1471-213X-8-64)
- Ellis LL, Carney GE. 2011 Socially-responsive gene expression in male *Drosophila melanogaster* is influenced by the sex of the interacting partner. *Genetics* 187, 157–169. (doi:10.1534/genetics.110.122754)
- Valtonen TM, Kangassalo K, Pölkki M, Rantala MJ. 2012 Transgenerational effects of parental larval diet on offspring development time, adult body size and pathogen resistance in *Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS ONE* 7, e31611. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031611)
- Morimoto J, Wigby S. 2016 Differential effects of male nutrient balance on pre- and post-copulatory traits, and consequences for female reproduction in *Drosophila melanogaster. Sci. Rep.* 6, 27673. (doi:10. 1038/srep27673)